

Homework 4

Let V be a real vector space with basis $M = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ and dual basis $M^* = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m\}$.

1) Show that the map

$$T^{1,1}(V) \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

$$T = \sum_{i,j=1}^m T_{ij}^i \varepsilon_j \otimes e_i \longmapsto \varphi \in \text{End}(V), [\varphi]_M = (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}^{j=1,\dots,m}$$

$$\varphi_{ij} = T_{ij}^i$$

does not depend on the choice of basis of V .

2) Show that the map

$$T^{2,0}(V) \longrightarrow \{f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is bilinear}\} = \mathcal{B}(V)$$

$$T = \sum_{i,j=1}^m T_{ij} \varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_j \longmapsto f \in \mathcal{B}(V), [f]_M = (T_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}^{j=1,\dots,m}$$

does not depend on the choice of basis of V .

Solution: ad 1) First proof. In lecture 4 we defined the map

$$T^{1,1}(V) \longrightarrow \text{End}(V) = \{\varphi: V \rightarrow V \mid \varphi \text{ is linear}\}$$

$$T \longmapsto (V \ni v \mapsto \varphi_T(v) \in V)$$

where $w := \varphi_T(v) \in V$ is the uniquely determined by the requirement

$$(*) \quad V^* \ni \alpha \mapsto T(v, \alpha) = \alpha(w) \in \mathbb{R}$$

The existence and uniqueness of w follows from the fact that $(*)$ is linear and that $(V^*)^*$ is canonically isomorphic through the map $V \ni v \mapsto (V^* \ni \alpha \mapsto \alpha(v))$.

Hence, if $M = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a basis of V and $M^* = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m\}$ is the dual basis so that

$$\varepsilon_i^j(e_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^m T_{ij} \varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_j \in T^{1,1}(V)$ and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varepsilon_i$, then

$$T(e_j, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m T_{ij} \alpha_i = \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^m T_{ij} e_i \right).$$

This shows that $\varphi_T(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m T_{ij} e_i$ and so by definition, the matrix $[\varphi_T]_M$ of φ_T

w.r. to M is

$$[\varphi_T]_M = (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}^{j=1,\dots,m} \quad \text{where } \varphi_{ij} = T_{ij}^i.$$

(Here i is indexing rows and j is indexing columns of $[\varphi_T]_M$.)

This proves the claim.

Second proof. Let $M' = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_m\}$ be a second basis of M and $(M')^* = \{\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_m\}$ be the dual basis. If

$$[\text{Id}]_{M'}^M = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}^{j=1,\dots,m} \quad \text{so that } \varepsilon_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \varepsilon'_i, \text{ then}$$

$$[\text{Id}]_{(M')^*}^{M^*} = \left([\text{Id}]_M^{M'} \right)^T = \left((b_{ij})_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ i=1, \dots, m}} \right)^T$$

so that $\varepsilon^j = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ji} \varepsilon^i$. Write $\Lambda_j^i = a_{ij}$

and $(\Lambda^{-1})_j^i = b_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. Then we may write

$$e_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \Lambda_j^i e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^j = \sum_{i=1}^m (\Lambda^{-1})_j^i \varepsilon^i.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} \varepsilon^i \otimes e_j = \sum_{i, j, k=1}^m T_{ij} (\Lambda^{-1})_i^k \Lambda_j^k \varepsilon^k \otimes e_k \\ &= \sum_{k, l=1}^m T_{kl} \varepsilon^k \otimes e_l \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\square) \quad T_{kl} = \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} (\Lambda^{-1})_i^k \Lambda_j^l = \sum_{i, j=1}^m a_{kj} T_{ij} b_{il}.$$

Hence, $[\varphi_T]_{M'} = (\varphi_{ij}^i)_{i=1, \dots, m}^{j=1, \dots, m}$ with $\varphi_{ij}^i = T_{ij}^i$.

On the other hand, $[\varphi_T]_M = (\varphi_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, m}^{j=1, \dots, m}$ with $\varphi_{ij} = T_{ij}^i$ and so by (\square)

$$\varphi_{ij}^i = \sum_{k, l=1}^m a_{ik} \varphi_{kl} b_{lj}, \quad \text{or using matrix multiplication}$$

$$[\varphi_T]_{M'} = [\text{Id}]_{M'}^M [\varphi_T]_M [\text{Id}]_M^M$$

which is the well known transformation rule for the matrix of an endomorphism. Hence, the map

$$T \mapsto \varphi_T, [\varphi_T]_M = (\varphi_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, m}^{j=1, \dots, m}$$

does not depend on the choice of basis.

ad2) Let $T = \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} \varepsilon^i \otimes e_j$. Then using notation used above,

$$T = \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} \varepsilon^i \otimes e_j = \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} \sum_{k, l=1}^m (\Lambda^{-1})_i^k (\Lambda^{-1})_j^l \varepsilon^k \otimes e_l$$

$$= \sum_{k, l=1}^m T_{kl} \varepsilon^k \otimes e_l$$

$$\text{with } T_{kl} = \sum_{i, j=1}^m T_{ij} (\Lambda^{-1})_i^k (\Lambda^{-1})_j^l.$$

Hence, $T \mapsto [\mathcal{F}]_{M'} = (T_{ij}^i)_{i=1, \dots, m}^{j=1, \dots, m}$.

But $T_{ij}^i = \sum_{k, l=1}^m T_{ij} b_{ik} b_{jl} = \sum_{k, l=1}^m b_{ik} T_{ij} b_{jl}$, or using matrix multiplication

$$[\mathcal{F}]_{M'} = \left([\text{Id}]_M^M \right)^T [\mathcal{F}]_M [\text{Id}]_M^M$$

which is again the well known transformation law for matrix of a bilinear form.