

Rectangularity Theorem for Conservative Algebras

Libor Barto

2nd table
Office No. 3941
Department of Algebra
Section of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Charles University
Prague 8
Prague
Bohemia
Czech Republic
Central Europe
Europe
Eurasia
Earth
Thanks Pierre!

AAA Bedlewo 2010

Definition (CSP(\mathbf{A}))

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra.

CSP(\mathbf{A}) is the following decision problem:

INPUT: Formula of the form

$$(x_1, x_2) \in R_1 \ \& \ (x_3, x_1, x_3, x_4) \in R_2 \ \& \ x_7 \in R_3 \ \& \dots$$

where each R_i is a subpower of \mathbf{A} ($R_1 \leq \mathbf{A}^2$, $R_2 \leq \mathbf{A}^4$, $R_3 \leq \mathbf{A}$)

QUESTION: Is the formula satisfiable?

Definition (CSP(\mathbf{A}))

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra.

CSP(\mathbf{A}) is the following decision problem:

INPUT: Formula of the form

$$(x_1, x_2) \in R_1 \ \& \ (x_3, x_1, x_3, x_4) \in R_2 \ \& \ x_7 \in R_3 \ \& \dots$$

where each R_i is a subpower of \mathbf{A} ($R_1 \leq \mathbf{A}^2$, $R_2 \leq \mathbf{A}^4$, $R_3 \leq \mathbf{A}$)

QUESTION: Is the formula satisfiable?

Theorem (Bulatov, Jeavons, Krokhin '00)

If \mathbf{A} is not a Taylor algebra, then CSP(\mathbf{A}) is NP-complete.

Conjecture ((A stronger) algebraic dichotomy conjecture)

If \mathbf{A} is a Taylor algebra, then CSP(\mathbf{A}) is tractable.

A (big) theorem of Bulatov

Theorem (BJK'00)

To prove the conjecture, we can WLOG assume that \mathbf{A} is idempotent.

\mathbf{A} is **idempotent**

$$\Leftrightarrow f(a, a, \dots, a) = a \quad (\text{for all } f, a)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{a\} \leq \mathbf{A} \quad (\text{for all } a \in A)$$

A (big) theorem of Bulatov

Theorem (BJK'00)

To prove the conjecture, we can WLOG assume that \mathbf{A} is idempotent.

\mathbf{A} is **idempotent**

$$\Leftrightarrow f(a, a, \dots, a) = a \quad (\text{for all } f, a)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{a\} \leq \mathbf{A} \quad (\text{for all } a \in A)$$

Theorem (Bulatov'05)

If \mathbf{A} is a (finite) conservative Taylor algebra, then $\text{CSP}(\mathbf{A})$ is tractable.

\mathbf{A} is **conservative**

$$\Leftrightarrow f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \quad (\text{for all } \dots)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow B \leq \mathbf{A} \quad (\text{for all } B \subseteq \mathbf{A})$$

A new proof

Bulatov's proof:

- ▶ Many cases depending on local structure of the algebra
- ▶ Difficult, long (80 pages)

Our new proof:

- ▶ Uses theory developed with Marcin Kozik (absorption, Prague strategies)
- ▶ + Rectangularity theorem
- ▶ Natural, short

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. TFAE

- (4) \mathbf{A} satisfies some nontrivial idempotent Maltsev condition
- (3) $\text{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ contains a two element algebra, whose every operation is a projection
- (2) \mathbf{A} is not at the bottom of the interpretability lattice

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. TFAE

- (4) \mathbf{A} satisfies some nontrivial idempotent Maltsev condition
- (3) $\text{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ contains a two element algebra, whose every operation is a projection
- (2) \mathbf{A} is not at the bottom of the interpretability lattice
- (7) Taylor 73 \mathbf{A} has a Taylor term
- (5) Hobby, McKenzie 85 $\text{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ omits type 1
- (6) Maróti, McKenzie 06 \mathbf{A} has a WNU
- (1) Barto, Kozik 09 \mathbf{A} has a cyclic term
- (8) Siggers 09 \mathbf{A} has a Siggers term

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite idempotent algebra. TFAE

- (4) \mathbf{A} satisfies some nontrivial idempotent Maltsev condition
- (3) $\text{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ contains a two element algebra, whose every operation is a projection
- (2) \mathbf{A} is not at the bottom of the interpretability lattice
- (7) Taylor 73 \mathbf{A} has a Taylor term
- (5) Hobby, McKenzie 85 $\text{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ omits type 1
- (6) Maróti, McKenzie 06 \mathbf{A} has a WNU
- (1) Barto, Kozik 09 \mathbf{A} has a cyclic term
- (8) Siggers 09 \mathbf{A} has a Siggers term

Definition

Such algebras are called **Taylor**.

Definition

We say that B is an **absorbing subuniverse** of \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{A} is idempotent), $B \triangleleft \mathbf{A}$, if $B \leq \mathbf{A}$ and $\exists t \in \text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(A, B, B, \dots, B) \subseteq B, \quad t(B, A, B, B, \dots, B) \subseteq B, \dots$$

B is a **minimal absorbing subuniverse (MAS)** of \mathbf{A} , $B \triangleleft\triangleleft \mathbf{A}$, if it is a minimal absorbing subuniverse :)

Definition

We say that B is an **absorbing subuniverse** of \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{A} is idempotent), $B \triangleleft \mathbf{A}$, if $B \leq \mathbf{A}$ and $\exists t \in \text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(A, B, B, \dots, B) \subseteq B, \quad t(B, A, B, B, \dots, B) \subseteq B, \dots$$

B is a **minimal absorbing subuniverse (MAS)** of \mathbf{A} , $B \triangleleft\triangleleft \mathbf{A}$, if it is a minimal absorbing subuniverse :)

Theorem (Barto, Kozik'08)

Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be Taylor algebras (in the same idempotent variety), let $R \leq \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ be subdirect and linked. Then $R = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$, or \mathbf{A} has a proper absorbing subuniverse, or \mathbf{B} has ...

Rectangularity Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$ be fin. conservative Taylor algs from a variety $B_i \triangleleft\triangleleft \mathbf{A}_i$, $R \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$ subdirect

Assume $R \cap (B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) \neq \emptyset$

Rectangularity Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$ be fin. conservative Taylor algs from a variety $B_i \triangleleft\triangleleft \mathbf{A}_i$, $R \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$ subdirect

Assume $R \cap (B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) \neq \emptyset$

Define $i \sim j$ iff $\forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R \ a_i \in B_i \Leftrightarrow a_j \in B_j$

Let D_1, \dots, D_k be \sim -blocks

Rectangularity Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$ be fin. conservative Taylor algs from a variety $B_i \triangleleft\triangleleft \mathbf{A}_i$, $R \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{A}_n$ subdirect

Assume $R \cap (B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) \neq \emptyset$

Define $i \sim j$ iff $\forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R \ a_i \in B_i \Leftrightarrow a_j \in B_j$

Let D_1, \dots, D_k be \sim -blocks

Then

a tuple $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_n$ is in R
iff

the restriction of \mathbf{b} to D_j is in the projection of R to D_j
(for all $j = 1, \dots, k$).