

Bayes' theorem and data assimilation

Ivan Kasanický^{1,2}, Jan Mandel^{1,3}

¹Institute of Computer Sciences, The Czech Academy of Sciences,

² Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University

³Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, CU Denver

The research has been partially supported by
National Science Foundation grant DMS-1216481,
Czech Science Foundation grant GA13-34856S.
and grant SVV 2016 No. 260334.

State space model

\mathcal{H} : separable Hilbert space.

- Underlying dynamical system:

$$X^{(0)} \sim \mathcal{N}(m^{(0)}, P^{(0)}), \quad X^{(0)} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$X^{(t)} = \Psi(X^{(t-1)}) + V^{(t)}, \quad V^{(t)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q^{(t)})$$

$$\Psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ measurable}$$

- Noisy observations:

$$Y^{(t)} = HX^{(t)} + W^{(t)}, \quad W^{(t)} \sim \mathcal{N}_w(0, R^{(t)})$$

$$H : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \text{ bounded, linear}$$

- In real world applications: $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}^m$.
- Theory: $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2$

Data assimilation

- Sequential process.
- Using a observation $Y^{(t)} = y^{(t)}$ update a forecast (prior) estimate $X^{(t),f}$ to produce an analysis (posterior) estimate $X^{(t),a}$.
- Data assimilation \approx filtration problem.
- $X^{(t),f} \approx X^{(t)} | Y^{(t-1)} = y^{(t-1)}, \dots, Y^{(1)} = y^{(1)}$
- $X^{(t),a} \approx X^{(t)} | Y^{(t)} = y^{(t)}, \dots, Y^{(1)} = y^{(1)}$

Simplifications

For $t \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed:

- $\mu^f \sim \mathcal{N}(m^f, P^f)$ measure induced by $X^f = X^{(t),f}$,
- $X^a = X^{(t),a}$,
- $H = I$, so $Y = Y^{(t)} = X + W$.

Bayes' update

Analysis (posterior) distribution

$$\mu^a(B) = \frac{1}{c(y)} \int_B \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |y - x|_{\mathbf{R}^{-1}}^2\right) d\mu^f(x) \Leftrightarrow c(y) > 0,$$

where

$$c(y) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |y - x|_{\mathbf{R}^{-1}}^2\right) d\mu^f(x),$$
$$|z|_{\mathbf{R}^{-1}}^2 = z^* \mathbf{R}^{-1} z \quad \text{if } \dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty,$$
$$|z|_{\mathbf{R}^{-1}}^2 = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{R}^{-1/2} z, \mathbf{R}^{-1/2} z \rangle & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{R}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}), \\ \infty & \text{if } z \notin \mathbf{R}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}), \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{range}(\mathbf{R}^{1/2}).$$

Problem

When

$$\text{Trace}(R) < \infty,$$

$R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})$ is Cameron-Martin space of a measure $\mu_R \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R)$.

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty \Rightarrow \mu_R \left(R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) \right) = 1$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty \Rightarrow \mu_R \left(R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) \right) = 0$$

Therefore, if measures μ^f and μ_R are equivalent,

$$c(y) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} |y - x|_{R^{-1}}^2 \right) d\mu^f(x) \leq \mu^f \left(R^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) \right) = 0$$

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Theorem

If $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$, operators P^f and R commute, i.e., $P^f R - R P^f = 0$, then

$$c(y) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} |y - x|_{\mathbb{R}^{-1}}^2\right) d\mu^f(x) > 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow r = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{r_i\} > 0$$

where $r_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, are eigenvalues of R .

Additionally,

- $\text{Trace}(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i < \infty \Rightarrow c(y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}$,
- $r = 0 \Rightarrow c(y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in A \subset \mathcal{H}$, and A is dense.

When $\text{Trace}(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i = \infty$:

- $\mathcal{N}_w(0, R)$ is not a σ -additive,
- $\mathcal{N}_w(0, R)$ is defined only on cylindrical sets,
- data noise W is only a weak random variable (white noise, etc.).

Conclusions

When a forecast (prior) distribution is Gaussian and an observation operator is linear:

- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty \Rightarrow$ no problem with the Bayes' update,
- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ and $\text{Trace}(\mathbf{R}) < \infty \Rightarrow$ the Bayes' formula is useless,
- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ and \mathbf{R} bounded from below \Rightarrow the Bayes' update is well defined,
- white noise is good.

Conclusions

When a forecast (prior) distribution is Gaussian and an observation operator is linear:

- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty \Rightarrow$ no problem with the Bayes' update,
- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ and $\text{Trace}(\mathbf{R}) < \infty \Rightarrow$ the Bayes' formula is useless,
- $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ and \mathbf{R} bounded from below \Rightarrow the Bayes' update is well defined,
- white noise is good.

Thank you!