Promises Make Finite (Constraint Satisfaction) Problems Infinitary

### Libor Barto

#### Department of Algebra, Charles University, Prague

### LICS, Vancouver, 26 June 2019





European Research Council Established by the European Commission CoCoSym: Symmetry in Computational Complexity

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 771005)

### Theorem

Efficiently solving a specific computational problem over a two-element domain requires an algorithm over an infinite domain.

# Outline

- What problem?
- What "require" means?
- How to prove the theorem?
- What next?

Fix  $\mathbb{A} = (A; R, S, ...)$  relational structure

 $\mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{A}$  means that there exists a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{A}$ 

### Definition $(CSP(\mathbb{A}))$

Input: finite X of the same signature as AAnswer Yes:  $X \to A$ Answer No:  $X \not\to A$ 

**Fact:** For finite  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $CSP(\mathbb{A})$  is always in NP.

- ▶  $\mathbb{K}_3 = (\{1, 2, 3\}; N), N = \{1, 2, 3\}^2 \setminus \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$ CSP( $\mathbb{K}_3$ ) is the 3-coloring problem for graphs
- ▶ for a suitable A, CSP(A) is the problem of solving systems of linear equations over a fixed field
- for a suitable  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $CSP(\mathbb{A})$  is 3-SAT
- ▶  $1IN3 = (\{0,1\}, 1in3), 1in3 = \{(0,0,1), (0,1,0), (1,0,0)\}$ CSP(1IN3) is the positive 1-in-3-SAT
- NAE = ({0,1}, NAE), NAE = {0,1}<sup>3</sup> \ {(0,0,0), (1,1,1)}
  CSP(NAE) is the positive NAE-3-SAT
  = 2-coloring problem for 3-uniform hypergraphs

 $\mathrm{CSP}(\mathbb{A})$  is often NP-complete. What can we do?

- 1. **Approximation**: satisfy only some fraction of the constraints, eg.
  - for a satisfiable 3SAT instance, find an assignment satisfying at least 90% of the clauses (NP-complete [Håstad'01])

# 2. Promise CSP: satisfy a relaxed version of all constraints, eg.

- for a 3-colorable graph, find a 37-coloring (conjecture: NP-c)
- For a yes input of CSP(1IN3), find a valid NAE-3-SAT assignment (in P!)

Fix two relational structures  $\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}$  such that  $\mathbb{A}\to\mathbb{B}$ 

# Definition $(PCSP(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}))$

Input: finite X of the same signature as A (and B) Answer Yes:  $X \to A$ Answer No:  $X \neq B$ 

**Example:**  $PCSP(\mathbb{K}_3, \mathbb{K}_4) = 4$ -coloring a 3-colorable graph

# CSP – complexity

- over two-element structures [Schaefer'78]
- over undirected graphs [Hell, Nešetřil'90]
- over finite structures [Bulatov'17], [Zhuk'17]

# PCSP – complexity

- wide open for two-element structures, undirected graphs
- ► harder hardness proofs, use PCP theory, topology; known eg.
  - 137-coloring a 2-colorable 3-uniform hypergraph [Dinur,Regev,Smyth'05]
  - 4-coloring a 3-colorable graph [Brakensiek, Guruswami'16]
  - ► 5-coloring a 3-colorable graph [Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal'19]
  - $PCSP(\mathbb{C}_{137}, \mathbb{K}_3)$  [Krokhin,Opršal]
- ▶ algorithmically richer uses eg. systems of equations over Z, linear programming

### Recall:

- ▶  $1IN3 = ({0,1}; {(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)})$
- $\mathbb{NAE} = (\{0,1\}; \{0,1\}^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0), (1,1,1)\})$

PCSP(1IN3, NAE)

Input: a 3-uniform hypergraph Answer Yes: there is a 2-coloring such that exactly one vertex in each hyperedge receives 1 Answer No: it is not 2-colorable

Fact: It is in P [Brakensiek,Guruswami'18]

### Algorithm for finding a 2-coloring of a Yes input:

- for each hyperedge  $\{x, y, z\}$  write x + y + z = 1
- solve the system over  $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{\frac{1}{3}\}$  (it is solvable in  $\{0,1\}$ )
- assign  $x \mapsto 1$  iff x > 1/3

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Observation: If } \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{B}, \\ & \text{then } \mathrm{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \text{ reduces to } \mathrm{CSP}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$ 

For PCSP(1IN3, NAE)

▶ take  $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{1/3\}; R)$ ,  $R = \{(x, y, z) : x + y + z = 1\}$ 

• 
$$1\mathbb{IN}3 \to \mathbb{C}$$
 via  $x \mapsto x$ 

•  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{NAE}$  via  $x \mapsto 1$  iff x > 1/3

**Remark:** One can also use e.g.  $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{Z}; x + y + z = 1)$ 

#### Theorem

If  $1IN3 \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{NAE}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  finite, then  $CSP(\mathbb{C})$  is NP-complete.

# Proof: main tool

Polymorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  = homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  $f: C^n \to C$  is cyclic if  $\forall x_i \ f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f(x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1)$ 

# Theorem ([Barto,Kozik'12])

Let  $\mathbb{C} = (C; ...)$  be finite. If, for some prime p > |C|,  $\mathbb{C}$  has no cyclic polymorphism of arity p, then  $\mathrm{CSP}(\mathbb{C})$  is NP-complete.

# Background in CSPs

- complexity is P or NP-c, and is tied to "closure properties" [Feder, Vardi'93]
- complexity depends only on polymorphisms [Jeavons'98]
- borderline between P and NP-c conjectured [Bulatov, Jeavons, Krokhin'05]
- borderline characterized in many ways (such as above)
- conjecture proved [Bulatov'17],[Zhuk'17]

# Proof: some details

- ▶ Assume  $f : 1IN3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{NAE}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  finite
- WLOG f is the inclusion
- ▶ Take *p* large enough, assume  $t : \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}$  cyclic
- ► Take  $s(x_{11}, ..., x_{pp}) = t(t(x_{11}, ..., x_{1p}), ..., t(x_{p1}, ..., x_{pp}))$ , arity  $n = p^2$
- Composition  $g(s(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n)))$  is a homo  $1\mathbb{IN}3 \to \mathbb{NAE}$ .
- ▶ This (+cyclicity of t) gives for "nice"  $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n$  that  $g(s(\mathbf{x})) = 1$  iff ham $(\mathbf{x}) > n/3$
- ▶ Take  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  such that  $t(\mathbf{a}) = t(\mathbf{b})$  and  $ham(\mathbf{a}) \neq ham(\mathbf{b})$
- ► Take suitable  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{a}, \dots, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \dots, \mathbf{c})$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots, \mathbf{c})$ 
  - $ham(\mathbf{x}) > n/3$  and  $ham(\mathbf{x}) < n/3$
  - ▶ both evaluations are nice for s, so  $s(x) \neq s(y)$
- ► But  $s(\mathbf{x}) = t(t(\mathbf{a}, \dots, t(\mathbf{a}), t(\mathbf{c}), \dots, t(\mathbf{c})))$ =  $t(t(\mathbf{b}, \dots, t(\mathbf{b}), t(\mathbf{c}), \dots, t(\mathbf{c})) = s(\mathbf{y})$ , a contradiction

The main tool was an NP-hardness criterion for CSPs via cyclic polymorphisms.

Improvements/alternatives can

- simplify the proof of the presented result
- simplify the proof of the dichotomy theorem

### Question

Assume a finite  $\mathbb{C}$  has a cyclic polymorphism. Does  $\mathbb{C}$  necessarily have a polymorphism s such that for any  $a, b \in C$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \{a, b\}^n$ , the value  $s(\mathbf{x})$  depends only on the number of occurrences of a in  $\mathbf{x}$ ?

### Question

Assume  $PCSP(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$  is in *P*. Is there always an infinite  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{B}$  and  $CSP(\mathbb{C})$  is in *P*?

(Such a family suggested in [Brakensiek,Guruswami'19] for PCSPs over two-element domains.)

If not, can  $PCSP(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$  be reduced to a  $CSP(\mathbb{C})$  in P in a more complicated way?

How to construct such a  $\mathbb{C}$ ?

### Question

Assume  $1\mathbb{IN3} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{NAE}$  and  $CSP(\mathbb{C})$  is in P. Can  $\mathbb{C}$  be

- reduct of a finitely bounded homogeneous structure?
- ω-categorical?

In this sense we can measure the "level of finiteness" for PCSPs.

### Question

For some classes of PCSPs, the complexity is known. [Brakensiek,Guruswami'18],[Ficak,Kozik,Olšák,Stankiewicz'19] Which PCSPs in P require infinite CSPs?

### Question

Assume  $1\mathbb{IN3} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{NAE}$  and  $CSP(\mathbb{C})$  is in P. Can  $\mathbb{C}$  be

- reduct of a finitely bounded homogeneous structure?
- ω-categorical?

In this sense we can measure the "level of finiteness" for PCSPs.

### Question

For some classes of PCSPs, the complexity is known. [Brakensiek, Guruswami'18], [Ficak, Kozik, Olšák, Stankiewicz'19] Which PCSPs in P require infinite CSPs?

# Thank you!