

# Bootstrap pro závislá data a detekce změn

Zuzana Prášková, MFF UK Praha

ROBUST 2016, 11.-16.9. 2016, Rejhotice

# Why bootstrap?

- exact distribution of a statistic under consideration is difficult to compute
- asymptotic distribution exists but not in an explicit form
- bootstrap can provide better approximations to the exact distribution than the asymptotic one
- bootstrap can reduce bias of estimators
- ...

- Efron (1979) for iid sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ : Monte Carlo from the empirical distribution (repeating random sampling with replacement)
  - many variants and modifications
- **Dependent observations**
  - model-based methods: model fitting, resampling of residuals
  - model-free methods: overlapping (moving) or non-overlapping blocks, resampling of blocks
    - (+) dependency structure is saved within the blocks
    - (-) dependency structure is violated between the blocks
    - (-) regularly spaced data are assumed
  - Lahiri (2003), Härdle, Horowitz, and Kreiss (2003), Paparoditis and Politis (2009), Kreiss, Paparoditis (2011),...

The basic resampling algorithm (non-random block length):

- 1 Let  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b \ll n$ ,  $L = \lfloor \frac{n}{b} \rfloor$  and  $k = n - L \cdot b$ . Define discrete uniform, independent random variables  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{L+1}$  taking values in the set  $I_{n,l}$  where
  - $I_{n,l} = \{1, 2, \dots, n - b + 1\}$  for overlapping blocks
  - $I_{n,l} = \{1, b + 1, 2b + 1, \dots, (L - 1)b + 1\}$  for non-overlapping blocks
- 2 Lay the blocks  $(X_{t_s}, X_{t_s+1}, \dots, X_{t_s+b-1})$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, L + 1$  end to end in the order sampled together and discard the last  $b - k$  observations to form a bootstrap pseudo-series  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$ .

The block bootstrap approximation of the distribution

$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}(c_n(T_n - \nu))$  is then given by  $\mathcal{L}_n^* = \mathcal{L}(c_n(T_n^* - \nu^*))$ ,

where  $T_n^* = T_n(X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*)$  and  $\nu^*$  denotes bootstrap parameter.

## Block bootstrap with random block length (stationary bootstrap):

- 1 The lengths  $b_i$  of the blocks are i.i.d. random variables having a geometric distribution with parameter  $p \in (0, 1)$ .
- 2 The first  $b_1$  pseudo-observations of the bootstrap time series  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$  consist of observations  $X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_1+b_1}$ , the next  $b_2$  bootstrap observations are the observations of the second sampled block of random length  $b_2$  and so on. The bootstrap data generating process is stopped once  $n$  bootstrap observations have been generated.

## Circular block bootstrap

“Wrapping” the data before blocking:

$$X_i = X_{(i \bmod n)}, \quad i > n,$$
$$X_0 = X_n,$$

then define block  $(X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{i+b-1})$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and any block length  $b > 0$

Advantage of circular block bootstrap:

- resulting bootstrap series is automatically centered around the sample mean
- an automatic procedure was developed for estimation of optimal length of blocks, Politis and White (2004, 2009)
  - A. Patton in Matlab code
  - R-package *np*

## Dependent wild bootstrap Shao (2010)

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  dependent, satisfy model  $X_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t$   
bootstrap model:

$$X_t^* = \bar{X}_n + (X_t - \bar{X}_n) \cdot Z_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\bar{X}_n$  is the sample mean and  $\{Z_t\}$  are random variables satisfying the following assumption:

- $\{Z_t\}$  is independent of  $\{X_t\}$ ,  $E Z_t = 0$ ,  $\text{Var} Z_t = 1$  for  $t = 1, \dots, n$
- $\{Z_t\}$  is stationary with autocovariance function  $\text{cov}(Z_t, Z_{t+k}) = a(\frac{k}{m})$ , where  $a(\cdot)$  is a kernel and  $m = m_n$  is a bandwidth

For dependent wild bootstrap

$$E^* X_t^* = \bar{X}_n, \text{Var}^* X_t^* = (X_t - \bar{X}_n)^2, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}^* \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t^* \right) = \sum_{|k| \leq n-1} a \left( \frac{k}{M} \right) \hat{R}(k) := \hat{\sigma}_n^2(m)$$

where

$$\hat{R}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (X_t - \bar{X}_n)(X_{t+k} - \bar{X}_n), & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \hat{R}(-k), & k < 0 \end{cases}$$

$\hat{\sigma}_n^2(M)$  is a **kernel estimator** of the long-run variance

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t \right)$$



## Kernel estimators of long-run variance

Bartlett, Parzen, quadratic, flat-top,...

## Optimal bandwidth automatic selection

- Andrews (1991) for Bartlett, Parzen, quadratic kernel  
R-package *sandwich*, Zeileis (2004)
- Politis (2003) for flat-top kernels  
R-package *iosmooth*

## Application to change-point Location model

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \mu + e_t, & t &= 1, \dots, k_n, \\ &= \mu + \delta + e_t, & t &= k_n + 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta = \delta_n \neq 0$  and  $1 \leq k_n \leq n$  are parameters and  $\{e_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  are error terms

Test:

$$H_0 : k_n = n \text{ (no change)} \text{ against } H_1 : k_n < n$$

Test statistic:

$$T_n = \max_{1 \leq k < n} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{n}{k(n-k)}} |S_k| \right\},$$

$S_k$  -  $k$ -th partial sum of OLS residuals from the model with

$$k_n = n, \text{ i.e. } S_k = \sum_{t=1}^k (X_t - \bar{X}_n).$$

If  $H_0$  holds then under various assumptions on errors (iid, linear process, strong mixing,...)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(a(\log n) T_n \leq \sigma(x + b_1(\log n))) = e^{-2e^{-x}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(y) &= \sqrt{2 \log y} \\ b_p(y) &= 2 \log y + \frac{p}{2} \log \log y - \log \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{p}{2} \right) \right) \\ \sigma^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\sqrt{n} \bar{X}_n) \end{aligned}$$

slow convergence, conservative critical values - use some variant of bootstrap!

- Kirch(2007) for block bootstrap, linear process, consistency  
Hušková, Kirch (2010) for circular block bootstrap (CB) and strong mixing sequences
- CB gives correct critical values also under alternative hypothesis
- Wild dependent bootstrap ?

| asympt = 2.94 |      |      |      |      |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|
| $\rho$        | MC   | CB   | CSB  | DWB  |
| 0.7           | 1.85 | 1.53 | 1.45 | 1.79 |
| 0.5           | 2.17 | 1.86 | 1.79 | 2.27 |
| 0.3           | 2.38 | 2.15 | 2.10 | 2.72 |
| 0             | 2.33 | 2.32 | 2.32 | 2.91 |
| -0.3          | 3.61 | 3.80 | 3.90 | 5.43 |
| -0.5          | 3.82 | 3.70 | 3.81 | 5.80 |

**Table:** 90% quantiles of  $T_n$  statistic by Monte Carlo, Circular, Circular stationary and Dependent wild bootstrap,  $n = 500$ , location model, errors  $AR(1)$  (M. Čellár, 2016)

| asympt = 3.66 |      |      |      |      |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|
| $\rho$        | MC   | CB   | CSB  | DWB  |
| 0.7           | 2.21 | 1.97 | 1.88 | 2.42 |
| 0.5           | 2.63 | 2.31 | 2.24 | 2.94 |
| 0.3           | 2.80 | 3.63 | 2.16 | 3.42 |
| 0             | 2.78 | 2.78 | 2.78 | 3.61 |
| -0.3          | 4.31 | 4.40 | 4.52 | 6.57 |
| -0.5          | 4.82 | 4.42 | 4.55 | 7.03 |

**Table:** 95% quantiles of  $T_n$  statistic by Monte Carlo, Circular, Circular stationary and Dependent wild bootstrap,  $n = 500$  (M. Čellár, 2016)

| $\rho$ | method | n=100 |       | n = 200 |       | n = 500 |       |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
|        |        | 0.1   | 0.05  | 0.1     | 0.05  | 0.1     | 0.05  |
| 0.5    | AS     | 0.052 | 0.008 | 0.085   | 0.023 | 0.277   | 0.120 |
|        | CB     | 0.302 | 0.165 | 0.360   | 0.219 | 0.586   | 0.446 |
|        | SB     | 0.318 | 0.179 | 0.377   | 0.226 | 0.590   | 0.444 |
|        | DWB    | 0.168 | 0.061 | 0.263   | 0.109 | 0.474   | 0.292 |
| 0      | AS     | 0.189 | 0.054 | 0.519   | 0.331 | 0.963   | 0.903 |
|        | CB     | 0.447 | 0.312 | 0.733   | 0.621 | 0.989   | 0.980 |
|        | SB     | 0.449 | 0.311 | 0.732   | 0.609 | 0.990   | 0.979 |
|        | DWB    | 0.261 | 0.140 | 0.531   | 0.359 | 0.948   | 0.880 |
| -0.5   | AS     | 0.668 | 0.542 | 0.840   | 0.657 | 1.000   | 0.996 |
|        | CB     | 0.348 | 0.198 | 0.796   | 0.665 | 1.000   | 0.998 |
|        | SB     | 0.274 | 0.149 | 0.743   | 0.590 | 1.000   | 0.998 |
|        | DWB    | 0.115 | 0.031 | 0.452   | 0.231 | 0.929   | 0.836 |

**Table:** Achieved levels of power of bootstrap test procedures for  $\delta = 0.5$  and  $q_n = \frac{n}{2}$  (M. Čellár, 2016)

- Changes in regression model

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_i &= \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i, & i = 1, \dots, k^* \\
 &= \mathbf{x}_i^T (\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\delta}_n) + \varepsilon_i, & i = k^* + 1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$1 < k^* \leq n$  is an unknown change point,

$\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})^T$  are regressors,

$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_n = (\delta_{1n}, \dots, \delta_{pn})^T$  parameters,

$\varepsilon_i$  random errors

- Test hypothesis:  $H_0 : k^* = n$  against  $H_1 : k^* < n$



## CUSUM test statistics - based on functionals of cumulative sums of LSE residuals

$$T_n(h) = \max_{0 < k < n} \left\{ \frac{1}{nh^2(k/n)} \mathbf{S}_k^T \widehat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} \mathbf{S}_k \right\}$$

- $\mathbf{S}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \widehat{\beta})$ ,  $\widehat{\beta}$  - LSE
- $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$  - estimator of

$$\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i \right)$$

- $h$  is a positive weight function defined on  $(0, 1)$

## Theorem (asymptotic distribution of test statistic under $H_0$ )

Let  $\widehat{\Sigma}_n - \Sigma = o_P(1)$  and  $h(t) = [t(1-t)]^\gamma$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ .  
Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$T_n(h) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p B_j^2(t) / h^2(t) \right\}$$

where  $B_j$  are independent Brownian bridges.

- Large values of test statistics detect that the null hypothesis is violated
- Holds under various assumptions on regressors and errors
- Holds also for M-estimators and M-residuals
- **Critical values have to be simulated**

## Dependent wild bootstrap

Under  $H_0$

$$\mathbf{S}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i - \mathbf{C}_k \mathbf{C}_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i, \quad \mathbf{C}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T$$

Bootstrap version  $\mathbf{S}_k^*$ : replace  $\varepsilon_i$  by  $\varepsilon_i^*$  in  $\mathbf{S}_k$

- $\varepsilon_i^* = \hat{\varepsilon}_i Z_i$
- $Z_i$  independent of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$
- $E |Z_i|^{2+\Delta} < \infty, \Delta > 0$
- $E Z_i = 0, \text{Var } Z_i = 1, \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = a((i-j)/m), a(\cdot)$  - kernel,  $m = m(n)$  bandwidth
- $Z_i = Z_{i,n}$  is  $m(n)$ -dependent triangular array

## Bootstrap statistic

$$T_n^*(h) = \max_{0 < k < n} \left\{ \frac{1}{nh^2(k/n)} \mathbf{S}_k^{*T} \hat{\Sigma}_n^{*-1} \mathbf{S}_k^* \right\}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n^* = \text{Var}^* \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i^* \right)$$

## Asymptotic results and consistency

- assumption of  $L_p$ - $m$ -approximability:

For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{h}(\xi_i, \xi_{i-1}, \dots)$ , where  $\mathbf{h}$  is measurable,  $\{\xi_i\}_i$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors and  $E\|\mathbf{x}_i\|^{2+\Delta} < \infty$  for some  $\Delta > 0$ .

For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i^{(m)}\|_p < \infty$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_i^{(m)} = \mathbf{h}(\xi_i, \xi_{i-1}, \dots, \xi_{i-m+1}, \xi_{i-m}^{(m)}, \xi_{i-m-1}^{(m)}, \dots),$$

$\xi_{i-m}^{(m)}, \xi_{i-m-1}^{(m)}, \dots$  are i.i.d. with the same distribution as  $\xi_i$  independent of  $\{\xi_i\}_i$

$\mathbf{x}_i^{(m)}$ - $m$ -dependent

- $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$  –  $L_{p-m}$ – approximable,  $p = 2 + \Delta$
- $\{\varepsilon_i\}$  –  $L_{p-m}$ – approximable,  $p = 2$
- finite moments up to  $4 + \Delta$
- $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_i\}$  mutually independent
- $\{Z_{i,n}\}$   $m_n$  dependent,  $m_n = o(n^{\Delta/(2+\Delta)})$

then

$$P^*(T_n^*(h) \leq x) \xrightarrow{P} P\left(\sup_{0 < t < 1} \sum_{j=1}^p B_j^2(t)/h^2(t) \leq x\right)$$

uniformly in  $x$  under  $H_0$  and local alternatives  $\|\delta\| = O(n^{-1/2})$   
 $P^*$  is the conditional probability given  $\mathbf{x}_i, y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  (resp  $\mathbf{x}_i, \varepsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ )

**Crucial step:** a conditional functional central limit theorem

Consider process

$$\mathbf{Y}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Sigma_n^{*-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i Z_{i,n}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

$$\Sigma_n^* = \text{Var}^* \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i Z_{i,n} \right)$$

Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\{\mathbf{Y}_n(t), t \in [0, 1]\} \xrightarrow{*} \{\mathbf{W}_d(t), t \in [0, 1]\} \text{ almost surely } [P]$$

where  $\{\mathbf{W}_d(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$  is a standard  $d$ -dimensional Wiener process on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\xrightarrow{*}$  means the weak convergence with respect to  $P^*$ .

$\mathbf{X} = (1, X_i), X_i \sim N(0, 1), \varepsilon \sim AR(1)$  with the parameter  $\rho$

| quantiles    | $\delta$     | 90%    | 95%    | 99%    |
|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| asymptotic   |              | 2.1080 | 2.5036 | 3.3621 |
| $\rho = 0.3$ |              |        |        |        |
| simulated    | [0, 0]       | 2.0604 | 2.4139 | 3.2456 |
| bootstrap    | [0, 0]       | 1.9363 | 2.2329 | 2.8990 |
| bootstrap    | [0.25, 0.25] | 2.0088 | 2.3140 | 2.9731 |
| bootstrap    | [0.5, 0.5]   | 2.2187 | 2.5736 | 3.2827 |
| $\rho = 0.5$ |              |        |        |        |
| simulated    | [0, 0]       | 2.2670 | 2.6534 | 3.4631 |
| bootstrap    | [0, 0]       | 1.9858 | 2.3059 | 2.9615 |
| bootstrap    | [0.25, 0.25] | 2.0862 | 2.4022 | 3.0988 |
| bootstrap    | [0.5, 0.5]   | 2.2436 | 2.5788 | 3.2634 |

**Table:** DWB: Asymptotic, simulated and bootstrap quantiles (based on 500 bootstrap samples and for 500 repetitions),  $n = 250$



$\mathbf{X} \sim N_2(0, V), \varepsilon \sim AR(1)$  with the parameter  $\rho$

| quantiles    | $\delta$     | 90%    | 95%    | 99%    |
|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| asymptotic   |              | 2.1080 | 2.5036 | 3.3621 |
| $\rho = 0.3$ |              |        |        |        |
| simulated    | [0, 0]       | 1.8955 | 2.1969 | 2.8832 |
| bootstrap    | [0, 0]       | 1.9189 | 2.2235 | 2.8514 |
| bootstrap    | [0.25, 0.25] | 2.0152 | 2.3279 | 2.9737 |
| bootstrap    | [0.5, 0.5]   | 2.3812 | 2.7713 | 3.5455 |
| $\rho = 0.5$ |              |        |        |        |
| simulated    | [0, 0]       | 1.8979 | 2.2323 | 2.9130 |
| bootstrap    | [0, 0]       | 1.8041 | 2.0885 | 2.6841 |
| bootstrap    | [0.25, 0.25] | 1.9858 | 2.2880 | 2.9200 |
| bootstrap    | [0.5, 0.5]   | 2.3111 | 2.6815 | 3.4183 |

**Table:** DWB: Asymptotic, simulated and bootstrap quantiles (based on 500 bootstrap samples and for 500 repetitions),  $n = 250$

$\mathbf{X} = (1, X_i), X_i \sim N(0, 1), \varepsilon \sim AR(1)$  with the parameter  $\rho$

| asymptotic   |             |        | bootstrap    |             |        |
|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| $\rho = 0.3$ |             |        | $\rho = 0.3$ |             |        |
| $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0458 | $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0732 |
|              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.3892 |              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.4644 |
|              | [0.5,0.5]   | 0.9638 |              | [0.5,0.5]   | 0.9550 |
| $\rho = 0.5$ |             |        | $\rho = 0.5$ |             |        |
| $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0716 | $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0834 |
|              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.3316 |              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.3654 |
|              | [0.5,0.5]   | 0.8840 |              | [0.5,0.5]   | 0.8688 |

**Table:** DWB: Empirical level of rejection based on asymptotic and bootstrap critical values, nominal level  $\alpha = 0.05$ , 500 bootstrap samples and 5.000 simulations,  $n = 250$

$\mathbf{X} \sim N_2(0, V), \varepsilon \sim AR(1)$  with the parameter  $\rho$

| asymptotic   |             |        | bootstrap    |             |        |
|--------------|-------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| $\rho = 0.3$ |             |        | $\rho = 0.3$ |             |        |
| $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0306 | $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0484 |
|              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.8440 |              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.8742 |
|              | [0.5,0.5]   | 1.0000 |              | [0.5,0.5]   | 1.0000 |
| $\rho = 0.5$ |             |        | $\rho = 0.5$ |             |        |
| $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0238 | $\delta$     | [0,0]       | 0.0690 |
|              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.7538 |              | [0.25,0.25] | 0.8238 |
|              | [0.5,0.5]   | 1.0000 |              | [0.5,0.5]   | 0.9996 |

**Table:** DWB: Empirical level of rejection based on asymptotic and bootstrap critical values, nominal level  $\alpha = 0.05$ , 500 bootstrap samples and 5.000 simulations,  $n = 250$

Thank You for Your Attention!