

Alg-universality of set functors

Libor Barto

Charles University in Prague
Czech Republic

AAA Bedlewo 2006

Origins

- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a distributive lattice. [Birkhoff \(46\)](#)

Origins

- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a distributive lattice. [Birkhoff \(46\)](#)
- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a graph. [Frucht \(38\)](#) finite case, [Sabidussi \(60\)](#) infinite case

Origins

- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a distributive lattice. [Birkhoff \(46\)](#)
- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a graph. [Frucht \(38\)](#) finite case, [Sabidussi \(60\)](#) infinite case
- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the autohomeomorphism group of a topological space. [de Groot \(59\)](#)

Origins

- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a distributive lattice. [Birkhoff \(46\)](#)
- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a graph. [Frucht \(38\)](#) finite case, [Sabidussi \(60\)](#) infinite case
- ▶ Every group is isomorphic to the autohomeomorphism group of a topological space. [de Groot \(59\)](#)

We say that the category of graphs (resp. distributive lattices, topological spaces) is **group-universal**.

Generalization group \rightarrow monoid

- ▶ Every monoid is isomorphic to the endomorphism monoid of a directed (resp. undirected) graph. [Hedrlín, Pultr \(64,65\)](#)

Generalization group \rightarrow monoid

- ▶ Every monoid is isomorphic to the endomorphism monoid of a directed (resp. undirected) graph. [Hedrlín, Pultr \(64,65\)](#)

We say that the category of graphs (resp. undirected graphs) is **monoid-universal**

Generalization monoid \rightarrow category

Definition

Let \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{K} be categories. A functor $\Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is *full embedding*, if it is bijective on hom-sets, i.e. for every pair A, B of \mathbf{L} -objects, the mapping $\Phi : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(\Phi A, \Phi B)$ is a bijection.

Generalization monoid \rightarrow category

Definition

Let \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{K} be categories. A functor $\Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is *full embedding*, if it is bijective on hom-sets, i.e. for every pair A, B of \mathbf{L} -objects, the mapping $\Phi : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{L}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(\Phi A, \Phi B)$ is a bijection.

Example

Monoid = one object category.

\mathbf{K} is monoid-universal iff $\forall \mathbf{L}$ one object category $\exists \Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding.

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$

- ▶ **monoid-universal**, if $\forall M$ monoid $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$
- ▶ **group-universal in a stronger sense**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **monoid-universal**, if $\forall M$ monoid $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$
- ▶ **group-universal in a stronger sense**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **monoid-universal**, if $\forall M$ monoid $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **alg-universal**, if $\forall \Sigma$ signature $\exists \Phi : \text{Alg}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$
- ▶ **group-universal in a stronger sense**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **monoid-universal**, if $\forall M$ monoid $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **alg-universal**, if $\forall \Sigma$ signature $\exists \Phi : \text{Alg}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding
- ▶ **universal**, if $\forall \mathbf{L}$ cocomplete category, $\exists \Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding

Universalities

Definition

A category \mathbf{K} is said to be

- ▶ **group-universal**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{Aut}(A)$
- ▶ **group-universal in a stronger sense**, if $\forall G$ group $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **monoid-universal**, if $\forall M$ monoid $\exists A$ object of \mathbf{K} s.t. $G \cong \text{End}(A)$
- ▶ **alg-universal**, if $\forall \Sigma$ signature $\exists \Phi : \text{Alg}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding
- ▶ **universal**, if $\forall \mathbf{L}$ cocomplete category, $\exists \Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding
- ▶ **hyper-universal**, if $\forall \mathbf{L}$ category $\exists \Phi : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ full embedding

Remarks

- ▶ Every small category can be fully embedded into some $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$. Hence alg-universality is a stronger property than monoid-universality. But, no natural example (a variety, a quasivariety) of monoid-universal category, which is not alg-universal, is known.

Remarks

- ▶ Every small category can be fully embedded into some $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$. Hence alg-universality is a stronger property than monoid-universality. But, no natural example (a variety, a quasivariety) of monoid-universal category, which is not alg-universal, is known.
- ▶ The statement "alg-universality = universality" is equivalent to "the class of measurable cardinals is a set". ([Hedrlín, Kučera, Pultr \(73\)](#))

Remarks

- ▶ Every small category can be fully embedded into some $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$. Hence alg-universality is a stronger property than monoid-universality. But, no natural example (a variety, a quasivariety) of monoid-universal category, which is not alg-universal, is known.
- ▶ The statement "alg-universality = universality" is equivalent to "the class of measurable cardinals is a set". ([Hedrlín, Kučera, Pultr \(73\)](#))
- ▶ No concrete category is hyper-universal. Every concrete universal category has a factor which is hyper-universal (follows from [Trnková \(66\)](#) and [Kučera \(71\)](#)). We haven't described the factor for any universal category.

Examples

- ▶ **Group-universal categories:** Extensive survey: Fung, Kegel, Strambach, *Gruppenuniversalität und homogenisierbarkeits*. Ann. Math. Pur. Appl. 141, 1985.

Examples

- ▶ **Group-universal categories:** Extensive survey: Fung, Kegel, Strambach, *Gruppenuniversalität und homogenisierbarkeits*. Ann. Math. Pur. Appl. 141, 1985.
- ▶ **Group-universal categories in a stronger sense:**
 - ▶ Clones [Barkhudaryan, Trnková \(02\)](#)
 - ▶ Set endofunctors [Barto, Zima \(05\)](#)

Examples

▶ **Alg-universal categories:**

- ▶ $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$, where $\sum \Sigma \geq 2$; (undirected) graphs Hedrlín, Pultr (66), Vopěnka
- ▶ Semigroups Hedrlín, Lambek (69), Koubek, Sichler (84)
- ▶ $(0, 1)$ -lattices Grätzer, Sichler (70), Goralčík, Koubek, Sichler (90)
- ▶ Integral domains Fried, Sichler (77)

Examples

▶ Alg-universal categories:

- ▶ $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$, where $\sum \Sigma \geq 2$; (undirected) graphs Hedrlín, Pultr (66), Vopěnka
- ▶ Semigroups Hedrlín, Lambek (69), Koubek, Sichler (84)
- ▶ $(0, 1)$ -lattices Grätzer, Sichler (70), Goralčík, Koubek, Sichler (90)
- ▶ Integral domains Fried, Sichler (77)

▶ Universal categories:

- ▶ Hypergraphs Hedrlín, Kučera (80)
- ▶ Topological spaces and open continuous maps Pultr, Trnková (80)
- ▶ Topological semigroups Trnková (93)
- ▶ Topological varieties of unary algebras Koubek (03)

Examples

▶ Alg-universal categories:

- ▶ $\text{Alg}(\Sigma)$, where $\sum \Sigma \geq 2$; (undirected) graphs Hedrlín, Pultr (66), Vopěnka
- ▶ Semigroups Hedrlín, Lambek (69), Koubek, Sichler (84)
- ▶ $(0, 1)$ -lattices Grätzer, Sichler (70), Goralčík, Koubek, Sichler (90)
- ▶ Integral domains Fried, Sichler (77)

▶ Universal categories:

- ▶ Hypergraphs Hedrlín, Kučera (80)
- ▶ Topological spaces and open continuous maps Pultr, Trnková (80)
- ▶ Topological semigroups Trnková (93)
- ▶ Topological varieties of unary algebras Koubek (03)

Pultr, Trnková, *Combinatorial, Algebraic and Topological Representations of Groups, Semigroups and Categories*, 1980.

Set functors

Set functor = endofunctor of the category **Set** of all sets and mappings

Morphisms between set functors = natural transformations

Set functors

Set functor = endofunctor of the category **Set** of all sets and mappings

Morphisms between set functors = natural transformations

Example

The free functor of a variety.

Set functors

Set functor = endofunctor of the category **Set** of all sets and mappings

Morphisms between set functors = natural transformations

Example

The free functor of a variety.

The category of all set set functors is not legitimate (too many objects).

Natural legitimate subcategories

- ▶ The category of κ -accessible set functors (example: free functors of varieties, every operation of arity less than κ)
- ▶ The category of accessible set functors

Set functors vs. Clones

The category **Clone** - clones and clone homomorphisms =
(finitary) varieties and interpretations

Set functors vs. Clones

The category **Clone** - clones and clone homomorphisms = (finitary) varieties and interpretations

A variety can be described in terms of a finitary **monad** over **Set**.

Finitary monad = triple (F, μ, ν) , where F is finitary set functor, $\nu : Id \rightarrow F$, $\mu : F^2 \rightarrow F$ + axioms.

Monad homomorphisms = natural transformations which preserve μ, ν

Monad homomorphisms correspond precisely to interpretations.

Main Theorem and Problems

Theorem

The category of \mathcal{I} -accessible set endofunctors and natural transformations is alg-universal.

Main Theorem and Problems

Theorem

The category of \mathcal{I} -accessible set endofunctors and natural transformations is alg-universal.

Problems:

- ▶ Are accessible set functors universal?
- ▶ Are set functors hyper-universal?
- ▶ Are clones alg-universal?

Thank you for your attention!