

Lemma VI.15 T self-adjoint (unsd), C_T is

Cayley transform, E ... the spectral measure of C_T

$$\text{Then } T = \int i \frac{1+z}{1-z} dE(z)$$

Proof (1) C_T is unitary $\Rightarrow \sigma(C_T) \subset \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z|=1\}$

(2) $I - C_T$ is one-to-one, i.e. 1 is not an eigenvalue of $C_T \Rightarrow E(\{1\}) = 0$ [by Cor. 10 & Prop. 13]

(3) $f(z) = i \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is \mathcal{R} -measurable (defined E -a.e.)

$$\begin{aligned} f \text{ is real-valued (essentially)} & \dots i \frac{1+z}{1-z} = i \frac{(1+z)(1-\bar{z})}{(1+z)(1-\bar{z})} = \\ & = i \frac{1+z-\bar{z}-|z|^2}{(1-|z|^2)} = \frac{-2 \operatorname{Im} z}{|1-z|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$|z|=1, z \in \mathbb{T}$

$\Rightarrow S := \int f dE$ is self-adjoint (Thm 12 (c))

(4) Moreover, $f(z) \cdot (1-z) = i(1+z)$

$$\text{So, by Thm 12 (b): } S(I - C_T) = i(I + C_T)$$

$$\Rightarrow S \underbrace{(I - C_T)(I - C_T)^{-1}}_{I \uparrow D(C_T)} = i \underbrace{(I + C_T)(I - C_T)^{-1}}_T \quad (\text{Thm V.3} (c))$$

($R(I - C_T) = D(C_T)$)
(Thm V.3 (b))

$$\Rightarrow S \uparrow D(C_T) = T \Rightarrow T \subset S$$

$$S, T \text{ self-adjoint} \Rightarrow T = S$$

Lemma V.16 F abstract spectral measure on \mathcal{A}

$\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ \mathcal{A} -measurable

$$E(A) = F(\varphi^{-1}(A)); \quad A \in \mathcal{B}' = \{A \subset \mathcal{C}, \varphi^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

(1) E is an abstract spectral measure

- properties (i) - (vi) are obvious
- (vii): $E_{x,y}$ is a complex Borel measure for each $x, y \in H$
By remarks after 2.6 it is enough to prove it for $E_{x,x}$, $x \in H$

Note that $E_{x,x} = \varphi(F_{x,x})$. To simplify notation
set $\mu := F_{x,x}$, $\nu := E_{x,x} = \varphi(\mu)$

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}'$ set $\beta := \sup \{ \nu(B); B \subset A \text{ Borel} \}$
 $\gamma := \inf \{ \nu(C); C \supset A \text{ Borel} \}$

Let $B_n \subset A \subset C_n$ be Borel s.t. $\nu(B_n) > \beta - \frac{1}{n}$
 $\nu(C_n) < \gamma + \frac{1}{n}$

$B := \bigcup_n B_n$, $C := \bigcap_n C_n \Rightarrow B, C$ Borel, $B \subset A \subset C$
 $\nu(B) = \beta$, $\nu(C) = \gamma$

We will be done if we prove $\nu(C \setminus B) = 0$ (i.e. $\beta = \gamma$)

Suppose $\nu(C \setminus B) > 0$. Then either $\nu(C \setminus A) > 0$ or $\nu(A \setminus B) > 0$
Suppose $\nu(C \setminus A) > 0$ (the other case is analogous)

Then $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(C \setminus A)) = \nu(C \setminus A) > 0$, $\varphi^{-1}(C \setminus A) \in \mathcal{A}$
Since μ is a Borel measure, there is $D \subset \varphi^{-1}(C \setminus A)$
Borel s.t. $\mu(D) > 0$ [in fact $\mu(D) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(C \setminus A))$]

Since Borel measures on \mathcal{C} are regular, there is $D_n \subset D$ compact
s.t. $\mu(D_n) > 0$

By Luzin's theorem there is $K \subset D$, compact s.t. $\mu(K) > 0$
 & $\varphi|_K$ is continuous

Then $\varphi(K) \subset C \setminus A$, $\varphi(K)$ is compact, hence Borel,
 and $\nu(\varphi(K)) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(K))) \geq \mu(K) > 0$

So, $(C \setminus \varphi(K)) \cap A$ is a Borel set with $\nu(C \setminus \varphi(K)) < \nu(A)$,
 a contradiction completing the proof.

② $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ \mathcal{E}' -measurable $\Rightarrow \int f dE = \int (f \circ \varphi) dF$

• $\int |f|^2 dE_{x,y} = \int |f|^2 d(\mathbb{P}_{x,y}) = \int |f \circ \varphi|^2 dF_{x,y}$

\Rightarrow the two domains coincide

• $x, y \in D(\int f dE) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \int f dE \rangle_{x,y} &= \int f dE_{x,y} = \int f d\varphi(\mathbb{P}_{x,y}) = \int f \circ \varphi dF_{x,y} \\ &= \langle \int (f \circ \varphi) dF \rangle_{x,y} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem VI.17 T self-adjoint $\Rightarrow \exists!$ abstract spectral measure

$$E \text{ s.t. } T = \int \text{id} dE$$

Moreover, this E is the image of the spectral measure of C_T

$$\text{under } z \mapsto c \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Proof (1) Let F be the spectral measure of C_T

$$\varphi(z) = c \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$$

Since $F(\{1\}) = 0$, φ is measurable (see the proof of Lemma 15)

Let $E = \varphi(F)$. By 1.16, E is an abstract spectral measure and

$$\int \text{id} dE = \int \text{id} \circ \varphi dF = \int \varphi dF = T \quad \uparrow_{\text{1.15}}$$

(2) Uniqueness: Let E be an abstract spectral measure such that $T = \int \text{id} dE$

$$F(T) \subset \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \text{ess-rng}(\text{id}) \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad \bullet$$

$$\text{Set } g(z) = \frac{z-c}{z+c}, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R} \text{ we have } |g(z)| = 1, \\ \frac{1}{g(z)} = \overline{g(z)}$$

$\Rightarrow U := \int g dE$ is a unitary operator

$$\text{By Prop. 1.4 } E_U = g(E)$$

Further, $\varphi \circ g = \text{id}$ E -a.e. \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow T = \int \text{id} dE = \int \varphi \circ g dE = \int \varphi dE_U$$

$$\Rightarrow T(I-U) = \left(\int \varphi dE_U \right) (I-U) = \left(\int \varphi dE_U \right) \left(\int (1-z) dE_U(z) \right)$$

Thm 1.3

$$= \int c(1+z) dE_U(z) = c(I+U) \Rightarrow U = C_T$$

Since $\|E - \varphi(Eu)\|$, we deduce the uniqueness.

Corollary VI.1.8 T selfadjoint $\Rightarrow (T \text{ bdd} \Leftrightarrow \sigma(T) \text{ bdd})$

Proof \Rightarrow clear, spectrum of a bdd operator is compact

$\Leftarrow T = id \text{ dE}$, $E(\sigma(T)) = 0$
 $\sigma(T) \text{ bdd} \Rightarrow id$ is essentially bdd

$\Rightarrow T \text{ bdd}$

$T = \int \lambda dE = \int \lambda dE = \int \lambda dE$

Let E be a spectral measure. Let $T = \int \lambda dE$

$\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(E) \Rightarrow \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(E)$
 Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma(E)$

$\Rightarrow U := \int \lambda dE$ is a normal operator

$E_{\lambda} = P_{\lambda}(E)$

Further, $\ker E = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow T = \int \lambda dE = \int \lambda dE = T$

$\Rightarrow T(I-U) = (U-I)T = 0$

$T = U \Leftrightarrow (U-I) = (U-I)T = 0$