

### CSP lecture 21/22 winter semester – Problem Set 3

An  $n$ -ary operation on a set  $A$  is a mapping  $A^n \rightarrow A$ . The  $n$ -ary projection onto the  $i$ -th coordinate (on a set  $A$ ) is the operation  $\pi_i^n$  defined by  $\pi_i^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_i$  for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ .

An  $n$ -ary operation  $f : A^n \rightarrow A$  is *compatible* with an  $m$ -ary relation  $R \subseteq A^m$  if  $f(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) \in R$  (operation is applied coordinate-wise) whenever  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n \in R$ . In other words, for any  $m \times n$  matrix whose columns are in  $R$ ,  $f$  applied to the rows of this matrix gives a tuple in  $R$ . In such a situation, we also say that  $R$  is compatible with  $f$ , or  $R$  is invariant under  $f$ .

An operation  $A^n \rightarrow A$  is a *polymorphism* of a relational structure  $\mathbb{A} = (A; \dots)$  if it is compatible with all the relations in  $\mathbb{A}$ . The set of all polymorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}$  is denoted  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$ .

**Problem 1.** Observe that

- $f : A^n \rightarrow A$  is compatible with every singleton unary relation  $\{a\}$ ,  $a \in A$ , iff  $f(a, \dots, a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;
- the constant unary operation  $c_a : A \rightarrow A$  (defined by  $c_a(b) = a$  for any  $b \in A$ ) is compatible with  $R \subseteq A^n$  iff  $R$  contains the tuple  $(a, a, \dots, a)$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $A$  be a set. Prove that  $f$  is compatible with every relation on  $A$  if and only if  $f$  is a projection.

**Problem 3.** Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A; \dots)$  be a relational structure,  $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$  a binary polymorphism and  $g \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$  a ternary polymorphism. Then the 4-ary operation  $h$  defined by

$$h(a, b, c, d) = g(a, f(c, g(b, b, d)), c), \quad a, b, c, d \in A$$

is a polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$  as well. Try to formulate a general statement.

**Problem 4.** Find all unary and binary polymorphisms of the structure  $\mathbb{A} = (\{0, 1\}; H, C_0, C_1)$  from Problem Set 1 (Problem 2 – HORN-SAT).

**Problem 5.** Find all unary and binary polymorphisms of the structure

$$\mathbb{A} = (\{0, 1\}; \text{all unary and binary relations})$$

from Problem Set 1 (Problem 1 – 2-SAT). Find some nice nontrivial (= not a projection) polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Problem 6.** Find all unary, binary, and ternary polymorphisms of the structure  $\mathbb{A} = (\{0, 1\}; C_0, C_1, G_1, G_2)$  from Problem Set 1 (Problem 3 – LIN-EQ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )).

A relation  $R \subseteq A^m$  is *pp-definable* from  $\mathbb{A} = (A; \dots)$  if it can be defined from relations in  $\mathbb{A}$  by a pp-formula, that is, a formula which only uses conjunction, equality, and existential quantification. A relational structure  $\mathbb{B} = (B; \dots)$  is pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$  if  $A = B$  and each relation in  $\mathbb{B}$  is pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$ . We also say that  $\mathbb{A}$  pp-defines  $\mathbb{B}$ .

**Problem 7.** Prove that any relation pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$  is invariant under every polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Problem 8.** Find all polymorphisms of the structure  $\mathbb{B}$  in Problem Set 2, Problem 4. (3-SAT). Hint: only projections; possible approach: (1) pp-define the four-ary relations of the form  $R_{a,b,c,d} = \{0, 1\}^4 \setminus \{(a, b, c, d)\}$ , (2) pp-define all four-ary relations (3) similarly, pp-define every relation, (4) use the results of other problems in this problem set.

**Problem 9.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a finite structure. Prove that a relation invariant under every polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$  is pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$ . Proof strategy:

- (i) Denote  $R = \{(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1k}), \dots, (c_{m1}, \dots, c_{mk})\}$

(ii) Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  be a complete list of  $m$ -tuples of elements of  $A$  (ie.  $n = |A|^m$ )

(iii) Prove that the relation

$$S = \{(f(\mathbf{a}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{a}_n)) : f \text{ is an } m\text{-ary polymorphism}\}$$

is pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$  (no need to use existential quantification)

(iv) Existentially quantify over all coordinates but those corresponding to  $(c_{11}, \dots, c_{m1}), \dots, (c_{1k}, \dots, c_{mk})$

(v) Prove that the obtained relation contains  $R$  (because of projections) and is contained in  $R$  (because of compatibility)

**Problem 9'.** Let  $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}; R, U)$ , where

$$R = \{(x, y), (x', y') \mid x = x', |y' - y| \in \{1, 2\}\}, \quad U = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Prove that  $\{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is invariant under every polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$ , but that this set is not pp-definable from  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Problem 10.** Observe that, for finite structures  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$ ,

- $\mathbb{A}$  pp-defines  $\mathbb{B}$  iff  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq \text{Pol}(\mathbb{B})$  and in such a case  $\text{CSP}(\mathbb{B}) \leq_P \text{CSP}(\mathbb{A})$ ;
- any CSP over a two-element structure is polynomially reducible to 3-SAT
- if  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}) \subseteq \text{Pol}(\mathbb{B})$ , then the proof of Problem 9 gives an explicit pp-formulas defining relations in  $\mathbb{B}$  from relations in  $\mathbb{A}$ .
- In particular, for  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  as in Problem Set 2, Problem 4, we get  $\text{CSP}(\mathbb{C}) \leq \text{CSP}(\mathbb{B})$ . How large are the explicit formulas defining relations in  $\mathbb{C}$  from relations in  $\mathbb{B}$ ?