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A category $\mathfrak{K}$ consists of

- a class of objects $\operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$,
- a class of arrows $\bigcup_{a, b \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})} \mathfrak{K}(a, b)$, where $f \in \mathfrak{K}(a, b)$ means $a$ is the domain of $f$ and $b$ is the codomain of $f$,
- a partial associative composition operation $\circ$ defined on arrows, where $f \circ g$ is defined $\Longleftrightarrow$ the domain of $g$ coincides with the domain of $f$.
Furthermore, for each $a \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ there is an identity id $_{a} \in \mathfrak{K}(a, a)$ satisfying $\mathrm{id}_{a} \circ g=g$ and $f \circ \mathrm{id}_{a}=f$ for $f \in \mathfrak{K}(a, x), g \in \mathfrak{K}(y, a)$, $x, y \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.
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## Definition

A sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$ is a functor $\vec{x}$ from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathfrak{K}$.

$$
x_{0} \xrightarrow{x_{0}^{1}} x_{1} \xrightarrow{x_{1}^{2}} x_{2} \xrightarrow{x_{2}^{3}} \cdots
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## Definition

Let $\vec{x}$ be a sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$. The colimit of $\vec{x}$ is a pair $\left\langle X,\left\{x_{n}^{\infty}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right\rangle$ with $x_{n}^{\infty}: x_{n} \rightarrow X$ satisfying:
(1) $x_{n}^{\infty}=x_{m}^{\infty} \circ x_{n}^{m}$ for every $n<m$.
(2) If $\left\langle Y,\left\{y_{n}^{\infty}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right\rangle$ with $y_{n}^{\infty}: x_{n} \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $y_{n}^{\infty}=y_{m}^{\infty} \circ y_{n}^{m}$ for every $n<m$ then there is a unique arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $f \circ x_{n}^{\infty}=y_{n}^{\infty}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition

The Banach-Mazur game BM ( $\mathfrak{K}$ ) played on $\mathfrak{K}$ is described as follows.

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition

The Banach-Mazur game $\mathrm{BM}(\mathfrak{K})$ played on $\mathfrak{K}$ is described as follows.
There are two players: Eve and Odd. Eve starts by choosing $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition

The Banach-Mazur game $\mathrm{BM}(\mathfrak{K})$ played on $\mathfrak{K}$ is described as follows.
There are two players: Eve and Odd. Eve starts by choosing $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.
Then Odd chooses $a_{1} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{0}^{1}: a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1}$.

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition

The Banach-Mazur game $\operatorname{BM}(\mathfrak{K})$ played on $\mathfrak{K}$ is described as follows.
There are two players: Eve and Odd. Eve starts by choosing $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.
Then Odd chooses $a_{1} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{0}^{1}: a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1}$. More generally, after Odd's move finishing with an object $a_{2 k-1}$, Eve chooses $a_{2 k} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{2 k-1}^{2 k}: a_{2 k-1} \rightarrow a_{2 k}$.

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition

The Banach-Mazur game $\operatorname{BM}(\mathfrak{K})$ played on $\mathfrak{K}$ is described as follows.
There are two players: Eve and Odd. Eve starts by choosing $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.
Then Odd chooses $a_{1} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{0}^{1}: a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1}$. More generally, after Odd's move finishing with an object $a_{2 k-1}$, Eve chooses $a_{2 k} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{2 k-1}^{2 k}: a_{2 k-1} \rightarrow a_{2 k}$. Next, Odd chooses $a_{2 k+1} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ together with a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $a_{2 k}^{2 k+1}: a_{2 k} \rightarrow a_{2 k+1}$. And so on...

## The Banach-Mazur game

## Definition
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The result of a play is a sequence $\vec{a}$ :

$$
a_{0} \xrightarrow{a_{0}^{1}} a_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow a_{2 k-1} \xrightarrow{a_{2 k-1}^{2 k}} a_{2 k} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$
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## Definition

We say that $U \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{L})$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic if Odd has a strategy in the Banach-Mazur game BM $(\mathfrak{K})$ such that the colimit of the resulting sequence $\vec{a}$ is always isomorphic to $U$, no matter how Eve plays.

## Proposition

A $\mathfrak{K}$-generic object, if exists, is unique up to isomorphism.

## Proof.

The rules for Eve and Odd are the same.

## Part 2

## Example 1

Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be the category of all finite linearly ordered sets. Then $\langle\mathbb{Q},<\rangle$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic.
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## Example 2

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\text {fin }}$ be the category of finite metric spaces with isometric embeddings.
Then the Urysohn space $\mathbb{U}$ is $\mathfrak{M}_{\text {fin }}$-generic.

## The Gurarii space

## Theorem (Gurarii 1966)
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## Theorem (Lusky 1976)

Among separable spaces, property (G) determines the space $\mathbb{G}$ uniquely up to linear isometries.

Elementary proof: Solecki \& K. 2013.

## Theorem (K. 2018)

The Gurarii space $\mathbb{G}$ is generic over the category $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ of finite-dimensional normed spaces with linear isometric embeddings.

## Theorem (K. 2018)

The Gurarii space $\mathbb{G}$ is generic over the category $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{fd}}$ of finite-dimensional normed spaces with linear isometric embeddings.

## Key Lemma (Solecki \& K.)

Let $X, Y$ be finite-dimensional normed spaces, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an $\varepsilon$-isometry with $0<\varepsilon<1$. Then there exist a finite-dimensional normed space $Z$ and isometric embeddings $i: X \rightarrow Z, j: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$
\|i-j \circ f\| \leqslant \varepsilon
$$
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We say that $\mathfrak{K}$ has amalgamations at $\boldsymbol{z} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ if for every $\mathfrak{K}$-arrows $f: z \rightarrow x, g: z \rightarrow y$ there exist $\mathfrak{K}$-arrows $f^{\prime}: x \rightarrow w, g^{\prime}: y \rightarrow w$ such that $f^{\prime} \circ f=g^{\prime} \circ g$.


We say that $\mathfrak{K}$ has the amalgamation property (AP) if it has amalgamations at every $z \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$.
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Assume $\mathfrak{K}$ has the $A P$ and $X=\lim \vec{x}$, where $\vec{x}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$. Assume $U$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic.

## Theorem (Universality)

Assume $\mathfrak{K}$ has the $A P$ and $X=\lim \vec{X}$, where $\vec{X}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$. Assume $U$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic. Then there exists an arrow e: $X \rightarrow U$.
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There exists a norm-one linear operator $\Omega: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ satisfying the following condition.
(E) For every $\varepsilon>0$, for every finite-dimensional Banach spaces $E \subseteq F$, for every non-expansive linear operator $T: F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, for every linear isometric embedding $e: E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ with $\Omega \circ e=T \upharpoonright E$, there exists an $\varepsilon$-isometric embedding $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that

$$
f \upharpoonright E=e \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega \circ f=T
$$

## Theorem

For every non-expansive linear operator $S: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ with $X$ separable, there exists a linear isometric embedding e: $X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that

$$
\Omega \circ e=S .
$$

## Theorem

## The operator $\Omega$ is generic.

## Theorem

The operator $\Omega$ is generic.
Theorem (Bargetz, Kakol, K. 2017)
There exists a unique graded separable Fréchet space $\mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ satisfying:
(E) For every $\varepsilon>0$, for every finite-dimensional graded Fréchet spaces $E \subseteq F$, for every linear isometric embedding e: $E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ there exists an $\varepsilon$-isometric embedding $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\infty}$ such that $f \upharpoonright E=e$.
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Theorem (Bielas, Walczyńska, K.)
Let $2^{\omega}$ denote the Cantor set. A continuous mapping $\eta$ : $K \rightarrow 2^{\omega}$ is $\mathfrak{K}_{K}$-generic $\Longleftrightarrow \eta$ is a topological embedding and $\eta[K]$ is nowhere dense in $2^{\omega}$.

## Corollary (Knaster \& Reichbach 1953)

Let h: $A \rightarrow B$ be a homeomorphism between closed nowhere dense subsets of $2^{\omega}$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $\mathrm{H}: 2^{\omega} \rightarrow 2^{\omega}$ such that

$$
H \upharpoonright A=h .
$$
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## The pseudo-arc

Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be the category of all continuous surjections from the unit interval [ 0,1 ] onto itself.
Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the category of all chainable continua.

## Theorem

The pseudo-arc is $\mathfrak{I}$-generic.
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## Theorem
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## Fraïssé theory

## Theorem

Assume $\mathfrak{K}$ is a countable directed category of finitely generated models with embeddings.
If $\mathfrak{K}$ has the AP then there exists a $\mathfrak{k}$-generic (countably generated) model, called the Fraïssé limit of $\mathfrak{K}$.

## Theorem (Fraïssé 1954)

Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be as above, let $U=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_{n}$ with $u_{n} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
The following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $U$ is the Fraïssé limit of $\mathfrak{K}$.
(b) Every $\mathfrak{K}$-object embeds into $U$ and for every embeddings
$e: a \rightarrow b, f: a \rightarrow U$ with $a, b \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ there exists an embedding $g: b \rightarrow U$ such that $f=g \circ e$.


## Fact

Finite graphs of vertex degree $\leqslant 2$ fail the amalgamation property.

## Weakenings of amalgamation

## Definition

We say that $\mathfrak{K}$ has the cofinal amalgamation property (CAP) if for every $z \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ there is a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $e: z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ such that $\mathfrak{K}$ has amalgamations at $z^{\prime}$.
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## Definition

We say that $\mathfrak{K}$ has the cofinal amalgamation property (CAP) if for every $z \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ there is a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $e: z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ such that $\mathfrak{K}$ has amalgamations at $z^{\prime}$.

## Definition (Ivanov, 1999)

We say that $\mathfrak{\kappa}$ has the weak amalgamation property (WAP) if for every $z \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{K})$ there is a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $e: z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ such that for every $\mathfrak{K}$-arrows $f: z^{\prime} \rightarrow X, g: z^{\prime} \rightarrow y$ there exist $\mathfrak{\Re}$-arrows $f^{\prime}: x \rightarrow w, g^{\prime}: y \rightarrow w$ such that $f^{\prime} \circ f \circ \boldsymbol{e}=g^{\prime} \circ g \circ \boldsymbol{e}$.
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## Proposition

Finite graphs of vertex degree $\leqslant 2$ have the CAP.
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Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a countable directed category of finitely generated models with embeddings. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) There exists a $\mathfrak{K}$-generic model.
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## Theorem (Krawczyk \& K. 2016)

Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a countable directed category of finitely generated models with embeddings. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) There exists a $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { K }}$-generic model.
(b) $\mathfrak{K}$ has the WAP.

Theorem (Krawczyk \& K. 2016)
Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be as above and let $U$ be a countably generated model. The following properties are equivalent:
(a) $U$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic.
(b) Eve does not have a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(\mathfrak{K}, \mathrm{U})$.

## A more concrete setup

We assume that $\mathfrak{K}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathfrak{L}$ and the following conditions are satisfied.
(LO) All $\mathfrak{L}$-arrows are monic.
(L1) Every $\mathfrak{L}$-object is the co-limit of a sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$.
(L2) Every sequence in $\mathfrak{K}$ has a co-limit in $\mathfrak{L}$.
(L3) Every $\mathfrak{k}$-object is $\omega$-small in $\mathfrak{L}$.

## Weak injectivity

## Definition

An object $V \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{L})$ is weakly $\mathfrak{\Re}$-injective if

- every $\mathfrak{K}$-object has an $\mathfrak{L}$-arrow into $V$, and
- for every $\mathfrak{L}$-arrow $e: a \rightarrow V$ there exists a $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $i: a \rightarrow b$ such that for every $\mathfrak{K}$-arrow $f: b \rightarrow y$ there is an $\mathfrak{L}$-arrow $g: y \rightarrow V$ satisfying $g \circ f \circ i=e$.
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Theorem (K. 2017)
Assume $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ satisfy (LO)-(L3) and $\mathfrak{K}$ is locally countable. Given $V \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{L})$, the following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $V$ is weakly $\mathfrak{K}$-injective.
(b) $V$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic.
(c) Eve does not have a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(\mathfrak{K}, V)$.

Theorem (K. 2017)
Assume $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{L}$ satisfy (LO)-(L3) and $\mathfrak{K}$ is locally countable. Given $V \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathfrak{L})$, the following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $V$ is weakly $\mathfrak{K}$-injective.
(b) $V$ is $\mathfrak{K}$-generic.
(c) Eve does not have a winning strategy in $\mathrm{BM}(\mathfrak{K}, V)$.

## Remark

If there exists a weakly $\mathfrak{K}$-injective object then $\mathfrak{K}$ is directed and has the WAP.
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