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## Covering spaces in topology

Euclidean and projective planes - the Euclidean plane is a double cover of the projective one


## Definition of graph covering (for connected simple graphs)

Definition: Mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a graph covering projection if for every $u \in V(G), f \mid N_{G}(u)$ is a bijection of $N_{G}(u)$ onto $N_{H}(f(u))$


H
$f\left(N_{G}(u)\right)=N_{H}(f(u))$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G} u=\operatorname{deg}_{H} f(u)$


## A bit of the history

$\square$ Topological graph theory, construction of highly symmetric graphs (Biggs 1974, Djokovic 1974, Gardiner 1974, Gross et al. 1977)
$\square$ Local computation (Angluin STOC 1980, Litovsky et al. 1992, Courcelle et al. 1994, Chalopin et al. 2006)
$\square$ Common covers (Angluin et al. 1981, Leighton 1982)
$\square$ Finite planar covers (Negami's conjecture 1988, Hliněný 1998, Archdeacon 2002, Hliněný et al. 2004)

## Outline of the talk

$\square$ Negami's conjecture
$\square$ Computational complexity
$\square$ Multigraphs with semi-edges
$\square$ Strong dichotomy conjecture
$\square$ Covers of disconnected graphs
$\square$ Generalized snarks
$\square$ Covers of directed graphs

Negami's conjecture


## Negami's conjecture

Conjecture (Negami 1988): A graph has a finite planar cover if and only if it is projective planar.
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A planar cover of $\mathrm{K}_{3,3}$

## Negami's conjecture

Attempts to prove via forbidden minors for projective planar graphs: Both PlanarCoverable and ProjectivePlanar are classes closed in the minor order. Moreover,

$$
\text { ProjectivePlanar } \subseteq \text { PlanarCoverable. }
$$

Need to show that no forbidden minor for the projective plane has a finite planar cover.
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## Projective Planar Graph

A graph with projective plane crossing number equal to 0 may be said to be projective planar. Examples of projective planar graphs with graph crossing number $\geq 2$ include the complete graph $K_{6}$ and Petersen graph $P$.
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## Negami's conjecture

Thm (Negami, Fellows, Archdeacon 1990): Conjecture is true for graphs not containing $K^{-}-4$ and $K_{1,2,2,2}$ as minors.

## The terrible two


$K^{--}$

$K_{1,2,2,2}$

## Negami's conjecture

Thm (Negami, Fellows, Archdeacon 1990): Conjecture is true for graphs not containing $K^{--}$and $K_{1,2,2,2}$ as minors.
P. Hliněný (1998): $K^{--}{ }_{4,4}$ does not have a finite planar cover.
P. Hliněný, R. Thomas (2002): Only finite number of counterexamples exist (if any).

## Computational complexity of graph covers

H-COVER
Input: A graph G
Question: Does $G$ cover H?

## Computational complexity of graph covers

$\square$ Thm (Bodlaender 1989): H-COVER is NP-complete if $H$ is also part of the input.
$\square$ Abello, Fellows, Stilwell 1991: Initiated the study of computational complexity of the H-COVER problem for fixed $H$.
$\square$ Thm (Kratochvil, Proskurowski, Telle 1994): H-COVER is polynomial time solvable for every simple graph with at most 2 vertices per equivalence class in its degree partition.
$\square$ Thm (Fiala, Kratochvil, Proskurowski, Telle 1998): H-COVER is NPcomplete for every simple regular graph of valency at least 3.
$\square$ Fiala, Kratochvil 2008: Relation to CSP
$\square$ Bílka, Jirásek, Klavík, Tancer, Volec 2011: NP-hardness of covering small graphs by planar inputs.



## A few facts on graph covers

$\square$ Every covering projection to a connected graph is equitable
$\square \mathrm{A}$ (rooted) tree is covered only by an isomorphic tree
$\square$ A path is covered only by a path of the same length


## Reduction to colored graphs



Kratochvil, Proskurowski, Telle 1997: Apply the same reductions to $G$ and $H$. Every covering projection must respect the colors. To fully understand the complexity of H -COVER for all simple graphs, it is necessary and suffices to understand its complexity for colored mixed multigraphs of minimum degree $\geq 3$.

## General graphs

(with multiple edges, loops and semi-edges allowed)


## General graphs

(with multiple edges, loops and semi-edges allowed)


Why semi-edges?

- Appear naturally as quotients of automorphism groups
- Recently became standard in topological graph theory and mathematical physics
- Are reasonable in the local computation model
- Capture interesting and standard graph theoretical invariants


## Covers of general graphs

(with multiple edges, loops and semi-edges)
Definition: A pair of mappings $f=\left(f_{V}, f_{E}\right): G \rightarrow H$ is a graph covering projection if - $f_{V}: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a homomorphism,

- $f_{E}: E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ is compatible with $f_{V}$, and it is a bijection of \{edges incident with $u\}$ onto $\left\{\right.$ edges incident with $\left.f_{V}(u)\right\}$ for every $u \in V(G)$



## Complexity of covering multigraphs

$\square$ Kratochvil, Proskurowski, Telle 1997: Complete characterization of the computational complexity of H-COVER for colored mixed 2-vertex multigraphs (without semi-edges) $H$.
$\square$ Kratochvil, Telle, Tesař 2016: Complete characterization of the computational complexity of $H$-COVER for 3 -vertex multigraphs $H$ (monochromatic, undirected, without semi-edges).
$\square$ Bok, Fiala, Hliněný, Jedličková, Kratochvíl MFCS 2021: First results on the computational complexity of $H$-COVER for (multi)graphs with semi-edges. Full classification for 1-vertex and 2-vertex graphs $H$.
$\square$ Bok, Fiala, Jedličková, Kratochvíl, Rzazewski IWOCA 2022: If $H$ is a $k$-regular (multi)graph, $k \geq 3$, with at least one semi-simple vertex, then List-H-COVER is NP-complete for simple input graphs.

## Some examples



A graph covers $\quad<$ iff it is cubic and 3 -edge-colorable. NP-complete

## Some examples



A graph covers $\Omega$ iff it is cubic and has a perfect matching.

Poly time

## Some examples



> A graph covers $\bigcirc$ iff it is 4-regular (Petersen/Konig-Hall thm).
> Poly time

## Strong Dichotomy Conjecture

2021 Bok et al: For every fixed graph H, the H-COVER problem is either polynomial time solvable for arbitrary input graphs (loops, multiple edges, semi-edges allowed), or NP-complete for simple input graphs.

## Covers of disconnected graphs

## Complexity of Graph Covering Problems
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#### Abstract

Given a fixed graph $H$, the $H$-cover problem asks whether an input graph $G$ allows a degree preserving mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that for every $v \in V(G), f\left(N_{G}(v)\right)=N_{H}(f(v))$. In this paper, we design efficient algorithms for certain graph covering problems according to two basic techniques. The first one is a reduction to the 2-SAT problem. The second technique exploits necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of regular factors in graphs. For other infinite classes of graph covering problems we derive $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{P}$-completeness results by reductions from graph coloring problems. We illustrate this methodology by classifying all graph covering problems defined by simple graphs with at most 6 vertices.





## Locally bijective homomorphism



## Covers of disconnected graphs

Locally bijective homomorphism
Surjective cover


## Covers of disconnected graphs

Equitable cover


## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs

Thm (Bok, Fiala, Jedlickova, Kratochvil, Seifertova FCT2021): For a disconnected graph H,

- both the H-SURJECTIVE-COVER and H-EQUITABLE-COVER problems are polynomially solvable if the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$-COVER problem is polynomially solvable for every connected component $H_{i}$ of H , and - both the H-SURJECTIVE-COVER and H-EQUITABLE-COVER problems are NP-complete for simple input graphs if the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$-COVER problem is NP-complete for simple input graphs for some connected component $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of H .


# Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs 

Proof of "the H-SURJECTIVE-COVER problem is NP-complete for simple input graphs if the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$-COVER problem is NP-complete for simple input graphs for some connected component $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of H ."

## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs

Proof of "the H-SURJECTIVE-COVER problem is NP-complete for simple input graphs if the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$-COVER problem is NP-complete for simple input graphs for some connected component $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of H ."

Let $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} 1+\mathrm{H} 2+\ldots+\mathrm{Hk}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{COVER}$ is NP-complete for simple input graphs, and let G1 be a simple graph whose covering of H 1 is to be tested. For each $\mathrm{j}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, fix a simple graph Gj such that Gj covers Hj , and moreover Gj does not cover H 1 , unless Hj is such that every simple graph that covers Hj also covers H 1 .

Then $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{G} 2+\ldots+\mathrm{Gk}$ surjectively covers H if and only if G 1 covers H 1 .

Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs

Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs
$\mathrm{Gi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hi}$ and
$\mathrm{Gi} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$ iff every simple connected graph that covers Hi also covers H1


## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs



## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs

$\mathrm{Gi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hi}$ and
$\mathrm{Gi} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$ iff every simple connected graph that covers Hi also covers H1

Claim: $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ iff $\mathrm{G} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$
"if" direction is clear
Now suppose : $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ and e.g. $\mathrm{G} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{G} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{G} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$

## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs
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$\mathrm{Gi} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$ iff every simple connected graph that covers Hi also covers H1
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Now suppose : $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ and e.g. $\mathrm{G} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{G} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{G} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H} 1$

## Computational complexity of covering disconnected graphs
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## > relation on connected graphs

Definition: Given connected graphs $A$ and $B$, we say that $A>B$ if for every simple graph $G$, it is true that $G$ covers $B$ whenever $G$ covers $A$.


## > relation on connected graphs

Definition: Given connected graphs $A$ and $B$, we say that $A>B$ if for every simple graph $G$, it is true that $G$ covers $B$ whenever $G$ covers $A$.

Example 1: If $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, then $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$.

## > relation on connected graphs

Definition: Given connected graphs $A$ and $B$, we say that $A>B$ if for every simple graph $G$, it is true that $G$ covers $B$ whenever $G$ covers $A$.

Example 1: If $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, then $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$.

Example 2: $\ll>$

## > relation on connected graphs

Definition: Given connected graphs $A$ and $B$, we say that $A>B$ if for every simple graph $G$, it is true that $G$ covers $B$ whenever $G$ covers $A$.

Example 1: If $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, then $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$.

Example 2: $\quad \lll$

Example 3: $\downarrow \quad \downarrow>0 \quad$ and $\bullet \bullet>b \quad \downarrow$

## Hunting for Snarks



## > relation on connected graphs

Question: If $\neg(A>B)$, then there is a witness $G$ (a simple graph) such that $G$ covers $A$ but $G$ does not cover $B$. How big would such a witness be? Can such a witness be constructed easily?

We know that $\neg(\Omega>\downarrow<)$. 2-connected witnesses are snarks.

## > relation on connected graphs

Open problem: Describe all pairs of connected graphs $A$ and $B$ such that $A>B$ and $A$ does not cover $B$.

Conjecture (Bok et al. 2022): If A has no semi-edges, then $A>B$ if and only if A covers B.

## > relation on connected graphs

Open problem: Describe all pairs of connected graphs $A$ and $B$ such that $A>B$ and $A$ does not cover $B$.

Conjecture (Bok et al. 2022): If A has no semi-edges, then $A>B$ if and only if A covers B.

JK, Nedela (EUROCOMB 2023): True for $B=d<\quad$ and $B=\Omega$ with arbitrary A.

## > relation on connected graphs

Thm 1 (JK,RN): For any graph $A, A>\downarrow<$ iff $A \rightarrow \downarrow<$.
Thm 2 (JK,RN): For any graph $A, A>$ iff A semi-covers $\Omega$.
Definition: Preimages of edges in a semi-covering


## Sketch of proof of Thm 1

Thm $1(\mathrm{JK}, \mathrm{RN})$ : For any graph $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}>\ll$ iff $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \downarrow<$.
Proof: " $\Leftarrow$ " is obvious.
" $\Rightarrow$ " We prove "A $\nrightarrow \downarrow<\exists$ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \nrightarrow \downarrow<$.

## $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \downarrow \Rightarrow$ ヨ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \nrightarrow \downarrow<$

Case 1: A has no semi-edges
Case 1.1: If $A$ has a bridge, then $A$ has a simple cover which has a bridge.
$\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \downarrow<\exists$ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \downarrow<$


## $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \downarrow \Rightarrow$ ヨ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \nrightarrow \downarrow<$

Case 1: A has no semi-edges
Case 1.1: If A has a bridge, then $A$ has a simple cover which has a bridge.
Case 1.2: If $A$ has a loop, then $A$ has a bridge.

## $\mathrm{A} \nrightarrow \downarrow \Rightarrow \exists$ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \nrightarrow \emptyset<$

Case 1: A has no semi-edges
Case 1.1: If A has a bridge, then $A$ has a simple cover which has a bridge.
Case 1.2: If $A$ has a loop, then $A$ has a bridge.
Case 1.3: If $A$ has no loops, show that $A$ has a simple cover $H$ with $\chi^{\prime}(H)>3$ by induction on the number of double edges of $A$.

## $\mathrm{A} \nrightarrow \measuredangle<\exists$ simple $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ A s.t. $\mathrm{H} \nrightarrow \downarrow$

Case 1: A has no semi-edges
Case 1.1: If A has a bridge, then $A$ has a simple cover which has a bridge.
Case 1.2: If $A$ has a loop, then $A$ has a bridge.
Case 1.3: If $A$ has no loops, show that $A$ has a simple cover $H$ with $\chi^{\prime}(H)>3$ by induction on the number of double edges of $A$.

Case 2: A has semi-edges
Consider $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$, show $\chi^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\circ}\right)=\chi^{\prime}(\mathrm{A})>3$, and by Case $1, \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ (and hence also A ) has a simple cover H with $\chi^{\prime}(\mathrm{H})>3$, the witness.


A

$A^{o}$

## Covering directed graphs

Thm (JK, Proskurowski, Telle + Fiala 1997): If H is simple undirected k -regular graph, $\mathrm{k}>2$, then H -COVER is NP-complete.

Thm (Bok, Fiala, Hlineny, Jedlickova, JK 2021): If H is semi-simple undirected $k$-regular graph, $\mathrm{k}>2$, then H-COVER is NP-complete.

Conjecture: If H is simple connected directed $k$-in-k-out-regular graph with $\mathrm{k}>2$, then H -COVER is NP-complete.

## Covering directed graphs

Observation: If H is connected undirected 2-regular graph, then H-COVER is polynomial time solvable.

Question: What about connected directed 2-in-2-out-regular graphs?

## Covering directed graphs

Observation: If H is connected undirected 2-regular graph, then H -COVER is polynomial time solvable.

Question: What about connected directed 2-in-2-out-regular graphs?
Answer: A complete jungle.

## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

2-vertex graphs


## Covering directed $\mathbf{2 - i n - 2 - o u t ~ r e g u l a r ~ g r a p h s ~}$

2-vertex graphs


Polynomial time

## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

2-vertex graphs


Polynomial time


Polynomial time via 2-SAT

## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

 3 -vertex graphs

Polynomial time


## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs
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## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

 4-vertex graphs

## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs
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## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

4-vertex graphs



$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(2)+v(2)=0 \\
& u(1)+v(1)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

X : $(x(1), x(2)) \in G F(2)^{2}$

## Covering directed 2-in-2-out regular graphs

4-vertex graphs


## Thank you

