

Jitka Žáčková

On minimax solutions of stochastic linear programming problems

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 91 (1966), No. 4, 423–430

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117583>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1966

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON MINIMAX SOLUTIONS OF STOCHASTIC LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS

JITKA ŽÁČKOVÁ, Praha

(Received October 12, 1965)

1. Our starting point is the formulation of a stochastic linear program as a strategic game. This formulation differs only slightly from that given by IOSIFESCU and THEODORESCU [3]. Secondly, we state a minimax theorem for that game and study the methods of solution. In some special but important cases it is shown that the minimax solution of a stochastic linear program is equivalent to the solution of an ordinary linear program (of greater dimension, in general). The existence of a finite solution is also discussed.

2. Let E_n^+ denote the non-negative orthant of the n -dimensional Euclidean space.

Let (A, b, c) — where $A = (a_{ij})$, $b = (b_i)$, $c = (c_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ — be a random vector; let its distribution $F(A, b, c)$ belong to a set of distributions \mathcal{F} .

Let $r_i(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ be real functions such that $r_i(y) = 0$ for $y \leq 0$ and $r_i(y) > 0$ for $y > 0$.

For $x \in E_n^+$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$ set

$$H(x, F) = E_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right) \right\}.$$

If H is defined and finite for all $x \in E_n^+$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$, define a two-person zero-sum game by its normal form

$$G = (E_n^+, \mathcal{F}, H).$$

3. The game G corresponds to the situation, where in the linear program:

$$Ax \leq b, \quad x \geq 0, \quad c'x = \text{maximum},$$

A, b, c (or some of them, or some of their components) are random vectors, their simultaneous distribution is known to belong to a set \mathcal{F} , the vector x is to be chosen

independently of the realization of these random vectors, and the violation of the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i$ is penalized by the amount

$$r_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j - b_i \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

As the *solution* of this program, the optimal pure strategy of the player I in the game G will be meant.

4. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let F^* be the corresponding marginal distribution of (A, b) ; let $\mathcal{F}^* = \{F^* : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(i) *the set \mathcal{F} is convex and compact (in the sense of Lévy's distance) and the c_j 's are uniformly integrable with respect to $F \in \mathcal{F}$,*

(ii) *the set \mathcal{F}^* is convex and compact and $E_F c$ equals a constant vector for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Let the functions $r_i(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, be convex and let the functions $r_i(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j - b_i)$ be uniformly integrable with respect to $F \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $x \in E_n^+$.¹⁾

Then we have

$$(1) \quad \sup_{x \in E_n^+} \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} H(x, F) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in E_n^+} H(x, F)$$

where this common value is either $+\infty$ or it is finite and the suprema can be replaced by maxima.

Proof. Let condition (i) be satisfied. According to FAN KY [2, Theor. 2], it is sufficient to show that H is a continuous and convex function on \mathcal{F} for each $x \in E_n^+$ and that for each element of \mathcal{F} it is a concave function on E_n^+ . The continuity of H on \mathcal{F} is a consequence of the uniform integrability of the c_j 's and r_i 's — see LOËVE [5, Theor. 11.4A] — and of the special form of H ; the convexity is trivial, because H , being an integral with respect to F , is additive and homogeneous in F . The concavity of H on E_n^+ follows easily from the convexity of the r_i 's.

Now, let condition (ii) be satisfied. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ let F^* be the mentioned marginal distribution; let γ be the constant vector $E_F c$. Let us define $H^*(x, F^*) =$

¹⁾ The uniform integrability of the r_i 's means that for every $x \in E_n^+$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|a_{ij}| \geq N, j=1, \dots, n, |b_i| \geq N} \dots \int r_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j - b_i \right) dF = 0$$

holds uniformly in $F \in \mathcal{F}$; similarly for the c_j 's. Cf. Loève [5, p. 182].

$= \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j - E_{F^*} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right) \right\}$. It is readily seen that the games $G = (E_n^+, \mathcal{F}, H)$ and $G^* = (E_n^+, \mathcal{F}^*, H^*)$ are equivalent (in the sense of BLACKWELL and GIRSHICK [1, Def. 1.4.2]) and the game G^* again satisfies the conditions of the Fan Ky's theorem.

We shall now investigate the game G for special choices of r_i and \mathcal{F} . It will be shown that in some cases the method of solution reduces to an ordinary linear program. As a rule, we shall describe the set of distributions \mathcal{F} in terms of random variables and their properties. In the sequel, Greek letters will always denote known constants (not $\pm \infty$).

5. In the game G , let a_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, be constants, let b_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, be independent random variables such that $E(b_i) = \beta_i$, $\beta'_i \leq b_i \leq \beta''_i$ a.s., $\beta'_i < \beta''_i$; let c_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, be random variables such that $E c_j = \gamma_j$. (Herewith the set \mathcal{F} is defined.) Let $r_i(y) = v_i y^+$, $v_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, where $y^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|y| + y)$.

Let $\Delta = \{I, J, K\}$ be an arbitrary decomposition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ into three disjoint parts, one or two of which may be empty. Denote Δ_v , $v = 1, \dots, M$, those of such decompositions, for which the sets

$$(2) \quad \{x \geq 0: \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq \beta''_i, i \in I; \beta'_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq \beta''_i, i \in J; \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} x_j \leq \beta'_i, i \in K\}$$

are non-empty. ($M \leq 3^m$ holds.) Further, define

$$q_{vj} = -\gamma_j + \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i a_{ij} + \sum_{i \in J_v} v_i \lambda_i a_{ij},$$

$$k_v = \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \beta_i + \sum_{i \in J_v} v_i \lambda_i \beta'_i \quad v = 1, \dots, M; \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\lambda_i = (\beta''_i - \beta_i) / (\beta''_i - \beta'_i)$. Finally, denote $Q = (q_{vj})$, $v = 1, \dots, M$, $j = 1, \dots, n$; $k = (k_v)$, $v = 1, \dots, M$; $e' = (1, \dots, 1)$.

Theorem 2. (i) Relation (1) holds. (ii) x is a solution of the game G if and only if (x, y_0) is a solution of the linear program

$$(3) \quad Qx + ye \leq k, \quad x \geq 0, \quad y = \text{maximum}.$$

Proof. (i) As the b_i are independent it suffices to prove the compactness of the sets of one-dimensional distributions. But this is a consequence of the criterion: \mathcal{F} is compact $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is closed and both $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(t) = 1$ are uniform in \mathcal{F} .

(See Loève [5, p. 215].) All other conditions of Theorem 1 are evidently satisfied.

(ii) Denote $\varphi(x) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} H(x, F)$. From the independence of b_i 's it follows

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j - \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} E_F \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right)^+ \right\}.$$

The terms of the second sum can be evaluated with the help of a result due to JIŘINA and NEDOMA [4, Theor. 4]¹⁾; we get

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \{ \lambda_i (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i)^+ + (1 - \lambda_i) (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - \beta''_i)^+ \}.$$

The function $\varphi(x)$ is concave, and it is linear ($\varphi(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^n q_{vj} x_j + k_v$) on each of the sets (2), which correspond to the decompositions Δ_v , $v = 1, \dots, M$; these sets themselves constitute a (non-overlapping) decomposition of E_n^+ . This means that $-\sum_{j=1}^n q_{vj} x_j + k_v$, $v = 1, \dots, M$ are the upper supporting hyperplanes to $\varphi(x)$ and that

$$\varphi(x) = \min_{1 \leq v \leq M} \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n q_{vj} x_j + k_v \right).$$

Now, the maximization of $\varphi(x)$ is equivalent to the maximization of y under the constraint $y \leq \varphi(x)$, i.e., under the constraints $y \leq -\sum_{j=1}^n q_{vj} x_j + k_v$, $v = 1, \dots, M$; but this is the assertion of the theorem.

Theorem 3. *The non-existence of a (strictly) negative column in the matrix Q is a necessary condition and the existence of a non-negative row in Q is a sufficient condition for the existence of a (finite) solution of the game G .*

Proof. The solution of the game G exists \Leftrightarrow there exists the maximum of y on the set $\{x \geq 0 : Qx + ye \leq k\}$ (which is always non-empty) \Leftrightarrow there is an admissible vector of the dual linear program to (3), i.e., there exists a vector u , for which

$$u \geq 0, \quad \sum_{v=1}^M u_v = 1, \quad \sum_{v=1}^M u_v q_{vj} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Especially, such a vector exists if there is a non-negative row in Q , and it cannot exist if there is a negative column in Q .

Corollary. *If the set $\{x \geq 0 : \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq \beta'_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is non-empty and if $\sum_{i=1}^m v_i a_{ij} \geq \gamma_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, then a solution of the game G exists.*

Proof. To the decomposition $\{(1, \dots, m), \emptyset, \emptyset\}$ there corresponds the row in Q with non-negative components $-\gamma_j + \sum_{i=1}^m v_i a_{ij}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

¹⁾ The theorem holds under the assumption of convexity (concavity) only; the existence of the second derivatives is not needed.

6. If the assumption $E(b_i) = \beta_i$ is replaced by $\bar{\beta}_i \leq E(b_i) \leq \bar{\bar{\beta}}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and all the other assumption of Section 5 are unaltered, then the Theorem 2 remains true with β_i replaced by $\bar{\beta}_i$ (in the definitions of λ_i and k_v).

On the other hand, if the assumption $\beta'_i \leq b_i \leq \beta''_i$ a.s., is replaced by $\sigma(b_i) \leq \leq \text{const.}$ (say σ_i), $i = 1, \dots, m$, and all the other assumptions of Section 5 are unaltered, then part (i) of the Theorem 2 remains true – this follows from Loève [5, Theor. 11.4.A,B] – but to find a solution is much more difficult. It means first to find

$$\max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} E_F \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right)^+ \right\} = \min \{ d_0 + d_1 \beta_i + d_2 (\sigma_i^2 + \beta_i^2) \},$$

where the minimum is to be taken over all (d_0, d_1, d_2) which satisfy $\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - y \right)^+ \leq \leq d_0 + d_1 y + d_2 y^2$, $-\infty < y < +\infty$, when $x \in E_n^+$ is fixed (see RICHTER [6]), then to set this result into the relation for $\varphi(x)$ and to maximize $\varphi(x)$. (Both these comments are easily to prove.)

7. In the game G , let $(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, b_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ be mutually independent random vectors such that

$$(4) \quad \alpha'_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \alpha''_{ij}, \quad \beta'_i \leq b_i \leq \beta''_i \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad \alpha'_{ij} < \alpha''_{ij},$$

$$E a_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha'_{ij} + \alpha''_{ij}), \quad E b_i = \beta_i = \frac{1}{2}(\beta'_i + \beta''_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n;$$

let c_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ be random variables such that $E c_j = \gamma_j$. Let $r_i(y) = v_i y^+$, $v_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\Delta_v = (I_v, J_v, K_v)$, $v = 1, \dots, M$, be all those decompositions of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, for which the sets

$$\left\{ x \geq 0 : \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta''_i \geq 0, \quad i \in I_v; \right. \\ \left. \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta''_i \leq 0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha''_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i, \quad i \in J_v; \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha''_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \leq 0, \quad i \in K_v \right\}$$

are non-empty. Define

$$p_{vj} = -\gamma_j + \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \alpha_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_v} v_i \alpha''_{ij},$$

$$h_v = \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \beta_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in J_v} v_i \beta'_i$$

and denote $P = (p_{vj})$, $v = 1, \dots, M$, $j = 1, \dots, n$; $h = (h_v)$, $v = 1, \dots, M$.

Theorem 4. (i) Relation (1) holds. (ii) x is a solution of the game G if and only if (x, y_0) is a solution of the linear program

$$Px + ye \leq h, \quad x \geq 0, \quad y = \text{maximum}.$$

(Note that the case $\beta'_i = \beta''_i$ is not excluded.)

Proof. According to the theorem of Jifina - Nedoma [4]

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_F \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right)^+ \right\} = \\
 & = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j - \beta_i \right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j - \beta_i \right)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right)^+ + \\
 & + \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j - \beta_i \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j - \beta_i \right)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right)^+ = \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right)^+ + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j - \beta_i \right)^+ \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The other parts of the proof are the same as in Theorem 2.

Condition (4) is essential for the linearity of resulting program only. Theorem 3 and its Corollary hold also true with P in the place of Q .

8. In the game G , let (A, b, c) be a random vector such that

$$\alpha'_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \alpha''_{ij}, \beta'_i \leq b_i \leq \beta''_i, \gamma'_j \leq c_j \leq \gamma''_j \quad \text{a.s., } j = 1, \dots, n, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let $r_i(y) = v_i y^+$, $v_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\Delta'_v = (I_v, J_v)$, $v = 1, \dots, M'$, be all those decompositions of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, for which the sets

$$\left\{ x \geq 0 : \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha''_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \geq 0, i \in I_v; \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \leq 0, i \in J_v \right\}$$

are non-empty. ($M' \leq 2^m$ holds.) Define

$$r_{vj} = -\gamma'_j + \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \alpha''_{ij}, \quad l_v = \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \beta'_i$$

and denote $R = (r_{vj})$, $v = 1, \dots, M'$, $j = 1, \dots, n$; $l = (l_v)$, $v = 1, \dots, M'$.

Theorem 5. (i) Relation (1) holds. (ii) x is a solution of the game G if and only if (x, y_0) is a solution of the linear program

$$Rx + ye \leq l, \quad x \geq 0, \quad y = \text{maximum}.$$

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from the fact that condition (i) of Theorem 1 is satisfied. To prove assertion (ii), let us take into account that for $x \in E_n^+$ we have

$$\min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_F \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - b_i \right)^+ \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma'_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_{ij} x_j - \beta'_i \right)^+.$$

The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 and its Corollary also hold true with R in the place of Q .

9. It is possible to modify the above results for the case where $r_i(y) = 0$ for $y = 0$ and $r_i(y) > 0$ for $y \neq 0$, which corresponds to the relation $Ax = b$ at the place of $Ax \leq b$ in the initial linear program. Then Theorem 1 remains true; if $r_i(y) = v_i y^+ + w_i y^-$, $v_i > 0$, $w_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and all the other assumptions are unaltered, then Theorem 2 holds true with \tilde{Q} and \tilde{k} in the places of Q and k , where

$$\tilde{q}_{vj} = -\gamma_j + \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i a_{ij} + \sum_{i \in J_v} (v_i \lambda_i - w_i(1 - \lambda_i)) a_{ij} - \sum_{i \in K_v} w_i a_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{k}_v = \sum_{i \in I_v} v_i \beta_i + \sum_{i \in J_v} (v_i \lambda_i \beta'_i - w_i(1 - \lambda_i) \beta''_i) - \sum_{i \in K_v} w_i \beta_i,$$

and so on.

References

- [1] Blackwell D. and Girshick M. A.: Theory of games and statistical decisions, 1954.
- [2] Fan Ky: Minimax theorems, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 39 (1953), p. 42–47.
- [3] Iosifescu M. and Theodorescu R.: Sur la programmation linéaire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 256 (1963), p. 4831–4833.
- [4] Jiřina M. and Nedoma J.: Minimální řešení výběrového přejímacího postupu, Apl. mat., 1 (1956), p. 296–314.
- [5] Loève M.: Probability theory, 1955.
- [6] Richter H.: Parameterfreie Abschätzung und Realisierung von Erwartungswerten, Bl. Dtsch. Ges. Versicherungsmath., 3 (1957), p. 147–162.

Author's address: Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83 (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta KU).

Resumé

O MINIMAXOVÉM ŘEŠENÍ ÚLOHY STOCHASTICKÉHO LINEÁRNÍHO PROGRAMOVÁNÍ

JITKA ŽÁČKOVÁ, Praha

Obsahem článku je vyšetřování úlohy stochastického lineárního programování a jejího řešení jakožto strategické hry. V prvních paragrafech je tato úloha formulována a pro uvažovanou hru je dokázána věta o minimaxu (věta 1). V dalších paragrafech se studují metody řešení. Ve větách 2, 4 a 5 je dokázáno, že minimaxové řešení úlohy stochastického lineárního programování je v některých důležitých speciálních případech ekvivalentní řešení úlohy lineárního programování (větší dimenze). Podmínky pro existenci konečného řešení jsou uvedeny ve větě 3.

Резюме

О МИНИМАКСНОМ РЕШЕНИИ ПРОБЛЕМЫ СТОХАСТИЧЕСКОГО ЛИНЕЙНОГО ПРОГРАММИРОВАНИЯ

ЙИТКА ЖАЧКОВА (Jitka Žáčková), Прага

В настоящей статье изучается задача стохастического линейного программирования и ее решение с точки зрения теории игр. В первых отделах дана постановка задачи, и для возникшей бесконечной игры показана теорема о минимаксе (теорема 1). Остальные отделы посвящены исследованию решения задачи. Для некоторых важных частных случаев показано (теоремы 2, 4 и 5), что минимаксное решение задачи стохастического линейного программирования равносильно решению определенной задачи линейного программирования (большой размерности). В теореме 3 исследуется существование конечного решения.