

Proof of Proposition 11.19

X - HLCS, $\dim X = n$

$K \subset X$ compact convex $\Rightarrow \forall x \in K : x$ is a convex combination of points $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ with properties:

- $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \text{ext} K$
- x_1, \dots, x_k are affinely independent
- $k \leq n+1$

Recall: x_1, \dots, x_k are affinely independent $\Leftrightarrow x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_k - x_1$ are linearly independent.

Proof (1) $n=0 \Rightarrow K = \{0\}$ } clear
 $n=1 \Rightarrow K = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ or $K = \{a\} \subset \mathbb{R}$

(2) Suppose $n \geq 1$ and that it's true if $\dim X \leq n$.
Suppose $\dim X = n+1$

- WLOG $0 \in K$ (replace K by $K - x$ for some $x \in K$)
- $K \subset \text{span} K = X$ (otherwise replace X by $\text{span} K$ and apply the induction hypothesis for $\dim(\text{span} K) \leq n$)
- Then K has nonempty interior

$\exists \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \in K$ be linearly independent,
have a basis of X

Then $T: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ defined by

$T(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j \cdot x_j$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} onto X

Then we deduce that $\text{co}\{0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ has nonempty interior, as on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}

$\text{co}\{0, e^1, \dots, e^{n+1}\}$ has nonempty interior

So, $\text{int} K \neq \emptyset$

• Let $x \in K$. If $x \in \partial K$, then $x \notin \text{int} K$,
 so $\exists f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(x) \geq \sup f(\text{int} K) = \sup f(K)$
 (by Theorem 1.36(a))

So, $F = \{y \in K, f(y) = f(x)\}$

is a closed face

We can use induction hypothesis

on F (its dimension is at most n) [and (17(a,5))]

int K is dense in K
 (see Lemma 1.16)

• $x \in \text{int} K$. Fix $y \in \text{ext} K$ arbitrary. Consider the
 line $y + t(x-y), t \in \mathbb{R}$. This line intersects K in a segment
 one endpoint is y . Denote the second one by z . Then
 $z \in \partial K$. Use the previous case to deduce that

$z = t_1 a_1 + \dots + t_k a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{ext} K$ (in fact,
 they are in $\text{ext} F$, where F is the face constructed
 in the previous case), $k \leq n, a_1, \dots, a_k$
 affinely independent.

Since $x = (1-s)y + sz$ for some $s \in (0,1)$, we have

$$x = (1-s)y + st_1 a_1 + \dots + st_k a_k$$

It remains to observe that y, a_1, \dots, a_k are affinely independent.

$$\Gamma \text{ Let } t_0(y - a_1) + t_2(a_2 - a_1) + \dots + t_k(a_k - a_1) = 0$$

Apply the functional f constructed in the first case so

$$\text{then } f(a_1) = f(a_2) = \dots = f(a_k) > f(y)$$

$$\text{Then } t_0(f(y) - f(a_1)) = 0, \text{ so } t_0 = 0$$

Since a_1, \dots, a_k are aff. independent, we see

$$a_2 = \dots = a_k = 0$$