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Today:

- Some decision problems involving finite algebras
- How hard are they?


## Encoding finite algebras: size matters

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finite algebra (always in a finite signature).
How do we encode $\mathbf{A}$ for computations? And what is its size?

## Encoding finite algebras: size matters

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finite algebra (always in a finite signature).
How do we encode $\mathbf{A}$ for computations? And what is its size?
Assume $A=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

## Encoding finite algebras: size matters

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finite algebra (always in a finite signature).
How do we encode $\mathbf{A}$ for computations? And what is its size?
Assume $A=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$.
For each fundamental operation $f$ : If $\operatorname{arity}(f)=r$, then $f$ is given by its table, having ...

- $n^{r}$ entries;
- each entry requires $\log n$ bits.

The tables (as bit-streams) must be separated from each other by \#'s.

## Encoding finite algebras: size matters

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finite algebra (always in a finite signature).
How do we encode $\mathbf{A}$ for computations? And what is its size?
Assume $A=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$.
For each fundamental operation $f$ : If $\operatorname{arity}(f)=r$, then $f$ is given by its table, having ...
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$$
\|\mathbf{A}\|=\sum_{\text {fund } f}\left(n^{\operatorname{arity}(f)} \log n+1\right) .
$$

Define some parameters:
$R=$ maximum arity of the fundamental operations (assume $>0$ )
$T=$ number of fundamental operations (assume $>0$ ).
Then

$$
n^{R} \log n \leq\|\mathbf{A}\| \leq T \cdot n^{R} \log n+T
$$

In particular, if we restrict our attention to algebras with some fixed number $T$ of operations, then

$$
\|\mathbf{A}\| \sim n^{R} \log n
$$

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence distributive? Congruence modular?

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence distributive? Congruence modular?

INPUT: two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$.
(4) Is $A \cong B$ ?
(3) Is $A \in V(B)$

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence distributive? Congruence modular?

INPUT: two finite algebras $A, B$.
(9) Is $A \cong B$ ?
(3) Is $A \in V(B)$

INPUT: A finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ and two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$.
(6) Does $s=t$ have a solution in $\mathbf{A}$ ?
(1) Is $s \approx t$ an identity of $\mathbf{A}$ ?

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence distributive? Congruence modular?

INPUT: two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$.
(4) Is $A \cong B$ ?
(3) Is $A \in V(B)$

INPUT: A finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ and two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$.
(6) Does $s=t$ have a solution in $\mathbf{A}$ ?
(1) Is $s \approx t$ an identity of $\mathbf{A}$ ?

INPUT: an operation $f$ on a finite set.
(8) Does $f$ generate a minimal clone?

## Some decision problems involving algebras

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
(1) Is A simple? Subdirectly irreducible? Directly indecomposable?
(2) Is A primal? Quasi-primal? Maltsev?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence distributive? Congruence modular?

INPUT: two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$.
(4) Is $A \cong B$ ?
(3) Is $A \in V(B)$

INPUT: A finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ and two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$.
(6) Does $s=t$ have a solution in $\mathbf{A}$ ?
(1) Is $s \approx t$ an identity of $\mathbf{A}$ ?

INPUT: an operation $f$ on a finite set.
(8) Does $f$ generate a minimal clone?

How hard are these problems?

## Categories of answers

Suppose $D$ is some decision problem involving finite algebras.

## Categories of answers

Suppose $D$ is some decision problem involving finite algebras.
(1) Is there an "obvious" algorithm for $D$ ? What is its complexity?

- If an obvious algorithm obviously has complexity $Y$, then we call $Y$ an obvious upper bound for the complexity of $D$.


## Categories of answers

Suppose $D$ is some decision problem involving finite algebras.
(1) Is there an "obvious" algorithm for $D$ ? What is its complexity?

- If an obvious algorithm obviously has complexity $Y$, then we call $Y$ an obvious upper bound for the complexity of $D$.
(2) Do we know a clever (nonobvious) algorithm? Does it give a lesser complexity (relative to the spectrum $L<N L<P<N P$ etc.)?
- If so, call this a nonobvious upper bound.


## Categories of answers

Suppose $D$ is some decision problem involving finite algebras.
(1) Is there an "obvious" algorithm for $D$ ? What is its complexity?

- If an obvious algorithm obviously has complexity $Y$, then we call $Y$ an obvious upper bound for the complexity of $D$.
(2) Do we know a clever (nonobvious) algorithm? Does it give a lesser complexity (relative to the spectrum $L<N L<P<N P$ etc.)?
- If so, call this a nonobvious upper bound.
(3) Can we find a clever reduction of some $X$-complete problem to $D$ ?
- If so, this gives $X$ as a lower bound to the complexity of $D$.


## Categories of answers

Suppose $D$ is some decision problem involving finite algebras.
(1) Is there an "obvious" algorithm for $D$ ? What is its complexity?

- If an obvious algorithm obviously has complexity $Y$, then we call $Y$ an obvious upper bound for the complexity of $D$.
(2) Do we know a clever (nonobvious) algorithm? Does it give a lesser complexity (relative to the spectrum $L<N L<P<N P$ etc.)?
- If so, call this a nonobvious upper bound.
(3) Can we find a clever reduction of some $X$-complete problem to $D$ ?
- If so, this gives $X$ as a lower bound to the complexity of $D$.

Ideally, we want to find an $X \in\{L, N L, P, N P, \ldots\}$ which is both an upper and a lower bound to the complexity of $D \ldots$

- ... i.e., such that $D$ is $X$-complete.


## An easy problem: Subalgebra Membership (SUB-MEM)

## Subalgebra Membership Problem (SUB-MEM)

## INPUT:

- An algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
- A set $S \subseteq A$.
- An element $b \in A$.

QUESTION: Is $b \in \operatorname{Sg}^{\mathbf{A}}(S)$ ?

How hard is SUB-MEM?

## An obvious upper bound for SUB-MEM
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For each operation $f$ (of arity $r$ )
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& c:=f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\
& S_{i}:=S_{i} \cup\{c\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next $i$.
OUTPUT: whether $b \in S_{n}$.
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Algorithm:
INPUT: A, $S, b$.
$S_{0}:=S$
For $i=1, \ldots, n(:=|A|)$
$S_{i}:=S_{i-1}$
For each operation $f$ (of arity $r$ )
For each $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \in\left(S_{i-1}\right)^{r}$ $c:=f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ $S_{i}:=S_{i} \cup\{c\}$.
Next $i$.
OUTPUT: whether $b \in S_{n}$.
$n$ loops
$T$ operations
$\leq n^{r}$ instances

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Heuristics: } \\
n\left(\sum_{f} n^{\text {ar }(f)}\right) \leq \\
n\|\mathbf{A}\| \text { steps }
\end{gathered}
$$
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## $S U B-M E M \in P$.

Next questions:

- Can we obtain $P$ as a lower bound for SUB-MEM?
- What was that $P$-complete problem again?... (CVAL or HORN-3SAT)
- Can we show HORN-3SAT $\leq_{L} S U B-M E M$ ?


## Theorem (N. Jones \& W. Laaser, '77)

Yes.
In other words, SUB-MEM is $P$-complete.
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\text { A simple } \Leftrightarrow \forall a, b, c, d\left[c \neq d \rightarrow(a, b) \in \operatorname{Cg}^{\mathbf{A}}(c, d)\right] \text {. }
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(6) Given $\mathbf{A}$, determine whether $\mathbf{A}$ is abelian.
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Hence the running time is bounded by the exponential of a polynomial in the size of the input $(\mathbf{A}, g)$. I.e., $C L O \in E X P T I M E$.

By reducing a known EXPTIME-complete problem to CLO, Friedman and Bergman et al showed:

## Theorem

CLO is EXPTIME-complete.
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## The Primal Algebra Problem (PRIMAL)

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
QUESTION: Is A primal?

The obvious algorithm is actually a reduction to CLO.
For a finite set $A$, let $g_{A}$ be your favorite binary Sheffer operation on $A$.
Define $f: P R I M A L_{i n p} \rightarrow C L O_{i n p}$ by

$$
f: \mathbf{A} \mapsto\left(\mathbf{A}, g_{A}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\mathbf{A} \text { is primal } \Leftrightarrow g_{A} \in \operatorname{Clo} \mathbf{A},
$$

we have $P R I M A L \leq_{f} C L O$. Clearly $f$ is $P$-computable, so

$$
P R I M A L \leq_{P} C L O
$$

which gives the obvious upper bound

$$
P R I M A L \in E X P T I M E .
$$

## PRIMAL

But testing primality of algebras is special. Maybe there is a better, "nonobvious" algorithm?
(E.g., using Rosenberg's classification?)

## PRIMAL

But testing primality of algebras is special. Maybe there is a better, "nonobvious" algorithm?
(E.g., using Rosenberg's classification?)

## Open Problem 1.

Determine the complexity of PRIMAL.

- Is it in PSPACE? ( = NPSPACE)
- Is it EXPTIME-complete? $\left(\Leftrightarrow C L O \leq_{P} P R I M A L\right)$


## MALTSEV

INPUT: a finite algebra A.
QUESTION: Does A have a Maltsev term?

The obvious upper bound is NEXPTIME, since MALTSEV is a projection of

$$
\{(\mathbf{A}, p): \underbrace{p \in \operatorname{Clo} \mathbf{A}}_{\text {EXPTIME }} \text { and } \underbrace{p \text { is a Maltsev operation }}_{P}\}
$$

a problem in EXPTIME.

## MALTSEV

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
QUESTION: Does A have a Maltsev term?

The obvious upper bound is NEXPTIME, since MALTSEV is a projection of

$$
\{(\mathbf{A}, p): \underbrace{p \in \operatorname{Clo} \mathbf{A}}_{\text {EXPTIME }} \text { and } \underbrace{p \text { is a Maltsev operation }}_{P}\}
$$

a problem in EXPTIME.
But a slightly less obvious algorithm puts MALTSEV in EXPTIME. Use the fact that if $x, y$ name the two projections $A^{2} \rightarrow A$, then $\mathbf{A}$ has a Maltsev term iff

$$
(y, x) \in \operatorname{Sg}^{\mathbf{A}^{\left(A^{2}\right)}}((x, x),(x, y),(y, y))
$$

(which is decidable in EXPTIME).

Similar characterizations give EXPTIME as an upper bound to the following:

## Some problems in EXPTIME

## Given A:

(1) Does $\mathbf{A}$ have a majority term?
(2) Does A have a semilattice term?
(3) Does $\mathbf{A}$ have Jónsson terms?
(4) Does $\mathbf{A}$ have Gumm terms?
(0) Does A have terms equivalent to $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ being congruence meet-semidistributive?
(0) Etc. etc.

Are these problems easier than EXPTIME, or EXPTIME-complete?

## Freese \& Valeriote's theorem

For some of these problems we have an answer:
Theorem (R. Freese, M. Valeriote, '0?)
The following problems are all EXPTIME-complete: Given A,
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## Freese \& Valeriote's theorem

For some of these problems we have an answer:

## Theorem (R. Freese, M. Valeriote, '0?)

The following problems are all EXPTIME-complete: Given A,
(1) Does A have Jónsson terms?
(2) Does A have Gumm terms?
(3) Is $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})$ congruence meet-semidistributive?
(4) Does $\mathbf{A}$ have a semilattice term?
(5) Does A have any nontrivial idempotent term?

- idempotent means "satisfies $f(x, x, \ldots, x) \approx x$."
- nontrivial means "other than x."


## Freese \& Valeriote's theorem

## Proof.

Freese and Valeriote give a construction which, given an input $\Gamma=(\mathbf{A}, g)$ to $C L O$, produces an algebra $B_{\Gamma}$ such that:

- $g \in \operatorname{Clo} \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow$ there is a flat semilattice order on $B_{\Gamma}$ such that $(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z)$ is a term operation of $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}$.
- $g \notin \operatorname{Clo} A \Rightarrow B_{\Gamma}$ has no nontrivial idempotent term operations.
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## Proof.

Freese and Valeriote give a construction which, given an input $\Gamma=(\mathbf{A}, g)$ to $C L O$, produces an algebra $B_{\Gamma}$ such that:

- $g \in \operatorname{Clo} \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow$ there is a flat semilattice order on $B_{\Gamma}$ such that $(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z)$ is a term operation of $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}$.
- $g \notin \operatorname{Clo} A \Rightarrow B_{\Gamma}$ has no nontrivial idempotent term operations.

Moreover, the function $f: \Gamma \mapsto \mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}$ is easily computed (in P ).
Hence $f$ is simultaneously a $P$-reduction of $C L O$ to all the problems in the statement of the theorem.

## Open Problem 2.

Are the following easier than EXPTIME, or EXPTIME-complete?

- Determining if $\mathbf{A}$ has a majority operation.
- Determining if A has a Maltsev operation (MALTSEV).
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## Open Problem 2.

Are the following easier than EXPTIME, or EXPTIME-complete?

- Determining if $\mathbf{A}$ has a majority operation.
- Determining if $\mathbf{A}$ has a Maltsev operation (MALTSEV).

If MALTSEV is easier than EXPTIME, then so is PRIMAL, since

## Theorem

A is primal iff:

- A has no proper subalgebras,
- A is simple,
- A is rigid,
- $\mathbf{A}$ is not abelian, and
- A is Maltsev.

Surprisingly, the previous problems become significantly easier when restricted to idempotent algebras.

## Theorem (Freese \& Valeriote, '0?)

The following problems for idempotent algebras are in P :
(1) A has a majority term.
(2) A has Jónsson terms.
(3) A has Gumm terms.
(4) $V(\mathrm{~A})$ is congruence meet-semidistributive.
(5) $\mathbf{A}$ is Maltsev.
(6) $V(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence $k$-permutable for some $k$.

Surprisingly, the previous problems become significantly easier when restricted to idempotent algebras.

## Theorem (Freese \& Valeriote, '0?)

The following problems for idempotent algebras are in $\mathbf{P}$ :
(1) A has a majority term.
(2) A has Jónsson terms.
(3) A has Gumm terms.
(4) $V(\mathrm{~A})$ is congruence meet-semidistributive.
(5) $\mathbf{A}$ is Maltsev.
(6) $V(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence $k$-permutable for some $k$.

## Proof.

Fiendishly nonobvious algorithms using tame congruence theory.

## Variety Membership Problem (VAR-MEM)

INPUT: two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ in the same signature.

## QUESTION: Is $A \in V(B)$ ?

The obvious algorithm (J. Kalicki, '52): determine whether the identity map on $A$ extends to a homomorphism $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V ( B )}}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$.
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## Variety Membership Problem (VAR-MEM)

INPUT: two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ in the same signature.
QUESTION: Is $A \in V(B)$ ?
The obvious algorithm (J. Kalicki, '52): determine whether the identity map on $A$ extends to a homomorphism $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V ( B )}}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$.

## Theorem (C. Bergman \& G. Slutzki, '00)

The obvious algorithm puts VAR-MEM in 2-EXPTIME.

$$
2-E X P T I M E \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{TIME}\left(2^{\left(2^{O\left(N^{k}\right)}\right)}\right)
$$

$\cdots N E X P T I M E \subseteq E X P S P A C E \subseteq 2-E X P T I M E \subseteq N(2-E X P T I M E) \cdots$

What is the "real" complexity of VAR-MEM?

```
Theorem (Z. Székely, thesis '00)
\(V A R-M E M\) is \(N P\)-hard (i.e., \(3 S A T \leq_{P} V A R-M E M\) ).
```

Theorem (M. Kozik, thesis '04)
VAR-MEM is EXPSPACE-hard.

What is the "real" complexity of VAR-MEM?

## Theorem (Z. Székely, thesis '00)

VAR-MEM is NP-hard (i.e., $3 S A T \leq_{P}$ VAR-MEM).

## Theorem (M. Kozik, thesis '04)

VAR-MEM is EXPSPACE-hard.

## Theorem (M. Kozik, '0?)

VAR-MEM is 2-EXPTIME-hard and therefore 2-EXPTIME-complete. Moreover, there exists a specific finite algebra $\mathbf{B}$ such that the subproblem:

INPUT: a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ in the same signature as $\mathbf{B}$.
QUESTION: Is $\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B})$
is 2-EXPTIME-complete.

## The Equivalence of Terms problem (EQUIV-TERM)

INPUT:

- A finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
- Two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$ in the signature of $\mathbf{A}$. QUESTION: Is $s(\vec{x}) \approx t(\vec{x})$ identically true in $\mathbf{A}$ ?

It is convenient to name the negation of this problem:

```
The Inequivalence of Terms problem (INEQUIV-TERM)
INPUT: (same)
QUESTION: Does \(s(\vec{x}) \neq t(\vec{x})\) have a solution in A?
```

How hard are these problems?

Obviously INEQUIV-TERM is in NP. (Any solution $\vec{x}$ to $s(\vec{x}) \neq t(\vec{x})$ serves as a certificate.)
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On the other hand, and equally obviously, $S A T \leq_{P} I N E Q U I V-T E R M$. (Map $\varphi \mapsto\left(2_{B A}, \varphi, 0\right)$.)
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Hence INEQUIV-TERM is obviously NP-complete.
EQUIV-TERM, being its negation, is said to be co-NP-complete.

Obviously INEQUIV-TERM is in NP. (Any solution $\vec{x}$ to $s(\vec{x}) \neq t(\vec{x})$ serves as a certificate.)

On the other hand, and equally obviously, $S A T \leq_{p} I N E Q U I V-T E R M$. (Map $\varphi \mapsto\left(2_{B A}, \varphi, 0\right)$.)

Hence INEQUIV-TERM is obviously NP-complete.
EQUIV-TERM, being its negation, is said to be co-NP-complete.

## Definition

- Co- $N P$ is the class of problems $D$ whose negation $\neg D$ is in $N P$.
- A problem $D$ is co- $N P$-complete if its negation $\neg D$ is $N P$-complete, or equivalently, if $D$ is in the top $\equiv p$-class of co- $N P$.

Done. End of story. Boring.

## But WAIT!!!! There's more!!!!

For each fixed finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ we can pose the subproblem for $\mathbf{A}$ :

## EQUIV-TERM(A)

INPUT: two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$ in the signature of $\mathbf{A}$. QUESTION: (same).
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## But WAIT!!!! There's more!!!!

For each fixed finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$ we can pose the subproblem for $\mathbf{A}$ :

## EQUIV-TERM(A)

INPUT: two terms $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$ in the signature of $\mathbf{A}$.
QUESTION: (same).

The following are obviously obvious:

- EQUIV-TERM(A) is in co-NP for any algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
- EQUIV-TERM $\left(\mathbf{2}_{B A}\right)$ is co-NP-complete. (Hint: $\varphi \mapsto(\varphi, 0)$.)
- EQUIV-TERM(A) is in $P$ when $\mathbf{A}$ is nice, say, a vector space or a set.

Problem: for which finite algebras $\mathbf{A}$ is $E Q U I V-\operatorname{TERM}(\mathbf{A})$ NP-complete? For which $\mathbf{A}$ is it in $P$ ?

There are a huge number of publications in this area. Here is a sample:
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Let R be a finite ring.

- If R is nilpotent, then EQUIV-TERM $(\mathrm{R})$ is in $P$.
- Otherwise, EQUIV-TERM $(\mathrm{R})$ is co-NP-complete.
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Let R be a finite ring.

- If R is nilpotent, then EQUIV-TERM $(\mathrm{R})$ is in $P$.
- Otherwise, EQUIV-TERM(R) is co-NP-complete.

Theorem (Burris \& Lawrence, '04; G. Horváth \& C. Szabó, '06; Horváth, Lawrence, L. Mérai \& Szabó, '07)
Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a finite group.

- If G is nonsolvable, then $E Q U I V-T E R M(\mathbf{G})$ is co-NP-complete.
- If $\mathbf{G}$ is nilpotent, or of the form $\mathbf{Z}_{m_{1}} \rtimes\left(\mathbf{Z}_{m_{2}} \rtimes \cdots\left(\mathbf{Z}_{m_{k}} \rtimes \mathbf{A}\right) \cdots\right)$ with each $m_{i}$ square-free and $\mathbf{A}$ abelian, then EQUIV-TERM $(\mathbf{G})$ is in $P$.
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Let R be a finite ring.

- If R is nilpotent, then EQUIV-TERM( R$)$ is in $P$.
- Otherwise, EQUIV-TERM(R) is co-NP-complete.


## Theorem (Burris \& Lawrence, '04; G. Horváth \& C. Szabó, '06; Horváth, Lawrence, L. Mérai \& Szabó, '07)

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a finite group.

- If G is nonsolvable, then EQUIV-TERM( $\mathbf{G})$ is co-NP-complete.
- If $\mathbf{G}$ is nilpotent, or of the form $\mathbf{Z}_{m_{1}} \rtimes\left(\mathbf{Z}_{m_{2}} \rtimes \cdots\left(\mathbf{Z}_{m_{k}} \rtimes \mathbf{A}\right) \cdots\right)$ with each $m_{i}$ square-free and $\mathbf{A}$ abelian, then EQUIV-TERM $(\mathbf{G})$ is in $P$.

And many partial results for semigroups due to e.g. Kisielewicz, Klíma, Pleshcheva, Popov, Seif, Szabó, Tesson, Therien, Vértesi, and Volkov.

## An outrageous scandal

## An outrageous scandal

## Theorem (G. Horváth \& C. Szabó)

Consider the group $\mathbf{A}_{4}$.

- EQUIV-TERM $\left(\mathbf{A}_{4}\right)$ is in P.
- Yet there is an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ with the same clone as $\mathbf{A}_{4}$ such that $E Q U I V-T E R M(\mathbf{A})$ is co-NP-complete.

This is either wonderful or scandalous.

In my opinion, this is evidence that EQUIV-TERM is the wrong problem.

## Definition

A circuit (in a given signature for algebras) is an object, similar to a term, except that repeated subterms need be written only once.

Example: Let $t=((x+y)+(x+y))+((x+y)+(x+y))$.

A circuit for $t$ :


Straight-line program:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1} & =x+y \\
v_{2} & =v_{1}+v_{1} \\
t & =v_{2}+v_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that circuits may be significantly shorter than the terms they represent.

## Equivalence of Terms Problem (correct version)

Fix a finite algebra $\mathbf{A}$.
The Equivalence of Circuits problem (EQUIV-CIRC(A))
INPUT: two circuits $s(\vec{x}), t(\vec{x})$ in the signature of $\mathbf{A}$.
QUESTION: is $s(\vec{x}) \approx t(\vec{x})$ identically true in $\mathbf{A}$ ?
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QUESTION: is $s(\vec{x}) \approx t(\vec{x})$ identically true in $\mathbf{A}$ ?

This is the correct problem.

- The input is presented "honestly" (computationally).
- It is invariant for algebras with the same clone.
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## Open Problem 3.

For which finite algebras $\mathbf{A}$ is $E Q U I V-C I R C(\mathbf{A}) N P$-complete? For which A is it in P?
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## Two problems for relational structures

## Relational Clone Membership (RCLO)

## INPUT:

- A finite relational structure M .
- A finitary relation $R \subseteq M^{k}$.

QUESTION: Is $R \in \operatorname{Inv} \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{M})$ ?

A slightly nonobvious characterization gives NEXPTIME as an upper bound. For a lower bound, we have:

Theorem (W, '0?)
RCLO is EXPTIME-hard.
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## Relational Clone Membership ( RCLO )

## INPUT:

- A finite relational structure M .
- A finitary relation $R \subseteq M^{k}$.

QUESTION: Is $R \in \operatorname{Inv} \operatorname{Pol}(\mathrm{M})$ ?

A slightly nonobvious characterization gives NEXPTIME as an upper bound. For a lower bound, we have:

## Theorem (W, '0?)

RCLO is EXPTIME-hard.

## Open Problem 4.

Is RCLO in EXPTIME? Is it NEXPTIME-complete?

Fix a finite relational structure B.
Consider the following problem associated to $\mathbf{B}$ :
A problem
INPUT: a finite structure $\mathbf{A}$ in the same signature as $\mathbf{B}$.
QUESTION: Is there a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ ?

This problem is called $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B})$.

Fix a finite relational structure B.
Consider the following problem associated to B :
A problem
INPUT: a finite structure $\mathbf{A}$ in the same signature as $\mathbf{B}$.
QUESTION: Is there a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ ?

This problem is called $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B})$.
Obviously $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B}) \in N P$ for any B.
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INPUT: a finite structure $\mathbf{A}$ in the same signature as $\mathbf{B}$.
QUESTION: Is there a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ ?

This problem is called $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B})$.
Obviously $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B}) \in N P$ for any B .
If $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ is the triangle graph, then $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)=3 C O L$, so is $N P$-complete in this case. If $\mathbf{G}$ is a bipartite graph, then then $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathbf{G}) \in P$.

Fix a finite relational structure B.
Consider the following problem associated to B :

## A problem

INPUT: a finite structure $\mathbf{A}$ in the same signature as $\mathbf{B}$.
QUESTION: Is there a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ ?

This problem is called $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B})$.
Obviously $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B}) \in N P$ for any B .
If $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ is the triangle graph, then $\operatorname{CSP}\left(\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)=3 C O L$, so is $N P$-complete in this case. If $\mathbf{G}$ is a bipartite graph, then then $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathbf{G}) \in P$.

## CSP Classification Problem

For which finite relational structures B is $\operatorname{CSP}(\mathrm{B})$ in $P$ ? For which is it $N P$-complete?

