

- $L \supseteq L_{PA}$, finite
- $T \supseteq G_2$ (Robinson's Q), recursive ← will change.
- $N \neq T$ (can be removed)
- T consistent

$$T \vdash \varphi \quad (\Rightarrow) \quad N \models \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$$

$\downarrow \Sigma_1^0$

Cöb's conclusions:

$$(1) \quad T \vdash \varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \vdash \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$$

$$(2) \quad T \vdash \text{Pr}_T(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \urcorner)$$

$$(3) \quad T \vdash \text{Pr}(\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner) \wedge \text{Pr}(\ulcorner \alpha \rightarrow \beta \urcorner) \rightarrow \text{Pr}(\ulcorner \beta \urcorner)$$

Gödel's diagonal lemma:

$$T \vdash J \equiv \neg \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner J \urcorner)$$

same J .

Gödel's First Th: $T \vdash J$ but $N \not\models J$.

Hence $T \not\equiv N$ for.

Prf: Assume $T \vdash \mathcal{J} \xRightarrow{(1)} T \vdash \text{Pr}(\bar{\mathcal{J}})$

$\downarrow (4)$
 $T \vdash \neg \text{Pr}(\bar{\mathcal{J}})$ } $\Rightarrow T$ inconsistent \hookrightarrow

□

Gödel's Second Theorem

For $\text{Con}_T := \neg \text{Pr}(\bar{0}=0)$, $T \vdash \text{Con}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$,
 where \mathcal{J} is from (4). Hence $T \not\vdash \text{Con}_T$.

Prf: Argue inside T :

Assume $\neg \mathcal{J} \xRightarrow{(4)} \text{Pr}(\bar{\mathcal{J}}) \xRightarrow{(2)} \text{Pr}(\text{Pr}(\bar{\mathcal{J}}))$
 $\downarrow (4), \text{Pr}$
 $\text{Pr}(\neg \text{Pr}(\bar{\mathcal{J}}))$ } $\Rightarrow (3)$
 $\text{Pr}(\perp)$

So we proved:

$$T \vdash \neg \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \neg \text{Con}_T$$

As $T \not\vdash \mathcal{J}$, $T \not\vdash \text{Con}_T$ follows.

□

Further assumptions on T/L (plus notation)

- Numbers u : $\underline{0} := \epsilon_0$, $\underline{1} := 1$, $\underline{2u} := (1+1) \cdot u$,
 $\underline{2u+1} := (\underline{2u} + 1)$

Note: $|\underline{u}| = O(\log u)$.

- There is efficient coding of sequences $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ by numbers, s.e. for

" $\ulcorner w \urcorner$ " := the numeral of the n.b. coding w

it holds:

$$|\ulcorner w \urcorner| = O\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i|\right), \text{ if } w = (a_0, \dots, a_{s-1})$$

In particular, if $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$: $|\ulcorner w \urcorner| = O(|w|)$.

- Provability predicate:

$$\text{Prf}(x, y, z)$$

~~Set~~ formula: " x is a (finite) list of L -axioms,
 z is an L -fcm and y is a proof of z
from x ", such that

$$(i) \text{ iff } \text{Prf}(\ulcorner S \urcorner, \ulcorner \pi \urcorner, \ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \text{ iff}$$

" π is an S -proof of φ "

(iii) For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\exists U \neq \emptyset \text{ Pref}(a, b, c)$

then $\text{Pref}(a^2, b^2, c^2)$ has a T -pref

of size (= nb. of symbols) $(|a| + |b| + |c|)^{O(1)}$.

• T is finite (this simplifies technicalities but T having a ρ -free set of a_i 's is o.k.).

• $\text{Pr}_T(z) \stackrel{?}{=} \exists y \text{ Pref}(T^y, y, z)$

• ~~Pr_T~~ $\text{Pr}_T^w(z) \stackrel{?}{=} \exists y (|y| \leq w) \text{ Pref}(T^y, y, z)$.

↙
We shall check this also $T \upharpoonright_w z$.

Löb's conditions modified for P_T^+

$$(1) \quad T \vdash_m \varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \vdash_{m,c} P_T^+(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$$

$$(2) \quad T \vdash P_T^+(z) \rightarrow P_T^+(\ulcorner P_T^+(z) \urcorner)$$

$$(3) \quad T \vdash (P_T^+(z_1) \wedge A_T^+(z_1 \rightarrow z_2)) \rightarrow P_T^+(z_2)$$

Bellevue Feferman's diagonalization:

$$(4) \quad T \vdash \mathcal{D}(x) \equiv (T \vdash P_T^+(\ulcorner \mathcal{D}(x) \urcorner))$$

This is an instance of general diag-lemma saying, that for $\varphi(x)$ there is $\mathcal{D}(x)$ s.t.

$$T \vdash \mathcal{D}(x) \equiv \varphi(\ulcorner \mathcal{D}(x) \urcorner)$$

Revised First thm : For all $n \geq 1$,
 $\mathbb{N} \neq \mathcal{J}(n)$ but $T \not\vdash_n \mathcal{J}(n)$.

Prf : (analogous as before)

$$T \not\vdash_n \mathcal{J}(n) \quad \Rightarrow \quad T \not\vdash \text{Pr}^n(\mathcal{J}(n))$$

\Downarrow (41)

$$T \not\vdash \text{Pr}^n(\mathcal{J}(n))$$

} \Downarrow with
no counterexamples
of T.

□

Second Th. revised [H. Friedmann '79, P. Pudlak '88]
 (= Quantifier Switching Th.)

For $Con_T(x) := \text{Pr}_T^+(x \neq 0)$

There is $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. for all $n \geq 1$:

$$T \not\vdash_{n^\varepsilon} Con_T(n).$$

Note: $|Con_T(n)| = O(\log n)$, so the
 lower bound is exponential.

→ -

Lemma: If $T \vdash \varphi(x)$ then for all $n \geq 1$,

$$T \vdash_{O(\log n)} \varphi(n).$$

Prf: Use substitution and $|n| = O(\log n)$. \square

Cor. $T \not\vdash Con_T$ \square .

Prf:

(1) Combining (4) and (2), T proves

$$\neg J(x) \rightarrow P_T^{+\epsilon} (\neg P_T^{\pm} (\neg J(x)))$$

(2) Using (4) and formalized Lemma in T, T proves:

$$P_T^{O(\log x)} (\neg J(x) \rightarrow \neg P_T^{\pm} (\neg J(x)))$$

So with (3), (4): it also proves:

$$\neg J(x) \rightarrow P_T^{O(\log x + \epsilon)} (\neg P_T^{\pm} (\neg J(x)))$$

(3) (1) & (2) imply that for some d , T proves:

$$\neg J(x) \rightarrow P_T^{x^d} (\neg 0 \neq 0)$$

(we just need $x^d > O(\log x + \epsilon) + \delta x + \epsilon$).

(4) (3) + Lemma imply: for all $m \geq 1$:

$$T \frac{}{O(\log m)} \neg J(m) \rightarrow \frac{}{T \frac{}{O(m^d)} (\neg 0 = 0)} \neg Con_T(m^d)$$

(5)

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $(m^d)^\epsilon < m/2$. As by

the First Th: $T \frac{}{m} J(m)$, we cannot have

$$\frac{}{T \frac{}{(m^d)^\epsilon} J(m^d)} T \frac{}{m/2} Con_T(m^d) \text{ or that}$$

would yield - with (4) - that $T \frac{}{m} J(m) = 1$. \square

Remarks

What if we want $S \stackrel{?}{\vdash} \text{Con}_T(\frac{n}{2})$.

(a) $S \gg T$, say $S \vdash \text{Con}_T$. Then, by Lemma,

$$S \stackrel{O(\log n)}{\vdash} \text{Con}_T(\frac{n}{2}).$$

(b) $S \ll T$, even S fixed : OPEN.

[Conj. : There is no fixed (finite, ...) S
s.t. for all T (finite, ...) $\exists c \geq 1$

$$\text{th} : S \stackrel{c}{\vdash} \text{Con}_T(\frac{n}{2}).$$

(c) Upper bound (Pudlak '87) For $S = T$ there are

poly upper bounds:

$$T \stackrel{\text{poly}}{\vdash} \text{Con}_T(\frac{n}{2}).$$

[Remark: this is exponentially better than
exhaustive search!]