

(a)  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(a, b)$ ,  $\lambda' = 0 \Rightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{F} : \lambda = \lambda_c$

Proof: Let us prove  $\ker \lambda_1 \subset \ker \lambda$  :

$$\varphi \in \ker \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1(\varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_a^b \varphi = 0$$

define  $\psi(t) = \int_a^t \varphi$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$ . Then  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$  and  $\psi' = \varphi$

Thus  $\lambda(\varphi) = \lambda(\psi') = -\lambda'(\psi) = 0$ , so  $\varphi \in \ker \lambda$

It now follows that  $\exists c \in \mathbb{F} : \lambda = c \cdot \lambda_1$  (for example by lemma VI.3)

Then  $\lambda = \lambda_c$ .

(b1)  $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^d (a_j, b_j)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ ,  $D^\alpha \lambda = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 1 \Rightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{F} : \lambda = \lambda_c$

Proof: By induction on  $d$ . The case  $d=1$  is covered by (a).

Assume  $d \geq 2$  and the statement holds for  $d-1$

Notation:  $\Omega' = \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} (a_j, b_j)$ ;  $x \in \Omega \Rightarrow x = (x', x_d)$ , where  $x' \in \Omega'$ ,  $x_d \in (a_d, b_d)$   
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \Rightarrow \alpha = (\alpha', \alpha_d)$ ,  $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d-1}$ ,  $\alpha_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  be s.t.  $D^\alpha \lambda = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

It means:  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\} : \lambda(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}) = 0$

Claim Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\exists \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  s.t.  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_d} = \psi \Leftrightarrow \forall x' \in \Omega' : \int_{a_d}^{b_d} \psi(x', x_d) dx_d = 0$

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow: \int_{a_d}^{b_d} \psi(x', x_d) dx_d = \int_{a_d}^{b_d} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_d}(x', x_d) dx_d = \left[ \varphi(x', t) \right]_{t=a_d}^{t=b_d} = 0$$

$$\Leftarrow: \text{Define } \varphi(x', x_d) = \int_{a_d}^{x_d} \psi(x', t) dt \quad ]$$

Define  $T: \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega')$  by

$$T\varphi(x') = \int_{a_d}^{b_d} \varphi(x', x_d) dx_d$$

$\Gamma \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \Rightarrow T\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega')$  :  $T\varphi \in C^\infty$  by differentiating w.r. to a parameter

split  $T\varphi \subset$  projection of  $\text{split } \varphi$  to  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , it is compact  
 $T$  is clearly linear and  $\text{Ker } T \subset \text{Ker } \Lambda$   
 $\Gamma T\varphi = 0 \stackrel{\text{claim}}{\Rightarrow} \exists \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_d} = \varphi \Rightarrow \Lambda(\varphi) = 0 \quad \square$

Fix  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}((a_d, b_d))$  with  $\int_{a_d}^{b_d} \eta = 1$ .  
 For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  define  $(\varphi \cdot \eta)(x', x_d) = \varphi(x') \cdot \eta(x_d)$   
 Then  $\varphi \cdot \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Define  $\Lambda'(\varphi) = \Lambda(\varphi \cdot \eta)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$\Rightarrow \Lambda' \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$   $\Gamma \Lambda'$  linear - clear

$K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  compact  $\Rightarrow K \times \text{split } \eta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  compact

$\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \varphi \cdot \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{K \times \text{split } \eta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|\Lambda'(\varphi)| = |\Lambda(\varphi \cdot \eta)| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi \cdot \eta\|_K \leq C \cdot \|\eta\|_K \cdot \|\varphi\|_K$$

$\exists N \exists C$   $\uparrow$

$$\mathcal{D}'(\varphi \cdot \eta) = \mathcal{D}'\varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_d} \quad \square$$

Moreover  $\forall d' \text{ s.t. } |d'| = 1 : \mathcal{D}^{d'} \Lambda' = 0$

$$\Gamma \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d), j=1, \dots, d-1 : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Lambda'(\varphi) = \Lambda' \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right) = -\Lambda \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \cdot \eta \right) = -\Lambda \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\varphi \cdot \eta) \right) = 0 \quad \square$$

By the induction hypothesis  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_c$  ( $c \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

We claim that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_c$  ( $c \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

$\Gamma \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \varphi - T\varphi \cdot \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , moreover  
 $\varphi - T\varphi \cdot \eta \in \text{Ker } T \subset \text{Ker } \Lambda$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\varphi) &= \Lambda(T\varphi \cdot \eta) = \Lambda'(T\varphi) = \Lambda_c(T\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} c \cdot T\varphi = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} c \cdot \left( \int_{a_d}^{b_d} \varphi(x', x_d) dx_d \right) dx' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c \cdot \varphi = \Lambda_c(\varphi) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(52)  $\Omega$  open connected,  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ ,  $D^d \Lambda = 0$  whenever  $|\alpha| = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{F}$  s.t.  $\Lambda = \Lambda_c$

Proof: By (51) we know that  $\forall Q \subset \Omega$  cuboid (regularly placed)  
 $\exists c \in \mathbb{F}$  s.t.  $\Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q)} = \Lambda_c$  (on  $\mathcal{D}'(Q)$ )

Let us show  $c$  does not depend on  $Q$ :

Fix a cuboid  $Q_0 \subset \Omega$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}$  s.t.  $\Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q_0)} = \Lambda_c$

Set  $A = \{x \in \Omega; \exists Q \subset \Omega \text{ cuboid s.t. } x \in Q \subseteq \Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q)} = \Lambda_c\}$

Then,  $A \neq \emptyset$  (as  $Q_0 \subset \Omega$ )

•  $A$  is open ( $x \in A \Rightarrow \exists Q$  s.t.  $\dots \Rightarrow Q \subset A$ )

•  $A$  is closed in  $\Omega$ :  $x \in \bar{A} \cap \Omega$

Find  $Q$  a cuboid s.t.  $x \in Q \subset \Omega$

then  $\exists d \in \mathbb{F}$  s.t.  $\Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q)} = \Lambda_d$

Further,  $Q \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , so take  $y \in Q \cap A$

$\Rightarrow \exists Q_1$ , a cuboid s.t.  $y \in Q_1 \subset \Omega$  and  $\Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q_1)} = \Lambda_c$

Then  $Q \cap Q_1 \neq \emptyset$ , it's a cuboid

and  $\Lambda_d = \Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q \cap Q_1)} = \Lambda_c \Rightarrow d = c$

$\Omega$  connected  $\Rightarrow A = \Omega$

Finally, we shall show that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_c$  on  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ :

$\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \text{supp } \varphi$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$

$\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  find  $\delta_x > 0$  s.t.  $Q_x = \prod_{j=1}^d (x_j - \delta_x, x_j + \delta_x) \subset \Omega$

and  $\Lambda|_{\mathcal{D}(Q_x)} = \Lambda_c$

$E_x = \prod_{j=1}^d (x_j - \frac{\delta_x}{2}, x_j + \frac{\delta_x}{2}) \Rightarrow E_x \cap \text{supp } \varphi$  is an open cover of  $\text{supp } \varphi$

$\Rightarrow \exists x^1, \dots, x^n \in \text{supp } \varphi : \text{supp } \varphi \subset E_{x^1} \cup \dots \cup E_{x^n}$

Let  $(h_{\frac{\delta}{2}})$  be a smoothing kernel. set  $\varphi_j = \int_{E_{x^j}} \varphi * h_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ , where  $\frac{1}{\delta_j} < \frac{\delta_{x^j}}{2}$ .

Then  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_j \subset Q_j$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi_j|_{E_j} = 1$

$$\varphi_1 := \varphi \cdot \psi_1$$

$$\varphi_2 := (\varphi - \varphi_1) \cdot \psi_2$$

$$\varphi_3 := (\varphi - \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \cdot \psi_3$$

$\vdots$

$$\varphi_n = (\varphi - \varphi_1 - \dots - \varphi_{n-1}) \cdot \psi_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \text{supp } \varphi_j \subset Q_j$$

$$\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_n = \varphi$$

$$\forall x \notin \text{supp } \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi_1(x) = \varphi_2(x) = \dots = \varphi_n(x) = 0$$

$$x \in \text{supp } \varphi \Rightarrow \exists j : \varphi_j(x) = 1. \text{ Let } j \text{ be the first one}$$

$$\text{then } \varphi_j(x) = \varphi(x) - \varphi_1(x) - \dots - \varphi_{j-1}(x)$$

$$\text{and } \varphi_c(x) = 0 \text{ for } c > j \text{ ]}$$

$$\text{Hence } \Lambda(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(Q_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{Q_j} c \cdot \varphi_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_c(\varphi_j) = \Lambda_c(\varphi) \text{ ]}$$