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## Short pp-definitions

## Structures with short pp-definitions

$\mathbb{A}=\left(A ; R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}\right) \ldots$ finite relational structure
$Q \subseteq A^{n}$ is pp-definable over $\mathbb{A}$ if

$$
Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\exists y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k} R_{i_{1}}(\ldots) \wedge \ldots \wedge R_{i_{j}}(\ldots)}_{\psi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { pp-formula over } \mathbb{A}}
$$

$\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle:=$ all pp-definable relations

## Definition

- $\mathbb{A}$ has pp-definitions of length $\leq f(n)$ if $\forall Q \in\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle \cap A^{n}$ : $Q$ is definable by a pp-formula $\psi$ with $|\psi| \leq f(n)$
- $\mathbb{A}$ has short pp-definitions if $\mathbb{A}$ has pp-definitions of length $\leq p(n)$, for a polynomial $p(n)$.

Question: Which $\mathbb{A}$ have short pp-definitions?

## Examples

## Affine spaces

$\mathbb{A}=(\{0,1\} ;\{(x, y, z) \mid x+y=z\},\{0\},\{1\})$,
$Q \in\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle \Leftrightarrow Q$ affine subspace of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$
$\Leftrightarrow$ given by $\leq n$ equations:

$$
x_{i_{1}}+x_{i_{2}}+\ldots+x_{i_{k}}=a \Leftrightarrow
$$

$\exists y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}:\left(x_{i_{1}}+x_{i 2}=y_{2}\right) \wedge\left(y_{2}+x_{i 3}=y_{3}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge\left(y_{k-1}+x_{k}=y_{k}\right) \wedge\left(y_{k}=a\right)$.
$\Rightarrow$ pp-definitions of length $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
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## 2-SAT

$\mathbb{A}=\left(\{0,1\} ;\left(R_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}\right)_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in\{0,1\}}\right)$, with $R_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}=\{0,1\}^{2} \backslash\{(a, b)\}$.

$$
Q \in\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle \Leftrightarrow Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\bigwedge_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \operatorname{pr}_{\{i, j\}} Q\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) .
$$

$\Rightarrow$ pp-definitions of length $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
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Definition
$\mathbf{A}$ has short pp-definitions, if $\operatorname{Inv}(\mathbf{A})=\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle$ has short pp-definitions.

## Examples

- Affine subspaces of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}=(\{0,1\}, x-y+z)$
- 2-SAT $\leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}=(\{0,1\}, \operatorname{maj}(x, y, z))$


## Few subpower algebras
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## Few subpower algebras

## Observation 2

$\mathbb{A}$ has pp-definitions of length $\leq p(n)$
$\Rightarrow\left|\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle \cap A^{n}\right| \leq c^{p(n)}$ for some $c>1$
If $p$ is polynomial, we say $\operatorname{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$ has few subpowers.
So short pp-definitions $\Rightarrow$ few subpowers.
If $\mathbf{A}$ has few subpowers:

- A has an edge term $t$ (IMMVW'10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t(y, y, x, x, x, \ldots, x) \approx x \\
& t(y, x, y, x, x, \ldots, x) \approx x \\
& t(x, x, x, y, x, \ldots, x) \approx x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t(x, x, x, x, x, \ldots, y) \approx x
$$

- $\operatorname{lnv}(\mathbf{A})=\langle\mathbb{A}\rangle$ for some finite $\mathbb{A}=\left(A ; R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{AMM}^{\prime} 14\right)$


## A conjecture

about few subpowers
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- A is affine
- A has NU-term
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## True for

- $\mathbf{A}$ is affine
- A has NU-term

$$
y \approx t(y, x, \ldots, x) \approx t(x, y, x, \ldots, x) \approx \ldots \approx t(x, \ldots, x, y)
$$

- $|A|=2$ (Lagerkvist, Wahlström '14)
$|A|=3$ not covered by above


## Main result

Theorem (Bulín, MK '23)
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## Main result

## Theorem (Bulín, MK '23)

If $\operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ is residually finite, then
A has pp-definition of length $O\left(n^{k}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a $k$-edge term.
$B$ is subdirectly irreducible, if $\operatorname{Con}(B)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1_{B} \\ \mu \\ 0_{B}\end{array}\right.$
$\operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ residually finite, if
$\mathbf{B} \in \operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ is $\mathrm{SI} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \in\left\{\mathbf{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{B}_{k}\right\},\left|B_{i}\right|<\infty$.
(folklore) $|A|=3, \mathbf{A}$ few subpowers $\Rightarrow \operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ is residually finite.
Corollary (Bulín, MK '23)
If $|A|=3$, then
A has pp-definition of length $O\left(n^{k}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a $k$-edge term.

Proof idea

## Proof step 1: Reduction to critical relations

A relation $R \leq \mathbf{A}^{n}$ is called critical if

- $R$ is $\wedge$-irreducible ( $R_{1}, R_{2}>R \Rightarrow R_{1} \cap R_{2}>R$ )
- $R$ has no dummy variables
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## Lemma

A... $k$-edge-term, $R \leq \mathbf{A}^{n}$. Then
$R=\bigwedge_{|J| \leq k}\left(\operatorname{pr} r_{J} R\right) \wedge R_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge R_{I}$ for $I \leq n \cdot|A|^{2}, R_{i}$ critical, parallelogram property.
$R \subseteq A^{n}$ has the parallelogram property if $\forall I \subset[n]$
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## Proof step 2: Similarity

Task: find short pp-definitions for $R \leq \mathbf{A}^{n}$ critical, parallelogram property Strategy: as for $x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}=a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \sim\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right): \Leftrightarrow \exists \bar{z} R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{z}\right) \wedge R\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \bar{z}\right) \\
& \text { - } \sim \in \operatorname{Con}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1,2} R\right), \mathbf{A}_{1,2}:=\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1,2} R\right) / \sim
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in A_{1,2} Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y\right) \wedge R^{\prime}\left(y, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

Problem: in general $\mathbf{A}_{1,2} \neq \mathbf{A}$
But: $R$ critical $\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{1,2}$ is $\mathrm{SI} \Rightarrow$ bounded by residual finiteness.

## Application:

Subpower Membership Problem

## Subpower Membership Problem

A... finite algebra

SMP(A)
Input: $\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k}, \bar{b} \in A^{n}$
Decide: Is $\bar{b} \in \operatorname{Sg}_{\mathbf{A}^{n}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k}\right)$ ?
Question (IMMVW'10): Is $\operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathrm{P}$ for $\mathbf{A}$ with few subpowers?
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SMP(A)
Input: $\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k}, \bar{b} \in A^{n}$
Decide: Is $\bar{b} \in \operatorname{Sg}_{A^{n}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k}\right)$ ?
Question (IMMVW'10): Is $\operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathrm{P}$ for $\mathbf{A}$ with few subpowers?
Observation
$\bar{b} \notin \operatorname{Sg}_{\mathbf{A}^{n}}\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{k}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists$ pp-fma. $\psi: \neg \psi(\bar{b}) \wedge \psi\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi\left(\bar{a}_{k}\right)$.
A has short pp-definitions $\Rightarrow \operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in$ coNP.
Theorem (BMS'19)

- $\operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in N P$ if $\mathbf{A}$ has few subpowers
- $\operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathrm{P}$ if further $\operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ is residually finite.
(weak) Conjecture $\Rightarrow \operatorname{SMP}(\mathbf{A}) \in N P \cap$ coNP.

Thank you for your attention!
Any questions?

