Now we want to turn the table around and ask if IND proves PHP or any of the variants of WPHP. We considered three PHP-type principles so far:

 $PHP_x^{x+1}, WPHP_x^{2x}$  and  $WPHP_x^{x^2}$ 

ordered from logically strongest to weakest (over  $PA^-$  implying that  $x^2 > 2x > x + 1$  for  $x \ge 1$ ). I am writing it with x in place of n in order not to confuse n with a length of any number: in these principles it only plays a role of a parameter.

We shall define actually yet weaker form:

 $WPHP^x_{|x|-1}(f) \Leftrightarrow f$  is not a 1-to-1 map from x into |x|-1 .

In other words, the gap between the sizes of the domain and the range is now exponential.

Our next problem is:

**Problem 4:** Show that  $I\Delta_0$  proves  $WPHP_{|x|}^x(f)$  for all  $\Delta_0$ -definable maps.

For simplicity consider x of the form  $x = 2^k$ . Hence elements  $y < x = 2^k$ (i.e. the domain of f) are - in the sense of the 2nd notes about Problems 2 and 3 - subsets of  $\{k - 1, \ldots, 0\}$  while the set of z < |x| - 1 = k (containing the range of f) is  $\{0, \ldots, k - 1\}$ ; to get exactly this set is the reason why I wrote the cosmetic |x| - 1 in the principle as  $|x| = \log_2 x + 1$ .