

Fixed-Template Promise Model Checking Problems

Kristina Asimi¹

joint work with L. Barto¹ and S. Butti²

¹Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Czechia

²Department of Information and Communication Technologies, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Spain

CP, Haifa, 2 August 2022

Outline

- 1 Model Checking Problem (MC)
- 2 Promise Model Checking Problem (PMC)
- 3 Preliminaries
- 4 $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC
- 5 $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC
- 6 Open problems



Model Checking Problem

Model checking problem :

We define the model checking problem over a logic \mathcal{L} to have

- Input : a structure \mathbb{A} (model), a sentence ϕ of \mathcal{L}
- Question : does $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$

First-order model checking problem parameterized by the model :

For any $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee, =, \neq, \neg\}$ we define the problem $\mathcal{L}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$ to have

- Input : a sentence ϕ of \mathcal{L} -**FO**
- Output : yes if $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$, no otherwise

$\mathcal{L}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$	Complexity
$\{\exists, \wedge\}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$ (CSP)	P or NP-complete
$\{\exists, \forall, \wedge\}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$ (QCSP)	≥ 6 classes
$\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$	L or NP-complete
$\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$	L, NP-complete, coNP-complete, PSPACE-complete

Figure – Known complexity results for $\mathcal{L}\text{-MC}(\mathbb{A})$.

Promise Model Checking Problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{A} = (A; R_1^{\mathbb{A}}, R_2^{\mathbb{A}}, \dots, R_n^{\mathbb{A}}) \\ \mathbb{B} = (B; R_1^{\mathbb{B}}, R_2^{\mathbb{B}}, \dots, R_n^{\mathbb{B}}) \end{array} \right\} \text{similar relational structures}$$

Definition

A pair of similar structures (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is called an **\mathcal{L} -PMC template** if $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$ implies $\mathbb{B} \models \phi$ for every \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ in the signature of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} .

Given an \mathcal{L} -PMC template (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) , the **\mathcal{L} -Promise Model Checking Problem over (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})** , denoted $\mathcal{L}\text{-PMC}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$, is the following problem.

Input : an \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ in the signature of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} ;

Output : yes if $\mathbb{A} \models \phi$; no if $\mathbb{B} \not\models \phi$.

\mathcal{L} -PMC(A, B)	Condition	Complexity
$\{\exists, \forall, \wedge\}$ -PMC(A, B)		L/NP-complete
$\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC(A, B)	AE-smuhom	L
	A-smuhom and E-smuhom	$\text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$
	A-smuhom, no E-smuhom	NP-complete
	E-smuhom, no A-smuhom	coNP-complete
	no A-smuhom, no E-smuhom	NP-hard and coNP-hard

Figure – Complexity results for \mathcal{L} -PMC(A, B).

Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be two similar relational structures.

- A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a **homomorphism** from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} if $f(\mathbf{a}) \in R^{\mathbb{B}}$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$, where $f(\mathbf{a})$ is computed component-wise.
- A **multi-valued function** f from A to B is a mapping from A to $\mathcal{P}_{\neq \emptyset} B$.
- It is called **surjective** if for every $b \in B$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $b \in f(a)$.
- A multi-valued function f from A to B is called a **multi-homomorphism** from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} if for any R in the signature and any $\mathbf{a} \in R^{\mathbb{A}}$, we have $f(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq R^{\mathbb{B}}$.
- $\text{MuHom}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ - the set of all multi-homomorphisms from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B}
 $\text{SMuHom}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ - the set of all surjective multi-homomorphisms from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B}

We say that a relation $S \subseteq A^n$ is **\mathcal{L} -definable** from \mathbb{A} if there exists an \mathcal{L} -formula $\psi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ such that, for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S$ if and only if $\mathbb{A} \models \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Definition

Assume $\neg \notin \mathcal{L}$ and let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) be a pair of similar structures. We say that a pair of relations (S, T) , where $S \subseteq A^n$ and $T \subseteq B^n$, is **promise- \mathcal{L} -definable** (or **p- \mathcal{L} -definable**) from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) if there exist relations S' and T' and an \mathcal{L} -formula $\psi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ such that $S \subseteq S'$, $T' \subseteq T$, $\psi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ defines S' in \mathbb{A} , and $\psi(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ defines T' in \mathbb{B} .

We say that an \mathcal{L} -PMC template (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) is p- \mathcal{L} -definable from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) (the signatures can differ) if $(Q^{\mathbb{C}}, Q^{\mathbb{D}})$ is p- \mathcal{L} -definable from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) for each relation symbol Q in the signature of \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} .

Theorem

Assume $\neg \notin \mathcal{L}$. If (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) and (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) are \mathcal{L} -PMC templates such that (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) is p- \mathcal{L} -definable from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) , then $\mathcal{L}\text{-PMC}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) \leq \mathcal{L}\text{-PMC}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$.

$\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC

A pair (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) of similar structures is an $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC template if and only if there exists a homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} .

Theorem

Let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) and (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) be $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC templates such that $A = C$ and $B = D$. Then (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) is p - $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -definable from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) if and only if $\text{MuHom}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \subseteq \text{MuHom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$. Moreover, in such a case, $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) \leq \{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) .

Theorem

Let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) be an $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC template. If there is a constant homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , then $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is in L, otherwise $\{\exists, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is NP-complete.

$\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC

A pair (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) of similar structures is an $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC template if and only if there exists a surjective multi-homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} .

Theorem

Let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) and (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) be $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC templates such that $A = C$ and $B = D$. Then (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) is p - $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -definable from (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) if and only if $\text{SMuHom}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \subseteq \text{SMuHom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$. Moreover, in such a case, $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) \leq \{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) .

Let f be a surjective multi-homomorphism from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} . We say that :

- f is an A-smuhom if there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $f(a^*) = B$.
- f is an E-smuhom if $f^{-1}(b^*) = A$ for some $b^* \in B$.
- f is an AE-smuhom if it is simultaneously an A-smuhom and an E-smuhom.

Theorem

Let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) be an $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC template. Then the following holds.

- 1 If (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) admits an \mathbb{A} -smuhom, then $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is in NP.
- 2 If (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) admits an \mathbb{E} -smuhom, then $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is in coNP.
- 3 If (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) admits an \mathbb{AE} -smuhom, then $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is in L.

Theorem

Let (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) be an $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC template.

- 1 If there is no \mathbb{E} -smuhom from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , then $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is NP-hard.
- 2 If there is no \mathbb{A} -smuhom from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , then $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) is coNP-hard.

Open problems

Examples of templates that admit both an A-smuhom and an E-smuhom, but no AE-smuhom :

$$\mathbb{A} = ([3]; \{(1, 2, 3)\}), \quad \mathbb{B} = ([3]; \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{2\} \times \{3\} \cup \{1, 2\} \times \{2\} \times \{2, 3\})$$

$$\mathbb{A} = ([3]; \{12\}, \{13\}), \quad \mathbb{B} = ([3]; \{12, 22, 32\}, \{12, 13, 22, 23, 33\})$$

Is $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) in L ?

Examples of templates that admit neither an A-smuhom nor an E-smuhom :

$$\mathbb{A} = ([3]; \{(1, 2, 3)\}), \quad \mathbb{B} = ([3]; \{2, 3\} \times \{1, 3\} \times \{1, 2\})$$

$$\mathbb{A} = ([3]; \{(1, 2, 3)\}), \quad \mathbb{B} = ([3]; \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2\} \times \{3\} \cup \{1, 3\} \times \{2\} \times \{2\})$$

$$\mathbb{A} = ([4]; \{12, 34\}), \quad \mathbb{B} = ([4]; \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34, 32\})$$

Is $\{\exists, \forall, \wedge, \vee\}$ -PMC(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) PSPACE-complete ?

Thank you for your attention !