

# 1 The Hopf Algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

In this lecture we introduce the basic definitions and results of Hopf algebra theory. Throughout, the classical enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  will be taken as the motivating example. Indeed, this example is sufficiently rich as to be able to demonstrate many of the fundamental properties of Hopf algebras.

## 1.1 Conventions and Basic Facts About Tensor Products

We begin with some basic conventions: All algebras discussed here will be assumed to be unital, and all algebra, and anti-algebra, maps will be assumed to be unital. For sake of simplicity, all vector spaces  $V$  will be assumed to be over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Unless stated otherwise, all tensor products are taken over  $\mathbf{C}$ , and we will tacitly identify  $\mathbf{C} \otimes V$ ,  $V \otimes \mathbf{C}$ , and  $V$ . Recall that every element of  $V \otimes V$  can (by construction) be written as a sum  $\sum_{i=1}^m v_i \otimes w_i$ , for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . However, such presentations are not unique.

For an algebra  $A$ , the *algebra tensor product*  $A \otimes A$  is the vector space tensor product of  $A$  with itself, endowed with the multiplication

$$(a \otimes b).(a' \otimes b') := (aa') \otimes (bb'), \quad (a, a', b, b' \in A).$$

Note that  $A \otimes A$  is again a unital algebra, with unit  $1 \otimes 1$ . The *flip map* for  $A \otimes A$  is defined by

$$\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad \tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a.$$

If  $m$  is the multiplication of  $A$ , then the multiplication of  $A \otimes A$  is given by the map  $(m \otimes m) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id})$ .

We will denote by  $\mathbf{C} \langle x_i, \dots, x_m \rangle$ , the free unital associative noncommutative algebra generated by the symbols  $x_i$ , and denote its multiplication by  $m$ . For any two-sided ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbf{C} \langle x_i, \dots, x_m \rangle$ , one can define a unique algebra (or anti-algebra) map

$$f : \mathbf{C} \langle x_i, \dots, x_m \rangle / I \rightarrow B$$

for some algebra  $B$ , by specifying the action of  $f$  on each  $x_i$ , and then verifying that the map vanishes on  $I$ . In what follows we will make free use of this fact.

## 1.2 $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as a Bialgebra

Let us recall that

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \mathbf{C} \langle E, F, H \rangle / I,$$

where  $I$  is the two-sided ideal of  $\mathbf{C} \langle E, F, H \rangle$  generated by the elements

$$[E, F] - H, \quad [H, E] - 2E, \quad [H, F] + 2F.$$

**Lemma 1.1** *There exist algebra maps*

$$\Delta : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2); \quad \varepsilon : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

*uniquely defined by*

$$\Delta(Z) = 1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1, \quad \varepsilon(Z) = 0, \quad (\text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

*Moreover, it holds that*

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta, \quad (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id}. \quad (1)$$

**Proof.** We first need to confirm that the map  $\Delta$  is well-defined, by showing that it vanishes on  $I$ . For the element  $[E, F]$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(EF) &= (1 \otimes E + E \otimes 1)(1 \otimes F + F \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes EF + F \otimes E + E \otimes F + EF \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly that

$$\Delta(FE) = 1 \otimes FE + E \otimes F + F \otimes E + FE \otimes 1.$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta([E, F] - H) &= \Delta(EF) - \Delta(FE) - \Delta(H) \\ &= 1 \otimes (EF - FE) + (EF - FE) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes H + H \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes [E, F] + [E, F] \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes H + H \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes H + H \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes H + H \otimes 1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it is easy to show that  $\Delta$  vanishes on the elements  $[H, E] - 2E$ , and  $[H, F] + 2F$ . Hence,  $\Delta$  vanishes on  $I$  and extends to a well-defined map on  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . (Note that since  $\varepsilon$  obviously vanishes on  $I$ , we do not need to worry about proving that it is well-defined.)

Let us next consider the identity  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ . For any  $Z \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , we have equality between

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(Z) &= (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes Z + 1 \otimes Z \otimes 1 + Z \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(Z) &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes Z + 1 \otimes Z \otimes 1 + Z \otimes 1 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since it is obvious that we also have equality for 1, we can see that the identity holds for all generators. The fact that  $\Delta$  is an algebra map now implies that the identity holds for all elements of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

Finally, we come to the identity  $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id}$ : For any  $Z \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , we have equality between

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(Z) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= \varepsilon(1)Z + \varepsilon(Z)1 = Z, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(Z) &= (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= \varepsilon(Z)1 + \varepsilon(1)Z = Z. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since it is obvious that we also have equality for 1, we have that the identity holds for all generators, and hence for all elements of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise:** For any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , show that the algebra maps

$$\Delta : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2); \quad \varepsilon : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

uniquely defined by

$$\Delta(Z) = 1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1, \quad \varepsilon(Z) = 0, \quad (\text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{g}),$$

satisfy (1).

### 1.3 Coalgebras and Bialgebras

We shall now see that this structure is not an isolated example:

**Definition 1.2.** A *coalgebra* is a triple  $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ , where  $C$  is a vector space, and

$$\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C; \quad \varepsilon : C \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

are linear maps (called the *coproduct* and *counit* respectively), satisfying the following axioms:

1.  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ , (*coassociativity axiom*);
2.  $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id}$ , (*counit axiom*).

These two axioms are sometimes presented in the form of the commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & V \otimes V \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \Delta \\ V \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \text{id}} & V \otimes V \otimes V \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & V \otimes V \\ \Delta \downarrow & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \\ V \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} & V \end{array}$$

For  $(D, \Delta', \varepsilon')$  a coalgebra, a *coalgebra morphism*  $f : C \rightarrow D$  is a linear map for which

$$\Delta' \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon' \circ f.$$

As is evident,  $(U(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra. In what follows, we will, by abuse of notation, usually denote the triple of a coalgebra  $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  by  $C$ .

**Exercise** Let  $C$  be a coalgebra, and  $c$  an element of  $C$ . Show that there exist presentations of  $\Delta(c)$  of the form  $1 \otimes c + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes b_i$ , and of the form  $c \otimes 1 + \sum a'_i \otimes b'_i$ , where  $a_i, b'_i \in \ker(\varepsilon)$ .

We finish this section with the definition of a bialgebra. (Again,  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is our motivating example here.)

**Definition 1.3.** A coalgebra  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is called a *bialgebra* if  $A$  is an algebra, and  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are algebra maps with respect to the algebra tensor product  $A \otimes A$ .

A morphism between two bialgebras is simultaneously a coalgebra morphism and an algebra morphism.

## 1.4 $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as a Hopf algebra

In addition to the maps  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  presented above, we have an equally important third map:

**Lemma 1.4** *There exists an anti-algebra map  $S : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , uniquely defined by*

$$S(Z) = -Z, \quad (\text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \varepsilon 1. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** We begin by showing that  $S$  is well-defined. For  $[E, F] - H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S([E, F] - H) &= S(F)S(E) - S(E)S(F) - S(H) \\ &= FE - EF + H = -[E, F] + H = 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can similarly show that  $S$  vanishes on  $[H, E] + 2E$ , and  $[H, F] - 2F$ . Hence,  $S$  vanishes on  $I$ , and as a result, has a well-defined anti-algebra extension to  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . Moving on to the identity in (2), we see that since it clearly holds for 1, we shall only need to verify it on a general element of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ : this amounts to the calculations

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(Z) &= m \circ (S \otimes \text{id})(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= Z - Z = 0 = \varepsilon(Z), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta(Z) &= m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S)(1 \otimes Z + Z \otimes 1) \\ &= -Z + Z = 0 = \varepsilon(Z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Just as the properties of  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  led us to the definition of a coalgebra and a bialgebra, the properties of  $S$  lead us to the definition of a new algebraic object:

**Definition 1.5.** A *Hopf algebra* is a quadruple  $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ , where  $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a bialgebra, and  $S$  is a linear map (called the *antipode*) for which

$$m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \varepsilon 1.$$

For  $H, G$  two Hopf algebras with antipodes  $S$  and  $S'$  respectively, a *Hopf algebra morphism* between  $H$  and  $G$  is a bialgebra map  $f : H \rightarrow G$ , such that  $f \circ S = S' \circ f$ .

**Exercise** Write the anti-pode axiom in the form of a commutative diagram.

**Exercise:** Show that, just as for the bialgebra construction, the definition of  $S$  generalises to give a Hopf algebra structure on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , for any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We finish this section with a lemma, which gives some of basic properties of the antipode. (For a proof, see [1, 2], or alternatively attempt it as a more challenging exercise.)

**Lemma 1.6** *For any Hopf algebra  $H$ , with antipode  $S$ , then it holds that:*

1.  $S$  is the unique map on  $H$  satisfying the antipode axiom;
2.  $S$  is an anti-algebra map;
3.  $S(1) = 1$ ;
4.  $\Delta \circ S = (S \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta$ .

## 1.5 Sweedler Notation

We will now introduce a special type of notation for dealing with Hopf algebras that proves very useful in practice. For a coalgebra  $C$ , and an element  $c \in C$ , one very often needs to consider presentations

$$\Delta(c) = \sum_{i=1}^m c'_i \otimes c''_i.$$

Dealing with summations and indices on a regular basis tends to be quite tiresome, so one adopts the shorthand

$$\Delta(c) = \sum_{i=1}^m c'_i \otimes c''_i =: c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}.$$

This is known as *Sweedler notation*.

Let us now consider the coassociativity axiom in terms of Sweedler notation. For  $c \in C$ , we have by definition that

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(c) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(c).$$

Using Sweedler notation, this is equivalent to

$$\Delta(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)} = c_{(1)} \otimes \Delta(c_{(2)}),$$

which is in turn equivalent to

$$(c_{(1)})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(1)})_{(2)} \otimes c_{(2)} = c_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(2)})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(2)})_{(2)}.$$

This allows to extend Sweedler notation by denoting

$$c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)} := (c_{(1)})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(1)})_{(2)} \otimes c_{(2)} = c_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(2)})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(2)})_{(2)}.$$

In fact, as is easy to see, one can iterate coassociativity and attach a unique meaning to

$$c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{(k-1)} \otimes c_{(k)}, \quad (\text{for any } k \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let us now look at the counit axiom in terms of Sweedler notation. By definition we have

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(c) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(c).$$

In Sweedler notation this is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} = \varepsilon(c_{(2)})c_{(1)}.$$

Similarly, the antipode axiom is given in Sweedler notation by

$$S(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} = c_{(1)}S(c_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(c)1.$$

## References

- [1] A. KLIMYK, K. SCHMÜDGEN, *Quantum Groups and their Representations*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg–New York, 1997
- [2] C. KASSEL, *Quantum Groups*, Springer–Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, 1995