

Cyclic terms for join semi-distributive varieties II

Marcin Kozik

joint work with Libor Barto

TCS @ Jagiellonian University
Kraków, Poland

AAA Bern 2009

Cyclic algebras

Definition (Cyclic algebra)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. A subalgebra $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^n$ is *cyclic*, if

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in R \Rightarrow (a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1) \in R$$

Cyclic algebras

Definition (Cyclic algebra)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. A subalgebra $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^n$ is *cyclic*, if

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in R \Rightarrow (a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1) \in R$$

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is an algebra

Cyclic algebras

Definition (Cyclic algebra)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. A subalgebra $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^n$ is *cyclic*, if

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in R \Rightarrow (a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1) \in R$$

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is an algebra
- ▶ R is a relation on A **compatible** with operations of algebra \mathbf{A}

Cyclic algebras

Definition (Cyclic algebra)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra. A subalgebra $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^n$ is *cyclic*, if

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \quad (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in R \Rightarrow (a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1) \in R$$

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is an algebra
- ▶ R is a relation on A **compatible** with operations of algebra \mathbf{A}

Definition (A retraction)

For a relational structure (A, R) a function $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a *retraction* iff

- ▶ $f(f(a)) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and
- ▶ if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R$ then $(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \in R$ (*endomorphism*).

The missing theorem

Theorem (For simple algebras)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, simple algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and

The missing theorem

Theorem (For simple algebras)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, simple algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and let p be a prime number greater than $|A|$

The missing theorem

Theorem (For simple algebras)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, simple algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and let p be a prime number greater than $|\mathbf{A}|$ and let $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be a cyclic and subdirect subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^p .

The missing theorem

Theorem (For simple algebras)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, simple algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and let p be a prime number greater than $|A|$ and let $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be a cyclic and subdirect subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^p . If R has more than one element then (A, R) has a non-trivial retraction.

The missing theorem

Theorem (For simple algebras)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, simple algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and let p be a prime number greater than $|A|$ and let $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be a cyclic and subdirect subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^p . If R has more than one element then (A, R) has a non-trivial retraction.

and more generally

Theorem (General case)

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite, *simple* algebra from an $SD(\vee)$ variety and let p be a prime number greater than $|A|$ and let $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be a cyclic *and subdirect* subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^p . Then R *contains a constant tuple*.

The general case from the simple case

Let **A** and **R** be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ \mathbf{R}/θ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(\mathbf{A}/\theta)^p$

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ \mathbf{R}/θ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(\mathbf{A}/\theta)^p$ and therefore \mathbf{R}/θ contains a constant tuple $(a/\theta, \dots, a/\theta)$.

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ \mathbf{R}/θ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(\mathbf{A}/\theta)^P$ and therefore \mathbf{R}/θ contains a constant tuple $(a/\theta, \dots, a/\theta)$.
 - ▶ a/θ is an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $R \cap (a/\theta)^P$ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(a/\theta)^P$

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ \mathbf{R}/θ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(\mathbf{A}/\theta)^P$ and therefore \mathbf{R}/θ contains a constant tuple $(a/\theta, \dots, a/\theta)$.
 - ▶ a/θ is an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $R \cap (a/\theta)^P$ is a cyclic subalgebra of $(a/\theta)^P$ thus $(a/\theta)^P$ contains a constant tuple.

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)
 - ▶ if t_1, \dots, t_m are idempotent operations of \mathbf{A} satisfying a Maltsev condition for $SD(\vee)$;

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)
 - ▶ if t_1, \dots, t_m are idempotent operations of \mathbf{A} satisfying a Maltsev condition for $SD(\vee)$;
 - ▶ then, putting $\mathbf{B} = (f(A), ft_1, \dots, ft_m)$,

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)
 - ▶ if t_1, \dots, t_m are idempotent operations of \mathbf{A} satisfying a Maltsev condition for $SD(\vee)$;
 - ▶ then, putting $\mathbf{B} = (f(A), ft_1, \dots, ft_m)$,
 - ▶ \mathbf{B} is an $SD(\vee)$ algebra (as ft_1, \dots, ft_m satisfy all linear equations satisfied by t_1, \dots, t_m)

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)
 - ▶ if t_1, \dots, t_m are idempotent operations of \mathbf{A} satisfying a Maltsev condition for $SD(\vee)$;
 - ▶ then, putting $\mathbf{B} = (f(A), ft_1, \dots, ft_m)$,
 - ▶ \mathbf{B} is an $SD(\vee)$ algebra (as ft_1, \dots, ft_m satisfy all linear equations satisfied by t_1, \dots, t_m)
 - ▶ and $f(R) = (\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{B}^p) \leq \mathbf{B}^p$ is a cyclic subalgebra of \mathbf{B}

The general case from the simple case

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{R} be the minimal counterexample to the general case. And, WLOG, the algebras are idempotent

- ▶ \mathbf{R} is subdirect (as otherwise it contains a constant tuple)
- ▶ if \mathbf{A} has a non-trivial congruence θ then
 - ▶ ...OK
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is simple.
 - ▶ there exists a non-trivial retraction f of (A, R)
 - ▶ if t_1, \dots, t_m are idempotent operations of \mathbf{A} satisfying a Maltsev condition for $SD(\vee)$;
 - ▶ then, putting $\mathbf{B} = (f(A), ft_1, \dots, ft_m)$,
 - ▶ \mathbf{B} is an $SD(\vee)$ algebra (as ft_1, \dots, ft_m satisfy all linear equations satisfied by t_1, \dots, t_m)
 - ▶ and $f(R) = (\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{B}^p) \leq \mathbf{B}^p$ is a cyclic subalgebra of \mathbf{B}
 - ▶ from minimality of \mathbf{A} it contains a constant tuple ...OK

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ C is a subuniverse of $\mathbf{A}^{A \times p}$

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ C is a subuniverse of $\mathbf{A}^{A \times p}$
- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and

$$(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R \text{ whenever } (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R.$$

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $\text{SD}(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ C is a subuniverse of $\mathbf{A}^{A \times p}$
- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \in C$

The simple case

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $\mathbf{A}^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ C is a subuniverse of $\mathbf{A}^{A \times p}$
- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and

$$(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R \text{ whenever } (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R.$$

- ▶ $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \in C$
- ▶ $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_p) \in C$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$,

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $\text{SD}(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ if $(g, g, \dots, g) \in C$ then g is an endomorphism of (A, R) ;

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $\text{SD}(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ if $(g, g, \dots, g) \in C$ then g is an endomorphism of (A, R) ;
- ▶ if $(f_1, \dots, f_p), d = (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$, then $(f_1 \circ g_1, \dots, f_p \circ g_p) \in C$;

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ if $(g, g, \dots, g) \in C$ then g is an endomorphism of (A, R) ;
- ▶ if $(f_1, \dots, f_p), d = (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$, then $(f_1 \circ g_1, \dots, f_p \circ g_p) \in C$;
- ▶ if $(g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ then $(g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_{j+p}) \in C$ for any j .

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ if $(g, g, \dots, g) \in C$ then g is an endomorphism of (A, R) ;
- ▶ if $(f_1, \dots, f_p), d = (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$, then $(f_1 \circ g_1, \dots, f_p \circ g_p) \in C$;
- ▶ if $(g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ then $(g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_{j+p}) \in C$ for any j .

The simple case reloaded

Let \mathbf{A} be an $SD(\vee)$ algebra and $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{A}^p$ be cyclic (for a prime $p > |A|$).

Definition

An *unfolding* of R is the p -ary relation R' on the set $A \times p$ defined by

$$R' = \{((a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, p)) \in (A \times p)^p \mid (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R\}.$$

An *unfolding power* C of R is the subset of $A^{A \times p}$ consisting of all the homomorphisms from the relational structure $(A \times p, R')$ to (A, R) .

- ▶ any $g \in C$ is a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_p) (where $g_i(a) = g((a, i))$) and $(g_1(a_1), \dots, g_p(a_p)) \in R$ whenever $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in R$.
- ▶ if $(g, g, \dots, g) \in C$ then g is an endomorphism of (A, R) ;
- ▶ if $(f_1, \dots, f_p), d = (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$, then $(f_1 \circ g_1, \dots, f_p \circ g_p) \in C$;
- ▶ if $(g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ then $(g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_{j+p}) \in C$ for any j .

The simple case concluded

Definition

For two tuples $(f_1, \dots, f_p), (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ we define a congruence η_j

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \eta_j (g_1, \dots, g_p) \text{ iff } (f_i = g_i \text{ for all } i \neq j)$$

The simple case concluded

Definition

For two tuples $(f_1, \dots, f_p), (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ we define a congruence η_j

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \eta_j (g_1, \dots, g_p) \text{ iff } (f_i = g_i \text{ for all } i \neq j)$$

From the $SD(\vee)$ we infer that

$$\bigvee_j \eta_j \text{ is the full congruence on } C$$

The simple case concluded

Definition

For two tuples $(f_1, \dots, f_p), (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ we define a congruence η_j

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \eta_j (g_1, \dots, g_p) \text{ iff } (f_i = g_i \text{ for all } i \neq j)$$

From the $SD(\vee)$ we infer that

$$\bigvee_j \eta_j \text{ is the full congruence on } C$$

and therefore there is j , and not onto $f_j : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \eta_j (f_1, \dots, f_p)$$

The simple case concluded

Definition

For two tuples $(f_1, \dots, f_p), (g_1, \dots, g_p) \in C$ we define a congruence η_j

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \eta_j (g_1, \dots, g_p) \text{ iff } (f_i = g_i \text{ for all } i \neq j)$$

From the $SD(\vee)$ we infer that

$$\bigvee_j \eta_j \text{ is the full congruence on } C$$

and therefore there is j , and not onto $f_j : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \eta_j (f_1, \dots, f_p)$$

thus $f_i = \text{id}_A$ for $i \neq j$ and finally

$$(f_j, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \circ (\text{id}_A, f_j, \text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A) \circ \dots \circ (\text{id}_A, \dots, \text{id}_A, f_j) = (f_j, \dots, f_j)$$

The end

Thank you for your attention.