## V.4 Operators on a Hilbert space

**Convention:** In the sequel we will consider only operators on a complex Hilbert space H. The inner product of  $x, y \in H$  is denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ .

**Remark:** If H is a Hilbert space, then  $H \times H$  is also a Hilbert space, if we define the inner product by

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle, \qquad (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in H \times H.$$

**Definition.** Let T be a densely defined operator on H.

• By  $D(T^*)$  we denote the set of those  $y \in H$ , for which the mapping

$$x \mapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle$$

is continuous on D(T).

• For  $y \in D(T^*)$  denote by  $T^*y$  the unique element of H satisfying

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$$
 for each  $x \in D(T)$ .

**Lemma 21.** Let T be a densely defined operator on H. Then  $D(T^*)$  is a linear subspace of H and  $T^*$  is an operator on H with domain  $D(T^*)$ .

**Remark.** Let T be an operator on H, which is not densely defined. Set  $K = \overline{D(T)}$ . The definition of  $D(T^*)$  still makes sense. Moreover, for each  $y \in D(T^*)$  there exists a unique  $z \in K$  satisfying  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$  for  $x \in D(T)$ . It would be possible to define  $T^*$  as an operator from H to K (which is a special case of operators on H). If we, moreover, denote by P the orthogonal projection of H onto K, then PT is a densely defined operator on K,  $D((PT)^*) = D(T^*) \cap K$  and  $(PT)^*$  is the restriction of the operator  $T^*$  from the previous sentence to  $D((PT)^*)$ .

**Definition.** The operator  $T^*$  is said to be the adjoint operator to T.

**Proposition 22** (properties of adjoint operator).

- (a) If S is densely defined and  $S \subset T$ , then  $T^* \subset S^*$ .
- (b) If S + T is densely defined, then  $S^* + T^* \subset (S + T)^*$ . If moreover  $S \in L(H)$ , then  $S^* + T^* = (S + T)^*$ .
- (c) If S and ST are densely defined, then  $T^*S^* \subset (ST)^*$ . If moreover  $S \in L(H)$ , then  $T^*S^* = (ST)^*$ .

**Proposition 23** (on kernel and range). For a densely defined operator T one has  $\text{Ker}(T^*) = R(T)^{\perp}$ .

**Lemma 24** (on the transformation of a graph). Define  $V : H \times H \to H \times H$  by V(x, y) = (-y, x). Then

- (a) V is a unitary operator on  $H \times H$ ,
- (b)  $G(T^*) = (V(G(T)))^{\perp} = V(G(T)^{\perp})$  for a densely defined operator T on H.

**Remark:** Lemma 24 is a very useful tool for working with adjoint operators. The assertion (b) is a concise expression of the equivalence

$$z = T^*y \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in D(T) : (y, z) \perp (-Tx, x)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in D(T) : \langle x, z \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle).$$

**Lemma 25.** Let T be densely defined, one-to-one and let R(T) be dense. Then  $(T^{-1})^* = (T^*)^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 26** (adjoint operator and closedness). Let T be densely defined. Then:

- (a) The operator  $T^*$  is closed.
- (b) T has a closed extension if and only if  $T^*$  is densely defined (then  $\overline{T} = T^{**}$ ).
- (c) T is closed if and only if  $T = T^{**}$  (implicitly  $T^*$  is densely defined).

**Definition.** Let T be an operator on H.

- We say that T is symmetric if  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$  for each  $x, y \in D(T)$ .
- We say that T is selfadjoint if  $T = T^*$ .

## Remarks.

- (1) A symmetric operator need not be densely defined. If T is densely defined, then T is symmetric if and only if  $T \subset T^*$ .
- (2) Let T be an operator on H, which is not densely defined. Set  $K = \overline{D(T)}$  and let P be the orthogonal projection onto K. Then PT is a densely defined operator on K. Moreover, T is symmetric if and only if PT je symmetric.
- (3) A selfadjoint operator is always densely defined (in order  $T^*$  is defined) and closed (by Proposition 15(a)).

**Lemma 27.** Let T be a selfadjoint operator. Then T is maximal symmetric (i.e., there is no proper symmetric extension of T).

**Remark.** A densely defined maximal symmetric operator need not be selfadjoint. This follows from the remarks at the end of Section V.5.

**Proposition 28** (further properties of symmetric operators). Let T be a symmetric densely defined operator on H. Then:

- (a)  $\overline{T}$  is symmetric.
- (b) If D(T) = H, then T is bounded and selfadjoint.
- (c) If R(T) is dense, then T is one-to-one.
- (d) If R(T) = H, then T is one-to-one, selfadjoint and  $T^{-1} \in L(H)$ .
- (e) If T is selfadjoint and one-to-one, then  $T^{-1}$  is selfadjoint (in particular densely defined).

**Lemma 29** (on  $(\alpha + i\beta)I - S$ ). Let S be a symmetric operator on H and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\lambda I - S$  is one-to-one and its inverse is continuous on  $R(\lambda I - S)$ . Moreover, S is closed if and only if  $R(\lambda I - S)$  is closed.

**Theorem 30** (spectrum of a selfadjoint operator). For each selfadjoint operator T one has  $\emptyset \neq \sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 31** (characterization of selfadjoint operators among symmetric ones). For a densely defined operator T on H the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) T is selfadjoint;
- (ii) T is symmetric and  $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii) T is symmetric and there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda, \overline{\lambda} \in \rho(T)$ .