## XII.5 Symmetric operators and the Cayley transform

**Definition.** Let S be a symmetric (not necessarily densely defined) operator on H. Denote by  $C_S$  the operator

$$C_S = (S - iI)(S + iI)^{-1}.$$

Then  $C_S$  is an operator on H, which is called the **Cayley transform of the operator** S.

**Theorem 27** (properties of  $C_S$ ). Let S be a symmetric operator on H and let  $C_S$  be its Cayley transform. Then

- (a)  $C_S$  is a linear isometry of  $D(C_S) = R(S + iI)$  onto  $R(C_S) = R(S iI)$ .
- (b)  $I C_S = 2i(S + iI)^{-1}$ ; in particular, the operator  $I C_S$  is one-to-one and  $R(I C_S) = D(S)$ .
- (c)  $S = i(I + C_S)(I C_S)^{-1}$ .
- (d)  $C_S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow D(C_S)$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow R(C_S)$  is closed.

**Lemma 28** (on an isometric operator). Let U be any operator on H, which is an isometry of D(U) onto R(U). Then

- (a)  $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for any  $x, y \in D(U)$ . In particular: U is unitary if and only if D(U) = R(U) = H.
- (b)  $\operatorname{Ker}(I-U) = D(U) \cap (R(I-U))^{\perp}$ . In particular, if R(I-U) is dense in H, then I-U is one-to-one.

**Theorem 29** (range of the Cayley transform). Let U be an operator on H, which is an isometry of D(U) onto R(U). Suppose that I-U is one-to-one. Then the operator  $S = i(I+U)(I-U)^{-1}$  is symmetric and  $C_S = U$ . Further, S is densely defined if and only if R(I-U) is dense.

Theorem 30 (Cayley transform for selfadjoint operators).

- (a) Let S be a symmetric operator on H. Then S is selfadjoint if and only if  $C_S$  is a unitary operator.
- (b) Let U be a unitary operator na H such that I U is one-to-one. Then the operator  $S = i(I + U)(I U)^{-1}$  is selfadjoint and  $C_S = U$ .

## Remarks.

- (1) Let S and T be symmetric operators on H. Then  $S \subset T$  if and only if  $C_S \subset C_T$ .
- (2) Let S be a densely defined closed symmetric operator on H. The codimensions of the subspaces  $D(C_S)$  and  $R(C_S)$  (i.e., the dimensions of their orthogonal complements) are called the **deficiency indices** of the operator S. Then:
  - $\circ~S$  is selfadjoint if and only if both deficiency indices are zero.
  - $\circ~S$  is a maximal symmetric operator if and only if at least one of the deficiency indices is zero.
  - S has a selfadjoint extension if and only if both deficiency indices are the same (i.e., if and only if there exists a linear isometry of  $(D(C_S))^{\perp}$  onto  $(R(C_S))^{\perp}$ ).
- (3) Let S be a closed symmetric operator on H, not necessarily densely defined. Also in this case one may define the deficiency indices. Moreover:

• If  $D(C_S) = H$  or  $R(C_S) = H$ , then S is densely defined.

Hence, also in this case the first of the above equivalences holds. It easily follows that in the second assertion  $\Leftarrow$  holds and in the third assertion  $\Rightarrow$  holds. The validity of the converse implications seems not to be clear.