

Life Insurance 2 – exercises

Martin Branda, 29 March 2020

4 Multiple Life Insurance

Please read the theory in Chapter 8 of Gerber book. You can¹ also solve the examples in Appendix C.8.

We consider m independent² lives with (random) future lifetimes

$$T_1 := T_{x_1}, \dots, T_m := T_{x_m}.$$

Notation

- Joint-life status

- status $u = x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m =$ all m participating lives survive,
- failure time

$$T(u) = \min\{T_1, \dots, T_m\},$$

- survival probability

$${}_t p_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} = P(T(u) > t) = \prod_{k=1}^m P(T_k > t) = \prod_{k=1}^m {}_t p_{x_k},$$

and

$${}_t q_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} = 1 - {}_t p_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m}.$$

Under independence, we set

$$l_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} = \prod_{k=1}^m l_{x_k}, \quad d_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} = l_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} - l_{x_1+1 : x_2+1 : \dots : x_m+1}.$$

Then

$${}_t p_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} = \frac{l_{x_1+t : x_2+t : \dots : x_m+t}}{l_{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m}}.$$

- Last-survivor status

- status $u = \overline{x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_m} =$ at least one of the m lives survives,
- failure time

$$T(u) = \max\{T_1, \dots, T_m\},$$

¹It is not mandatory, but it can help you.

²The independence is quite questionable assumption, especially when we consider a family insurance. There are several approaches how to elaborate the dependence, e.g., copula functions or conditional forces of mortality.

– survival probability

$${}_t p_{\overline{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m}} = P(T(u) > t) = S_1^t - S_2^t + \dots + (-1)^{m-1} S_m^t,$$

where

$$S_k^t = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} {}_t p_{x_{j_1}:x_{j_2}:\dots:x_{j_k}},$$

and

$${}_t q_{\overline{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m}} = 1 - {}_t p_{\overline{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m}}.$$

Example 4.1 Consider the following insurances for a pair of independent lives at ages x and y :

- a) joint-life whole life insurance payable on the first death,
- b) joint-life life annuity-due.
- c) joint-life life annuity-due for n years.

Derive a reasonable generalization of the commutation functions which enable you to simplify the computation of the net single premiums.

Solution: a) Under the independence of lives

$$\begin{aligned} A_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^{k+1} {}_k p_{x:y} q_{x:y} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k \frac{l_{x+k:y+k}}{l_{x:y}} \frac{d_{x+k:y+k}}{l_{x+k:y+k}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{f(x+k,y+k)}}{v^{f(x,y)}} \frac{d_{x+k:y+k}}{l_{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

There are several possible choices of f :

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad f(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}, \quad f(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}.$$

On the other hand, it is not possible to use simple sum of the ages as f , because we need a transformation which preserves v^k . So, if we define the commutation functions as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{x:y} &= v^{f(x+1,y+1)} d_{x:y}, & D_{x:y} &= v^{f(x,y)} l_{x:y}, \\ M_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{x+k:y+k}, & N_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{x+k:y+k}, \\ R_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{x+k:y+k}, & S_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{x+k:y+k}, \end{aligned}$$

we can get the standard expression for NSP

$$A_{x:y} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{x+k:y+k}}{D_{x:y}} = \frac{M_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}}.$$

b) For the life annuity-due, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_{x:y} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_{x:y} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^{k+1} \frac{l_{x+k:y+k}}{l_{x:y}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{f(x+k+1, y+k+1)}}{v^{f(x, y)}} \frac{l_{x+k:y+k}}{l_{x:y}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_{x+k:y+k}}{D_{x:y}} = \frac{N_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

c) For the life annuity-due for n years, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_{x:y|\overline{n}|} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} v^k {}_k p_{x:y} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D_{x+k:y+k}}{D_{x:y}} = \frac{N_{x:y} - N_{x+n:y+n}}{D_{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.2 *The generalization of the commutation functions to m lives is straightforward, e.g.*

$$C_{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m} = v^{f(x_1+1, \dots, x_m+1)} d_{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m}, \quad D_{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m} = v^{f(x_1, \dots, x_m)} l_{x_1:x_2:\dots:x_m},$$

where

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m x_k}{m}, \text{ or } f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \text{ or } f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}.$$

Note that also the relations between CF which we know from the univariate case are valid, e.g.

$$M_{x:y} = D_{x:y} - d N_{x:y}.$$

Example 4.3 *Verify that*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{x:y} &= 1 - d \cdot \ddot{a}_{x:y}, \\ A_{\overline{x:y}} &= 1 - d \cdot \ddot{a}_{\overline{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

Solution: One possibility is to use the following identity

$$1 + v + \dots + v^K = \frac{1 - v^{K+1}}{1 - v},$$

and compute the expected value with respect to one of the following distribution of curtate future lifetime K :

$$P(K = k) = {}_k p_{x:y} q_{x:y}, \text{ or}$$

$$P(K = k) = {}_k p_{\overline{x:y}} q_{\overline{x:y}}.$$

Other possibilities are a direct derivation using the formula for the net single premiums, or using the relations between the commutation functions. \square

Example 4.4 Consider the following insurances for a pair of independent lives at ages x and y :

- a) last-survival life annuity-due.
- b) last-survival whole life insurance payable on the last death,

Using the above introduced commutation functions derive the net single premiums.

Solution: a)

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_{\overline{x:y}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_{\overline{x:y}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k ({}_k p_x + {}_k p_y - {}_k p_{x:y}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_x + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_y - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_{x:y} \\ &= \frac{N_x}{D_x} + \frac{N_y}{D_y} - \frac{N_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

b) We can use the previous example to get

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}_{\overline{x:y}} &= 1 - d \ddot{a}_{\overline{x:y}} \\ &= 1 + 1 - 1 - d \left(\frac{N_x}{D_x} + \frac{N_y}{D_y} - \frac{N_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}} \right) \\ &= \frac{D_x - d N_x}{D_x} + \frac{D_y - d N_y}{D_y} - \frac{D_{x:y} - d N_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}} \\ &= \frac{M_x}{D_x} + \frac{M_y}{D_y} - \frac{M_{x:y}}{D_{x:y}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Example 4.5 Consider

- a) widow's annuity-due (asymmetric) – payment stream of rate 1 starts at the death of husband x and terminates at the death of wife y .
- b) widow's and widower's annuity-due (symmetric) – payment stream starts at the death of husband x or wife y and terminates at the death of wife y or husband x .
- c) orphan's annuity-due – payment stream starts at the death of parents x, y and terminates at the death of child z or by reaching the age of 18.

Solution: a) Denote by u the status when wife is living and husband died

$${}_k p_u^{(a)} = {}_k p_y (1 - {}_k p_x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_u^{(a)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_u^{(a)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_y (1 - {}_k p_x) \\ &= \ddot{a}_y - \ddot{a}_{x:y}. \end{aligned}$$

b) Denote by u the status when the wife is living and the husband died or vice versa

$${}_k p_u^{(b)} = {}_k p_y (1 - {}_k p_x) + {}_k p_x (1 - {}_k p_y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_u^{(b)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k {}_k p_u^{(b)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k ({}_k p_y (1 - {}_k p_x) + {}_k p_x (1 - {}_k p_y)) \\ &= \ddot{a}_x + \ddot{a}_y - 2\ddot{a}_{x:y}. \end{aligned}$$

c) Denote by u the status when the child is living and the parents died and set $n = 18 - z$.

Then

$${}_k p_u^{(c)} = {}_k p_z (1 - {}_k p_x)(1 - {}_k p_y),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_{u|\overline{n}|}^{(c)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} v^k {}_k p_u^{(c)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} v^k {}_k p_z (1 - {}_k p_x)(1 - {}_k p_y) \\ &= \ddot{a}_{z|\overline{n}|} - \ddot{a}_{x:z|\overline{n}|} - \ddot{a}_{y:z|\overline{n}|} + \ddot{a}_{x:y:z|\overline{n}|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.6 Consider orphan's annuity-due where payment stream starts at the death of parents x, y and terminates when both children z, w reach the age of 18 or at the death of last child.