Models of incompressible binary flows with surfactant

Maurizio Grasselli

Dipartimento di Matematica - Politecnico di Milano - ITALY

maurizio.grasselli@polimi.it

Mixtures: Modeling, analysis and computing EMS TAG MIXTURES Charles University, Prague, February 5-7, 2025

French vinaigrette: basic ingredients and instructions

- olive oil
- vinegar
- mustard
- salt and pepper

Place mustard, salt, pepper, and vinegar in a bowl.

Whisk to dissolve mustard and salt.

Slowly whisk in olive oil into an emulsion.

Ref. H. Benabdelhalim, D. Brutin: Phase separation and spreading dynamics of French vinaigrette, Phys. Fluids 2022 [Special Collection: Kitchen Flows]

If you don't use mustard...



this is what happens after some time (room temperature)

If you use mustard...



- surfactants lower the surface tension
- examples: detergents make the water more "wet" and grease can be removed, emulsifying agent stabilizes an emulsion by preventing small droplets to coalesce (mustard in olive oil + vinegar mixture)
- surfactant molecules spontaneously aggregate in stable groups called micelles which have a strong preference to occupy sites at the fluid-fluid interfaces
- below the critical micelle concentration (CMC), surfactants adsorb efficiently to the interfaces where their physical effects become prominent
- above the CMC, additionally, spontaneous formation of micelles occurs in the bulk solution

Phase field approach: free energy

- Ω bdd domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \{2,3\}$
- φ : Ω × [0, T) → [-1, 1] relative volume fraction difference between the two fluids
- $\psi: \Omega \times [0, T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ volume fraction of the surfactant
- free energy

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{free}}\left(\phi,\psi\right) = \boldsymbol{E}_{\phi}(\phi) + \boldsymbol{E}_{\psi}(\psi) + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G}(\phi,\psi) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Ref. S. Engblom et al., On Diffuse Interface Modeling and Simulation of Surfactants in Two-Phase Fluid Flow, Commun. Comput. Phys. 2013 [and refs. therein]

Free energies E_{ϕ} and E_{ψ}

we postulate

$$\begin{split} E_{\phi}(\phi) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{2} + F_{\phi}(\phi) \right) dx \\ E_{\psi}(\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{2} + F_{\psi}(\psi) \right) dx \end{split}$$

• where $\varepsilon,\beta>$ 0 and

$$egin{aligned} F_{\phi}(s) &= rac{\Theta}{2}[(1+s)\ln(1+s) + (1-s)\ln(1-s)] + rac{ heta_1}{2}(1-s^2) \ F_{\psi}(s) &= rac{\Theta}{2}[s\ln s + (1-s)\ln(1-s)] + rac{ heta_2}{2}s(1-s) \end{aligned}$$

with $\Theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$

Interaction energy density G: first choice

we postulate

$$G(\phi,\psi) = \frac{\gamma_1}{2}\psi\phi^2 - \gamma_2\psi|\nabla\phi|^2$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \ge 0$

 with this choice we need to add a regularizing higher-order term in *E_φ*, namely,

$$+\sigma |\Delta \phi|^2$$

with $\sigma > 0$

also, we need to approximate *F_φ* using a smooth double well potential

$$\mathit{F}^{a}_{\phi}(s) = rac{lpha}{4}(1-s^2)^2$$

with $\alpha > 0$

First hydrodynamic model

- matched densities ($\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 1$)
- u (volume averaged) fluid velocity
- constant mobilities (= 1)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nabla\cdot(\nu(\phi,\psi)D\mathbf{u}) + \nabla\pi = \mu_{\phi}\nabla\phi + \mu_{\psi}\nabla\psi\\ \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0\\ \partial_{t}\phi + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\phi = \Delta\mu_{\phi}\\ \mu_{\phi} = \Delta^{2}\phi - \Delta\phi + (F_{\phi}^{a})'(\phi) + \nabla\cdot(\psi\nabla\phi)\\ \partial_{t}\psi + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\psi = \Delta\mu_{\psi}\\ \mu_{\psi} = -\Delta\psi + F_{\psi}'(\psi) - |\nabla\phi|^{2} \end{cases}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T)$, T > 0

- i.c. + no-slip b.c. for **u** + no-flux b.c. for $\phi, \psi, \mu_{\phi}, -\Delta\phi, \mu_{\psi}$
- existence of a global weak solution if d = 2,3
- existence of a local (global) strong solution if d = 3 (d = 3)
- continuous dependence estimate (\Rightarrow uniqueness) if d = 2
- regularization properties of the weak solution and validity of the strict separation property for ψ (d = 2)

Total energy and energy identity

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \phi(t), \psi(t)) + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\nu(\phi(\tau), \psi(\tau))} D\boldsymbol{u}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \mu_{\phi}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla \mu_{\psi}(\tau)\|^2 \right) d\tau = \mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\boldsymbol{u}_0, \phi_0, \psi_0)$$

for all $t \ge 0$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{u},\phi,\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2} |\Delta\phi|^2 + \frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{2} + F_{\phi}^a(\phi) \right) dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{2} + F_{\psi}(\psi) + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma_1}{2} \psi \phi^2 - \gamma_2 \psi |\nabla\phi|^2}_{G(\phi,\psi)} \right) dx$$

Approximating the interaction energy density G

 Following G.-P. Zhu et al., Thermodynamically consistent modelling of two-phase flows with moving contact line and soluble surfactants, JFM 2019

$$-\gamma_2\psi|
abla\phi|^2pprox-rac{\gamma_3}{4}\psi(1-\phi^2)^2$$

where $\gamma_3 > 0$

so that

$$egin{aligned} G_{a}(\phi,\psi) &= rac{\gamma_{1}}{2}\psi\phi^{2} - rac{\gamma_{3}}{4}\psi(1-\phi^{2})^{2} \end{aligned}$$

- with this choice we no longer need the regularizing higher-order term in *E_φ*
- also, we no longer need to approximate *F_φ* with a smooth double well potential

Second hydrodynamic model

 unmatched densities (following Abels, Garcke, Grün, M3AS 2012)

$$\rho(\phi) = \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}\phi + \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2}, \quad \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2}m_{\phi}(\phi)\nabla\mu_{\phi}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\rho(\phi)\mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes(\rho(\phi)\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{J})\right) - \operatorname{div}\left(\nu(\phi,\psi)D\mathbf{u}\right) + \nabla\pi\\ = \mu_\phi\nabla\phi + \mu_\psi\nabla\psi\\ \operatorname{div}\,\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}\\ \partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\phi = \operatorname{div}\left(m_\phi(\phi)\nabla\mu_\phi\right)\\ \mu_\phi = -\Delta\phi + F'_\phi(\phi) + \partial_\phi G_a(\phi,\psi)\\ \partial_t\psi + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\psi = \operatorname{div}\left(m_\psi(\psi)\nabla\mu_\psi\right)\\ \mu_\psi = -\Delta\psi + F'_\psi(\psi) + \partial_\psi G_a(\phi,\psi) \end{cases}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T)$

Main results (G., Ouyang, Wu, in progress)

- i.c. + no-slip b.c. for **u** + no-flux b.c. for $\phi, \psi, \mu_{\phi}, \mu_{\psi}$
- non-degenerate or degenerate mobilities
- existence of a global weak solution if *d* = 2,3 with a reaction term of Oono type in the CH eq. for φ

$$\sigma_1(\phi)(\overline{\phi} - c) + \sigma_2(\phi - \overline{\phi})$$

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \ge 0$, $c \in (-1, 1)$, and $\overline{\phi}$ stands for the integral mean of ϕ

- non-degenerate m_{ϕ} : σ_1 can be a positive constant
- degenerate m_{ϕ} : σ_1 must properly vanish at ± 1

Total energy and energy identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \phi(t), \psi(t)) &+ \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\nu(\phi(\tau), \rho(\tau))} D\boldsymbol{u}(\tau)\|^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \left(m_\phi(\phi(\tau)) \|\nabla \mu_\phi(\tau)\|^2 + m_\psi(\psi(\tau)) \|\nabla \mu_\psi(\tau)\|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\boldsymbol{u}_0, \phi_0, \psi_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \ge 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{u},\phi,\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\rho(\phi)}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{2} + F_{\phi}(\phi) \right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{2} + F_{\psi}(\psi) + G_{a}(\phi,\psi) \right) \mathrm{d}x \end{aligned}$$

The proofs are based on suitable adaptations of the ones devised in Abels, Depner, Garcke (JMFM 2012 and AIHP 2013)

- implicit time discretization scheme for the nondegenerate mobilities
- approximating the degenerate mobilities
- approximating the mixing entropies in such a way that the approximations of φ and ψ still take their values in [-1, 1] and [0, 1], respectively
- use the previous result (non-degenerate mob.) to get the existence of an approximate solution
- pass to the limit w.r.t. the regularization parameters by extraction and compactness

Some open issues

- 1st model: convergence to equilibrium? F_{ϕ} singular?
- 2nd model (non-deg. mob.): additional results (e.g., well-posedness if d = 2, local strong sols. if d = 3)
- 2nd model (deg. mob.): more general source terms in the CH eq. for ϕ
- nonlocal models for the mixture and/or the surfactant (deg. mob.: more chances to go beyond the existence of a weak soln.)
- replacing Cahn-Hilliard eqs. with conserved Allen-Cahn eqs. (X. Jiang, CMAME 2021)
- dynamic boundary conditions (G. Zhu et al., JFM 2019, 2nd model)
- stochastic models (T. Tachim-Medjo, DCDS 2024, 1st model)

THANK YOU

