

The interpretability lattice of clonoids is distributive

Alexandr Kazda, Matthew Moore

Charles University and University of Kansas

March 1st, 2019

97. Arbeitstagung Allgemeine Algebra

Clonoids (AKA minions AKA minor closed sets)

- A functional clonoid \mathcal{C} on sets A, B is a nonempty family of operations from A to B closed under taking minors
- Taking minors: $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ sends n -ary f to m -ary f^σ where

$$f^\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

Clonoids (AKA minions AKA minor closed sets)

- A functional clonoid \mathcal{C} on sets A, B is a nonempty family of operations from A to B closed under taking minors
- Taking minors: $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ sends n -ary f to m -ary f^σ where

$$f^\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

Clonoid homomorphisms

- $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves arity and commutes with taking minors
- Another view: homomorphism sends identities true in \mathcal{C} to identities of \mathcal{D} – can interpret \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D}
- Example:

$$f(x, x, y) \approx g(x, y, y, z) \Rightarrow \phi(f)(x, x, y) \approx \phi(g)(x, y, y, z)$$

- For $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}'$ finite relational structures $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ gives a reduction from $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ to $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ [Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal; 2018]

Clonoid homomorphisms

- $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves arity and commutes with taking minors
- Another view: homomorphism sends identities true in \mathcal{C} to identities of \mathcal{D} – can interpret \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D}
- Example:

$$f(x, x, y) \approx g(x, y, y, z) \Rightarrow \phi(f)(x, x, y) \approx \phi(g)(x, y, y, z)$$

- For $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}'$ finite relational structures $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ gives a reduction from $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ to $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ [Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal; 2018]

Clonoid homomorphisms

- $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves arity and commutes with taking minors
- Another view: homomorphism sends identities true in \mathcal{C} to identities of \mathcal{D} – can interpret \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D}
- Example:

$$f(x, x, y) \approx g(x, y, y, z) \Rightarrow \phi(f)(x, x, y) \approx \phi(g)(x, y, y, z)$$

- For $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}'$ finite relational structures $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ gives a reduction from $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ to $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ [Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal; 2018]

Clonoid homomorphisms

- $\phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves arity and commutes with taking minors
- Another view: homomorphism sends identities true in \mathcal{C} to identities of \mathcal{D} – can interpret \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D}
- Example:

$$f(x, x, y) \approx g(x, y, y, z) \Rightarrow \phi(f)(x, x, y) \approx \phi(g)(x, y, y, z)$$

- For $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}'$ finite relational structures $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ gives a reduction from $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ to $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ [Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal; 2018]

Interpretability lattice

- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasiorder – factorize and look at the poset \mathcal{L}
- Libor Barto: A lot of categories embed (fully) into \mathcal{L} (it is alg-universal)
- Warning: \mathcal{L} is class-size
- \mathcal{L} restricted to finite clonoids: continuum-sized
- \mathcal{L} is also a lattice

Interpretability lattice

- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasiorder – factorize and look at the poset \mathcal{L}
- Libor Barto: A lot of categories embed (fully) into \mathcal{L} (it is alg-universal)
- Warning: \mathcal{L} is class-size
- \mathcal{L} restricted to finite clonoids: continuum-sized
- \mathcal{L} is also a lattice

Interpretability lattice

- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasiorder – factorize and look at the poset \mathcal{L}
- Libor Barto: A lot of categories embed (fully) into \mathcal{L} (it is alg-universal)
- Warning: \mathcal{L} is class-size
 - \mathcal{L} restricted to finite clonoids: continuum-sized
 - \mathcal{L} is also a lattice

Interpretability lattice

- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasiorder – factorize and look at the poset \mathcal{L}
- Libor Barto: A lot of categories embed (fully) into \mathcal{L} (it is alg-universal)
- Warning: \mathcal{L} is class-size
- \mathcal{L} restricted to finite clonoids: continuum-sized
- \mathcal{L} is also a lattice

Interpretability lattice

- $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasiorder – factorize and look at the poset \mathcal{L}
- Libor Barto: A lot of categories embed (fully) into \mathcal{L} (it is alg-universal)
- Warning: \mathcal{L} is class-size
- \mathcal{L} restricted to finite clonoids: continuum-sized
- \mathcal{L} is also a lattice

- \mathcal{C} goes from A_1 to B_1 and \mathcal{D} goes from A_2 to B_2
- $\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D}$ has operations (f, g) where f and g are operations in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $(f, g): A_1^n \times A_2^n \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ is defined componentwise

- \mathcal{C} goes from A_1 to B_1 and \mathcal{D} goes from A_2 to B_2
- $\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D}$ has operations (f, g) where f and g are operations in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $(f, g): A_1^n \times A_2^n \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ is defined componentwise

- \mathcal{C} goes from A_1 to B_1 and \mathcal{D} goes from A_2 to B_2
- $\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D}$ has operations (f, g) where f and g are operations in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $(f, g): A_1^n \times A_2^n \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ is defined componentwise

- Abstract clonoids: $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ satisfies all identities of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- Operations of $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$: Disjoint union of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ goes from $A_1 \cup A_2$ to $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{\star\}$, each operation comes either from \mathcal{C} or from \mathcal{D} .
- Output \star for “undefined”

- Abstract clonoids: $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ satisfies all identities of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- Operations of $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$: Disjoint union of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ goes from $A_1 \cup A_2$ to $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{\star\}$, each operation comes either from \mathcal{C} or from \mathcal{D} .
- Output \star for “undefined”

- Abstract clonoids: $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ satisfies all identities of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- Operations of $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$: Disjoint union of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ goes from $A_1 \cup A_2$ to $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{\star\}$, each operation comes either from \mathcal{C} or from \mathcal{D} .
- Output \star for “undefined”

- Abstract clonoids: $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ satisfies all identities of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- Operations of $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$: Disjoint union of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}
- $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ goes from $A_1 \cup A_2$ to $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{\star\}$, each operation comes either from \mathcal{C} or from \mathcal{D} .
- Output \star for “undefined”

$$\mathcal{C} \wedge (\mathcal{D} \vee \mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D}) \vee (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{E})$$

Watch the board!

$$\mathcal{C} \wedge (\mathcal{D} \vee \mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{D}) \vee (\mathcal{C} \wedge \mathcal{E})$$

Watch the board!

Distributive sublattices of \mathcal{L}

- Any finite distributive lattice is a sublattice of \mathcal{L}
- Can we do all countable distributive lattices? Probably...

Distributive sublattices of \mathcal{L}

- Any finite distributive lattice is a sublattice of \mathcal{L}
- Can we do all countable distributive lattices? Probably. . .

Thank you for your attention.