

# Jónsson Terms Imply Cyclic Terms For Finite Algebras

Libor Barto, Marcin Kozik, Todd Niven

Charles University in Prague,  
Czech Republic

Algorithmic Complexity and Universal Algebra, Szeged 2007

# Cyclic terms

**DEFINITION**  $n$ -ary **cyclic term** = term  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying

- ▶  $t$  is idempotent ...  $t(x, x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \approx t(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1)$

# Cyclic terms

**DEFINITION**  $n$ -ary **cyclic term** = term  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying

- ▶  $t$  is idempotent ...  $t(x, x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \approx t(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1)$

**FACT** "Only primes matter"

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra.

$C(\mathbf{A}) = \{n \in \omega \mid \mathbf{A} \text{ has an } n\text{-ary cyclic term op.}\}.$

Then  $m, n \in C(\mathbf{A})$  iff  $mn \in C(\mathbf{A})$ .

# Cyclic terms

**DEFINITION**  $n$ -ary **cyclic term** = term  $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying

- ▶  $t$  is idempotent ...  $t(x, x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \approx t(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1)$

**FACT** "Only primes matter"

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra.

$C(\mathbf{A}) = \{n \in \omega \mid \mathbf{A} \text{ has an } n\text{-ary cyclic term op.}\}.$

Then  $m, n \in C(\mathbf{A})$  iff  $mn \in C(\mathbf{A})$ .

**PROPOSITION** "Semantic meaning"

Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be an idempotent variety.  $\mathbb{V}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term iff for all  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ , if  $\alpha^n = id$ , then  $\alpha$  has a fixed point.

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

ANSWER Everyone 07 No!



# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

ANSWER Kozik, Marković, Computer 07 Yes, for atmost 3-element algebras!

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

ANSWER Barto, Kozik, Niven 07 Yes!

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

THEOREM Barto, Kozik, Niven "Majority  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms"

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

THEOREM Barto, Kozik, Niven "Majority  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms"

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite algebra with a majority term. Then  $\mathbf{A}$  has a  $p$ -ary cyclic term for every prime  $p > |\mathbf{A}|$ .

# Question: Does majority imply cyclic?

RECALL Majority term

$$\dots m(x, x, y) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(y, x, x) \approx x$$

QUESTION McKenzie 07? Does every finite algebra with a majority term have a cyclic term?

MOTIVATION Constraint satisfaction problem

THEOREM Barto, Kozik, Niven "Majority  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms"

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite algebra with a majority term. Then  $\mathbf{A}$  has a  $p$ -ary cyclic term for every prime  $p > |\mathbf{A}|$ . And we can't want more.

## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$

# Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$

## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -weak near unanimity ( $n$ -WNU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y), u(x, \dots, x) \approx x$



## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -weak near unanimity ( $n$ -WNU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y), u(x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶ **Malcev (CP)**  $p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$

## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -weak near unanimity ( $n$ -WNU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx$   
 $u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y), u(x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶ **Malcev (CP)**  $p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$
- ▶ **Jónsson (CD)**

## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -weak near unanimity ( $n$ -WNU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y), u(x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶ **Malcev (CP)**  $p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$
- ▶ **Jónsson (CD)**
- ▶ **Gumm (CM)**

## Several kinds of terms

- ▶ **majority (3-NU)**  $m(y, x, x) \approx m(x, y, x) \approx m(x, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -near unanimity ( $n$ -NU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y) \approx x$
- ▶  **$n$ -weak near unanimity ( $n$ -WNU)**  $u(y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx u(x, y, x, x, \dots, x) \approx \dots \approx u(x, \dots, x, y), u(x, \dots, x) \approx x$
- ▶ **Malcev (CP)**  $p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$
- ▶ **Jónsson (CD)**
- ▶ **Gumm (CM)**
- ▶ **Taylor's**

# Look at the blackboard!

**FACT** 3-NU  $\Rightarrow$  4-NU  $\Rightarrow$  5-NU  $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$  Jónsson  $\Rightarrow$  Gumm  $\Rightarrow$  Taylor (the weakest nontrivial), Malcev  $\Rightarrow$  Gumm

**THEOREM** Maróti, McKenzie 06 For a finite algebra, Taylor  $\Rightarrow$  WNU.

**THEOREMS** For a finite algebra

- ▶ Jónsson  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms [BKN](#)
- ▶ Malcev  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms [Maróti, McKenzie](#)
- ▶ Gumm  $\Rightarrow$  many cyclic terms [Maróti, McKenzie](#)

**QUESTION** WNU  $\Rightarrow$  (many) cyclic term(s)? (For finite algebras, of course)

# I'll sketch the proof of

**THEOREM** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite algebra with Jónsson term operations. Then  $\mathbf{A}$  has a  $p$ -ary cyclic term operation for every prime  $p > |\mathbf{A}|$ .

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  
 $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  
 $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE



# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term.

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term.
- ▶  $(\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term}) (\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n)$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term.
- ▶  $(\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term}) (\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n)$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .
- ▶  $(\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n) (\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term})$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term.
- ▶  $(\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term}) (\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n)$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .
- ▶  $(\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n) (\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term})$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .
- ▶  $(\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n) Sg(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \dots) \ni \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$ .

# Proof - the beginning

**NOTATION** For a tuple  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , let  $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1 \rangle$ .

**FACT** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. TFAE

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$ -ary cyclic term.
- ▶  $(\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term}) (\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n)$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .
- ▶  $(\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n) (\exists t \text{ } n\text{-ary term})$   
 $t(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \sigma^2(\bar{a}), \dots, \sigma^{n-1}(\bar{a})) = \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{A}$ .
- ▶  $(\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbf{A}^n) Sg(\bar{a}, \sigma(\bar{a}), \dots) \ni \langle c, c, \dots, c \rangle$ .
- ▶ Every  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  contains a constant  $n$ -tuple.

## First part of the proof - loops in graphs

**LEMMA** Let  $G \leq \mathbf{B}^2$ , where  $\mathbf{B}$  has a majority term. Let  $G$  (viewed as a graph) be strongly connected and the greatest common divisor of the lengths of cycles in  $G$  is 1.

Then  $G$  contains a loop.

## First part of the proof - loops in graphs

**LEMMA** Let  $G \leq \mathbf{B}^2$ , where  $\mathbf{B}$  has a majority term. Let  $G$  (viewed as a graph) be strongly connected and the greatest common divisor of the lengths of cycles in  $G$  is 1.

Then  $G$  contains a loop.

**Crucial idea of the proof:**

Consider the following system of sets

$$\mathcal{C}_G = \{R \subseteq A \mid (\forall r, s \in R, a \in A) \begin{array}{l} m(r, s, a) \in R \\ m(r, a, s) \in R \\ m(a, r, s) \in R \end{array}\}$$

## First part of the proof - loops in graphs

**LEMMA** Let  $G \leq \mathbf{B}^2$ , where  $\mathbf{B}$  has a majority term. Let  $G$  (viewed as a graph) be strongly connected and the greatest common divisor of the lengths of cycles in  $G$  is 1.

Then  $G$  contains a loop.

**Crucial idea of the proof:**

Consider the following system of sets

$$\mathcal{C}_G = \{R \subseteq A \mid (\forall r, s \in R, a \in A) \begin{array}{l} m(r, s, a) \in R \\ m(r, a, s) \in R \\ m(a, r, s) \in R \end{array}\}$$

It is closed under intersection, it contains singletons, . . .



## The second part of the proof

Let **A** be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S\} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

- ▶ This graph is strongly connected

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

- ▶ This graph is strongly connected
- ▶ Every vertex is in a  $p$ -cycle

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

- ▶ This graph is strongly connected
- ▶ Every vertex is in a  $p$ -cycle
- ▶ Using simplicity and congruence properties (join semidistributivity suffices) one can find  $(lp + 1)$ -cycle



## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

- ▶ This graph is strongly connected
- ▶ Every vertex is in a  $p$ -cycle
- ▶ Using simplicity and congruence properties (join semidistributivity suffices) one can find  $(lp + 1)$ -cycle
- ▶ From Lemma we get that it contains loop

## The second part of the proof

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem wrt  $|A|$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is simple.

Take  $S \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ ,  $\sigma(S) = S$  and some  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{A}^k$

and  $G = \{ \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle, \langle a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} \rangle \rangle \mid \langle a_1, \dots, a_p \rangle \in S \} \leq \mathbf{B}^2$

- ▶ This graph is strongly connected
- ▶ Every vertex is in a  $p$ -cycle
- ▶ Using simplicity and congruence properties (join semidistributivity suffices) one can find  $(lp + 1)$ -cycle
- ▶ From Lemma we get that it contains loop
- ▶ If  $k = n - 1$  we get a constant tuple

web: <http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~barto>

*Thank you for your attention!*