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## Boolean algebras

## A Boolean algebra is a structure $\mathbf{A}=(A, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0,1)$ such

 that (we define $\neg a=a \rightarrow 0$ ) $[a \rightarrow b=\neg a \vee b=\neg(a \wedge \neg b)]$- $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0,1)$ is a bounded lattice,
- for all $a, b, c \in A$,

$$
a \wedge b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \rightarrow c \text { (^-residuation) }
$$

■ for all $a \in A, \neg \neg a=a$ (alt. $a \vee \neg a=1$ ).
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- $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0,1)$ is a bounded lattice,
- for all $a, b, c \in A$,

$$
a \wedge b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \rightarrow c \text { (^-residuation) }
$$

■ for all $a \in A, \neg \neg a=a$ (alt. $a \vee \neg a=1$ ).
Exercise. Distributivity (of $\wedge$ over $\vee$ ) and complementation follow from the above conditions. Also, $\wedge$-residuation can be written equationally.
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## Algebras of relations

Let $X$ be a set and $\operatorname{Rel}(X)=\mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ be the set of all binary relations on $X$.
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## Algebras of relations

Let $X$ be a set and $\operatorname{Rel}(X)=\mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ be the set of all binary relations on $X$.

For relations $R$, and $S$, we denote by
■ $R^{-}$the complement and by $R^{\cup}$ the converse of $R$

- 1 is the equality/diagonal relation on $X$
- $R$; $S$ the relational composition of $R$ and $S$
- $R \backslash S=\left(R ; S^{-}\right)^{-}$and $S / R=\left(S^{-} ; R\right)^{-}$

■ $R \rightarrow S=\left(R \cap S^{-}\right)^{-}=R^{-} \cup S$
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## Algebras of relations

Let $X$ be a set and $\operatorname{Rel}(X)=\mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ be the set of all binary relations on $X$.

For relations $R$, and $S$, we denote by

- $R^{-}$the complement and by $R^{\cup}$ the converse of $R$

■ 1 is the equality/diagonal relation on $X$

- $R$; $S$ the relational composition of $R$ and $S$
- $R \backslash S=\left(R ; S^{-}\right)^{-}$and $S / R=\left(S^{-} ; R\right)^{-}$

■ $R \rightarrow S=\left(R \cap S^{-}\right)^{-}=R^{-} \cup S$

## We have

- $\left(\operatorname{Rel}(X), \cap, \cup, \rightarrow, \emptyset, X^{2}\right)$ is a Boolean algebra
- $(\operatorname{Rel}(X), ;, 1)$ is a monoid

■ for all $R, S, T \in \operatorname{Rel}(X)$,

$$
R ; S \subseteq T \Leftrightarrow S \subseteq R \backslash T \Leftrightarrow R \subseteq T / S
$$
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## Relation algebras

## A Relation algebra is a structure

$\mathbf{A}=\left(A, \wedge, \vee, ;, \backslash, /, 0,1,\left(\_\right)^{-}\right)$such that $\left(0=1^{-}\right)$

- $\left(A, \wedge, \vee, \perp, \top,()^{-}\right)$is a Boolean algebra (we define $\perp=1 \wedge 1^{-}$and $\top=1 \vee 1^{-}$),
- $(A, ;, 1)$ is a monoid
- for all $a, b, c \in A$,

$$
a ; b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \backslash c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c / b \text { (residuation) }
$$

■ for all $a \in A, \neg \neg a=a$ (we define $\neg a=a \backslash 0=0 / a$ )
■ $\neg\left(a^{-}\right)=(\neg a)^{-}$and $\neg(\neg x ; \neg y)=\left(x^{-} ; y^{-}\right)^{-}$.
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## ८-groups

A lattice-ordered group is a lattice with a compatible group structure. Alternatively, a lattice-ordered group is an algebra $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ such that

- $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(L, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid
- for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$
a b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \backslash c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c / b
$$

■ for all $a \in L, a \cdot a^{-1}=1$ (we define $x^{-1}=x \backslash 1=1 / x$ ).
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## ८-groups

A lattice-ordered group is a lattice with a compatible group structure. Alternatively, a lattice-ordered group is an algebra $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ such that

- $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(L, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid
- for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$
a b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \backslash c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c / b .
$$

■ for all $a \in L, a \cdot a^{-1}=1$ (we define $x^{-1}=x \backslash 1=1 / x$ ).
Example. The set of real numbers under the usual order, addition and subtraction.

## Powerset of a monoid

Let $\mathbf{M}=(M, \cdot, e)$ be a monoid and $X, Y \subseteq M$.
We define $X \cdot Y=\{x \cdot y: x \in X, y \in Y\}$, $X \backslash Y=\{z \in M: X \cdot\{z\} \subseteq Y\}$, $Y / X=\{z \in M:\{z\} \cdot X \subseteq Y\}$.
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## Powerset of a monoid

Let $\mathbf{M}=(M, \cdot, e)$ be a monoid and $X, Y \subseteq M$.
We define $X \cdot Y=\{x \cdot y: x \in X, y \in Y\}$, $X \backslash Y=\{z \in M: X \cdot\{z\} \subseteq Y\}$,
$Y / X=\{z \in M:\{z\} \cdot X \subseteq Y\}$.
For the powerset $\mathcal{P}(M)$, we have

- $(\mathcal{P}(M), \cap, \cup)$ is a lattice
- $(\mathcal{P}(M), \cdot,\{e\})$ is a monoid

■ for all $X, Y, Z \subseteq M$,

$$
X \cdot Y \subseteq Z \Leftrightarrow Y \subseteq X \backslash Z \Leftrightarrow X \subseteq Z / Y
$$
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## Ideals of a ring

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring with unit and let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ be the set of all (two-sided) ideals of $\mathbf{R}$.
For $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$, we write $I J=\left\{\sum_{f i n} i j: i \in I, j \in J\right\}$
$I \backslash J=\{k: I k \subseteq J\}$,
$J / I=\{k: k I \subseteq J\}$.

## Title

Outline

RL examples
Boolean algebras
Algebras of relations
Relation algebras
$\ell$-groups
Powerset of a monoid
Ideals of a ring
Residuated lattices
Properties
Properties (proofs)
Lattice/monoid properties
Linguistics (verbs)
Linguistics (adverbs)

Congruences
Subvariety lattice (atoms)

Subvariety lattice (joins)

Logic

Representation - Frames

Applications of frames

## Ideals of a ring

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring with unit and let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ be the set of all (two-sided) ideals of $\mathbf{R}$.
For $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$, we write $I J=\left\{\sum_{f i n} i j: i \in I, j \in J\right\}$
$I \backslash J=\{k: I k \subseteq J\}$,
$J / I=\{k: k I \subseteq J\}$.
For the powerset $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$, we have

- ( $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}), \cap, \cup)$ is a lattice
- $(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}), \cdot, R)$ is a monoid
- for all ideals $I, J, K$ of $\mathbf{R}$,

$$
I \cdot J \subseteq K \Leftrightarrow J \subseteq I \backslash K \Leftrightarrow I \subseteq K / J
$$
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## Residuated lattices

A residuated lattice, or residuated lattice-ordered monoid, is an algebra $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ such that

- $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(L, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid and
- for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$
a b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \backslash c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c / b
$$

We have $a \backslash c=\max \{b: a b \leq c\}$.
$\qquad$
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A pointed residuated lattice an extension of a residuated lattice with a new constant 0 . ( $\sim x=x \backslash 0$ and $-x=0 / x$.)

## Residuated lattices

A residuated lattice, or residuated lattice-ordered monoid, is an algebra $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ such that

- $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(L, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid and
- for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$
a b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \backslash c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c / b
$$

We have $a \backslash c=\max \{b: a b \leq c\}$.
A pointed residuated lattice an extension of a residuated lattice with a new constant $0 .(\sim x=x \backslash 0$ and $-x=0 / x$.)

A (pointed) residuated lattice is called

- commutative, if $(L, \cdot, 1)$ is commutative $(x y=y x)$.
- distributive, if $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is distibutive
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- contractive, if it satisfies $x \leq x^{2}$

■ involutive, if it satisfies $\sim-x=x=-\sim x$.

## Properties

1. $x(y \vee z)=x y \vee x z$ and $(y \vee z) x=y x \vee z x$
2. $x \backslash(y \wedge z)=(x \backslash y) \wedge(x \backslash z)$ and $(y \wedge z) / x=(y / x) \wedge(z / x)$
3. $x /(y \vee z)=(x / y) \wedge(x / z)$ and $(y \vee z) \backslash x=(y \backslash x) \wedge(z \backslash x)$
4. $(x / y) y \leq x$ and $y(y \backslash x) \leq x$
5. $x(y / z) \leq(x y) / z$ and $(z \backslash y) x \leq z \backslash(y x)$
6. $(x / y) / z=x /(z y)$ and $z \backslash(y \backslash x)=(y z) \backslash x$
7. $x \backslash(y / z)=(x \backslash y) / z$;
8. $x / 1=x=1 \backslash x$
9. $1 \leq x / x$ and $1 \leq x \backslash x$
10. $x \leq y /(x \backslash y)$ and $x \leq(y / x) \backslash y$
11. $y /((y / x) \backslash y)=y / x$ and $(y /(x \backslash y)) \backslash y=x \backslash y$
12. $x /(x \backslash x)=x$ and $(x / x) \backslash x=x$;
13. $(z / y)(y / x) \leq z / x$ and $(x \backslash y)(y \backslash z) \leq x \backslash z$

Multiplication is order preserving in both coordinates. Each division operation is order preserving in the numerator and order reversing in the denominator.
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## Properties (proofs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(y \vee z) \leq w & \Leftrightarrow y \vee z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow y, z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y, x z \leq w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y \vee x z \leq w
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties (proofs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(y \vee z) \leq w & \Leftrightarrow y \vee z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow y, z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y, x z \leq w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y \vee x z \leq w \\
x / y \leq x / y & \Leftrightarrow(x / y) y \leq x
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties (proofs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(y \vee z) \leq w \Leftrightarrow y \vee z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow y, z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y, x z \leq w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y \vee x z \leq w \\
& x / y \leq x / y \Rightarrow(x / y) y \leq x \\
& x(y / z) z \leq x y \Rightarrow x(y / z) \leq(x y) / z
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties (proofs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(y \vee z) \leq w & \Leftrightarrow y \vee z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow y, z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y, x z \leq w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y \vee x z \leq w \\
x / y \leq x / y & \Rightarrow(x / y) y \leq x \\
x(y / z) z \leq x y & \Rightarrow x(y / z) \leq(x y) / z \\
{[(x / y) / z](z y) \leq x } & \Rightarrow(x / y) / z \leq x /(z y) \\
{[x /(z y)] z y \leq x } & \Rightarrow x /(z y) \leq(x / y) / z
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties (proofs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(y \vee z) \leq w & \Leftrightarrow y \vee z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow y, z \leq x \backslash w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y, x z \leq w \\
& \Leftrightarrow x y \vee x z \leq w \\
x / y \leq x / y & \Rightarrow(x / y) y \leq x \\
x(y / z) z \leq x y \Rightarrow & x(y / z) \leq(x y) / z \\
{[(x / y) / z](z y) \leq x } & \Rightarrow(x / y) / z \leq x /(z y) \\
{[x /(z y)] z y \leq x \Rightarrow } & x /(z y) \leq(x / y) / z \\
w \leq x \backslash(y / z) & \Leftrightarrow x w \leq y / z \\
& \Leftrightarrow x w z \leq y \\
& \Leftrightarrow w z \leq x \backslash y \\
& \Leftrightarrow w \leq(x \backslash y) / z
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Lattice/monoid properties

$$
(z / y)(y / x) x \leq(z / y) y \leq z \Rightarrow(z / y)(y / x) \leq z / x
$$
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## Lattice/monoid properties

$$
(z / y)(y / x) x \leq(z / y) y \leq z \Rightarrow(z / y)(y / x) \leq z / x
$$

RL's satisfy no special purely lattice-theoretic or monoid-theoretic property.
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## Lattice/monoid properties

$$
(z / y)(y / x) x \leq(z / y) y \leq z \Rightarrow(z / y)(y / x) \leq z / x
$$

RL's satisfy no special purely lattice-theoretic or monoid-theoretic property.

Every lattice can be embedded in a (cancellative) residuated lattice.

Every monoid can be embedded in a (distributive) residuated lattice.
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## Linguistics (verbs)

We want to assign (a limited number of) linquistic types to English words, as well as to phrases, in such a way that we will be able to tell if a given phrase is a (syntacticly correct) sentence.

We will use $n$ for 'noun phrase' and $s$ for 'sentence'.
Outline

## Linguistics (verbs)

We want to assign (a limited number of) linquistic types to English words, as well as to phrases, in such a way that we will be able to tell if a given phrase is a (syntacticly correct) sentence.

We will use $n$ for 'noun phrase' and $s$ for 'sentence'.
For phrases we use the rule: if $A: a$ and $B: b$, then $A B: a b$.
We write $C: a \backslash b$ if $A: a$ implies $A C: b$, for all $A$.
Likewise, $C: b / a$ if $A: a$ implies $C A: b$, for all $A$.

## Linguistics (verbs)

We want to assign (a limited number of) linquistic types to English words, as well as to phrases, in such a way that we will be able to tell if a given phrase is a (syntacticly correct) sentence.

We will use $n$ for 'noun phrase' and $s$ for 'sentence'.
For phrases we use the rule: if $A: a$ and $B: b$, then $A B: a b$.
We write $C: a \backslash b$ if $A: a$ implies $A C: b$, for all $A$.
Likewise, $C: b / a$ if $A: a$ implies $C A: b$, for all $A$.
We assign type $n$ to 'John.' Clearly, 'plays' has type $n \backslash s$, as all intransitive verbs.

John plays
$n \quad n \backslash s$

$$
n(n \backslash s) \leq s
$$
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## Linguistics (adverbs)

| (John | plays) | here | $[n(n \backslash s)](s \backslash s) \leq s(s \backslash s) \leq s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $n \backslash s$ | $s \backslash s$ |  |
| John | (plays | here) | $s \backslash s \leq(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)$ |
| $n$ | $n \backslash s$ | $(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)$ |  |
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## Linguistics (adverbs)

(John plays) here

$$
n \quad n \backslash s \quad s \backslash s
$$

$$
[n(n \backslash s)](s \backslash s) \leq s(s \backslash s) \leq s
$$

John (plays here)

$$
n \quad n \backslash s \quad(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)
$$

$$
s \backslash s \leq(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)
$$

Note that 'plays' is also a transitive verb, so it has type $(n \backslash s) / n$.

John (plays football)

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
n & (n \backslash s) / n & n & {[n((n \backslash s) / n)] n \leq s}
\end{array}
$$

(John plays) football $(n \backslash s) / n \leq n \backslash(s / n)$
$n$
$n \backslash(s / n)$
$n$
$n$

$$
n[(n \backslash(s / n)) n] \leq s
$$

Also, for 'John definitely plays football', note that we need to have $s \backslash s \leq(n \backslash s) /(n \backslash s)$.
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## Linguistics (adverbs)

(John plays) here

$$
n \quad n \backslash s \quad s \backslash s
$$

$$
[n(n \backslash s)](s \backslash s) \leq s(s \backslash s) \leq s
$$

John (plays here)

$$
n \quad n \backslash s \quad(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)
$$

$$
s \backslash s \leq(n \backslash s) \backslash(n \backslash s)
$$

Note that 'plays' is also a transitive verb, so it has type $(n \backslash s) / n$.

John (plays football) $n \quad(n \backslash s) / n \quad n \quad[n((n \backslash s) / n)] n \leq s$
(John plays) football $(n \backslash s) / n \leq n \backslash(s / n)$
$n$
$n \backslash(s / n)$
$n$
$n[(n \backslash(s / n)) n] \leq s$
Also, for 'John definitely plays football', note that we need to have $s \backslash s \leq(n \backslash s) /(n \backslash s)$.
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Q: Can we decide (in)equations in residuated lattices?
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## Congruences G, B

Definition. A congruence on an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is an equivalence relation on $A$ that is compatible with the operations of $\mathbf{A}$. (Alt.the kernel of a homomorphism out of A.)

## Congruences G, B

Definition. A congruence on an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is an equivalence relation on $A$ that is compatible with the operations of $\mathbf{A}$. (Alt.the kernel of a homomorphism out of A.)

Congruences in groups correspond to normal subgroups.
Given a congruence $\theta$ on a group $\mathbf{G}$, the congruence class $[1]_{\theta}$ of 1 is a normal subgroup.
Given a normal subgroup $N$ of a group $G$, the relation $\theta_{N}$ is a congruence, where $(a, b) \in \theta_{N}$ iff $a \backslash b \in N$ iff $\{a \backslash b, b \backslash a\} \subseteq N$.

## Congruences G, B

Definition. A congruence on an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is an equivalence relation on $A$ that is compatible with the operations of $\mathbf{A}$. (Alt.the kernel of a homomorphism out of A.)

Congruences in groups correspond to normal subgroups.
Given a congruence $\theta$ on a group G , the congruence class $[1]_{\theta}$ of 1 is a normal subgroup.
Given a normal subgroup $N$ of a group $\mathbf{G}$, the relation $\theta_{N}$ is a congruence, where $(a, b) \in \theta_{N}$ iff $a \backslash b \in N$ iff $\{a \backslash b, b \backslash a\} \subseteq N$.

Congruences in Boolean algebras correspond to filters.
Given a congruence $\theta$ on a Boolean algebra A, the congruence class $[1]_{\theta}$ of 1 is a filter of $\mathbf{A}$.
Given a filter $F$ of a Boolean algebra $\mathbf{A}, \theta_{F}$ is a congruence, where $(a, b) \in \theta_{F}$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in F$ iff $\{a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a\} \subseteq F$.
Note that a filter is a subset of A closed under $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 1\}$ that is convex ( $x \leq y \leq z$ and $x, z \in F$ implies $y \in F$ ).

## Congruences R, M

## Congruences on rings correspond to ideals.

Title
Outline

RL examples

Congruences
Congruences G, B
Congruences R, M
Congruences and sets
Correspondence
CNM to CNS
CNS to congruence
CNS to congruence
Lattice isomorphism
Compositions
Generation
Generation of CNM

Subvariety lattice (atoms)

Subvariety lattice (joins)

Logic
Representation - Frames

Applications of frames

Undecidability

References

## Congruences R, M

Congruences on rings correspond to ideals.
Congruences on $\ell$-groups correspond to convex $\ell$-subgroups.
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## Congruences R, M

Congruences on rings correspond to ideals.
Congruences on $\ell$-groups correspond to convex $\ell$-subgroups.
Congruences on monoids do not correspond to any particular kind of subset.

Correspondence

## Congruences R, M

Congruences on rings correspond to ideals.
Congruences on $\ell$-groups correspond to convex $\ell$-subgroups.
Congruences on monoids do not correspond to any particular kind of subset.

Do congruences on residuated lattices correspond to certain subsets?

CNM to CNS
CNS to congruence
CNS to congruence Lattice isomorphism Compositions

## Congruences and sets

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a residuated lattice and $a, x \in A$. We define the conjugates $\lambda_{a}(x)=[a \backslash(x a)] \wedge 1$ and $\rho_{a}(x)=a x / a \wedge 1$. An iterated conjugate is a composition $\gamma_{a_{1}}\left(\gamma_{a_{2}}\left(\ldots \gamma_{a_{n}}(x)\right)\right)$, where $n \in \omega, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ and $\gamma_{a_{i}} \in\left\{\lambda_{a_{i}}, \rho_{a_{i}}\right\}$, for all $i$.

## Congruences and sets

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a residuated lattice and $a, x \in A$. We define the conjugates $\lambda_{a}(x)=[a \backslash(x a)] \wedge 1$ and $\rho_{a}(x)=a x / a \wedge 1$. An iterated conjugate is a composition $\gamma_{a_{1}}\left(\gamma_{a_{2}}\left(\ldots \gamma_{a_{n}}(x)\right)\right)$, where $n \in \omega, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ and $\gamma_{a_{i}} \in\left\{\lambda_{a_{i}}, \rho_{a_{i}}\right\}$, for all $i$.
$X \subseteq A$ is called normal, if it is closed under conjugates.
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## Congruences

Congruences G, B
Congruences R, M

## Congruences and sets

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a residuated lattice and $a, x \in A$. We define the conjugates $\lambda_{a}(x)=[a \backslash(x a)] \wedge 1$ and $\rho_{a}(x)=a x / a \wedge 1$.
An iterated conjugate is a composition $\gamma_{a_{1}}\left(\gamma_{a_{2}}\left(\ldots \gamma_{a_{n}}(x)\right)\right)$, where $n \in \omega, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ and $\gamma_{a_{i}} \in\left\{\lambda_{a_{i}}, \rho_{a_{i}}\right\}$, for all $i$.
$X \subseteq A$ is called normal, if it is closed under conjugates.
We will be considering correspondences between:

- Congruences on A
- Convex, normal subalgebras (CNSs) of A

■ Convex, normal (in A) submonoids (CNMs) of $\mathbf{A}^{-}=\downarrow 1$

- Deductive filters of $\mathbf{A}: F \subseteq A$
- $\uparrow 1 \subseteq F$
- $a, a \backslash b \in F$ implies $b \in F$ (eqv. $\uparrow F=F$ )
- $a \in F$ implies $a \wedge 1 \in F$ (eqv. $F$ is $\wedge$-closed)
- $a \in F$ implies $b \backslash a b, b a / b \in F$


## Correspondence

If $S$ is a CNS of $\mathbf{A}, M$ a CNM of $\mathbf{A}^{-}, \theta$ a congruence on $\mathbf{A}$ and $F$ a DF of $\mathbf{A}$, then

1. $M_{s}(S)=S^{-}, M_{c}(\theta)=[1]_{\theta}^{-}$and $M_{f}(F)=F^{-}$are CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$,
2. $S_{m}(M)=\Xi(M), S_{c}(\theta)=[1]_{\theta}$ and $S_{f}(F)=\Xi\left(F^{-}\right)$are CNSs of A,
3. $F_{s}(S)=\uparrow S, F_{m}(M)=\uparrow M$, and $F_{c}(\theta)=\uparrow[1]_{\theta}$ are DFs of A.
4. $\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}, \Theta_{m}(M)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in M\}$ and $\Theta_{f}(F)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in F\}=\{(a, b) \mid a \backslash b, b \backslash a \in F\}$ are congruences of $\mathbf{A}$.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
$\Xi(X)=\{a \in A: x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in X\}$.

## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
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## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.

## Title

Outline

RL examples

## Congruences

Congruences G, B
Congruences R, M
Congruences and sets
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## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
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## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$
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## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$

$$
x \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b \leq x \backslash 1 \vee y \backslash 1 \leq(x \wedge y) \backslash 1 \leq(x y) \backslash 1
$$

## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b \leq x \backslash 1 \vee y \backslash 1 \leq(x \wedge y) \backslash 1 \leq(x y) \backslash 1 \\
x y \leq a b \leq(x \backslash 1)(y \backslash 1) \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=(y x) \backslash 1
\end{gathered}
$$

## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b \leq x \backslash 1 \vee y \backslash 1 \leq(x \wedge y) \backslash 1 \leq(x y) \backslash 1 \\
x y \leq a b \leq(x \backslash 1)(y \backslash 1) \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=(y x) \backslash 1 \\
\lambda_{a}(y x) \leq a \backslash y x a \leq a \backslash[y /(x \backslash 1)] a \leq a \backslash[b / a] a \leq a \backslash b \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=y x \text { stericesenation - Frames }
\end{gathered}
$$

## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b \leq x \backslash 1 \vee y \backslash 1 \leq(x \wedge y) \backslash 1 \leq(x y) \backslash 1 \\
& x y \leq a b \leq(x \backslash 1)(y \backslash 1) \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=(y x) \backslash 1 \\
& x y \leq x /(y \backslash 1) \leq a / b \leq(x \backslash 1) / y \leq\left[x \rho_{(x \backslash 1) / y}(y)\right] \backslash 1 \\
& \text { (for } u=(x \backslash 1) / y \text { we have } x \rho_{u}(y) u \leq x\{u y / u\} u \leq x u y \leq 1 \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## CNM to CNS

$\Xi(M)=\{a \in A \mid x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in M\}$ is a CNS.
Claim: $a \in \Xi(M)$ iff $\exists y, z \in M$ such that $y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1$. Indeed, $y z \leq y \leq a \leq z \backslash 1 \leq y z \backslash 1$ and $y z \in M$.
Convexity: If $a, b \in \Xi(M)$, then $\exists x, y \in M$ such that $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$ and $y \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$.
If $a \leq c \leq b$, then $x \leq a \leq c \leq b \leq y \backslash 1$, so $c \in \Xi(M)$.
Subalg.: $x y \leq x \wedge y \leq a \wedge b \leq x \backslash 1 \wedge y \backslash 1=(x \vee y) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b \leq x \backslash 1 \vee y \backslash 1 \leq(x \wedge y) \backslash 1 \leq(x y) \backslash 1 \\
x y \leq a b \leq(x \backslash 1)(y \backslash 1) \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=(y x) \backslash 1 \\
\lambda_{a}(y x) \leq a \backslash y x a \leq a \backslash[y /(x \backslash 1)] a \leq a \backslash[b / a] a \leq a \backslash b \leq x \backslash(y \backslash 1)=y x x_{\text {Aerepesenalion - Fames }}^{\text {Logic }}
\end{gathered}
$$
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$$
x y \leq x /(y \backslash 1) \leq a / b \leq(x \backslash 1) / y \leq\left[x \rho_{(x \backslash 1) / y}(y)\right] \backslash 1
$$

$$
\text { (for } u=(x \backslash 1) / y \text { we have } x \rho_{u}(y) u \leq x\{u y / u\} u \leq x u y \leq 1 \text { ) }
$$

Normality: As $\lambda_{c}(x) \lambda_{c}(x \backslash 1) \leq c \backslash x(x \backslash 1) c \wedge 1 \leq c \backslash c \wedge 1=1$,

$$
\lambda_{c}(x) \leq \lambda_{c}(a) \leq \lambda_{c}(x \backslash 1) \leq \lambda_{c}(x) \backslash 1
$$

## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\} \text { is a congruence. } \\
& a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1
\end{aligned}
$$
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## CNS to congruence

$\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}$ is a congruence.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
Equivalence: $\Theta_{s}(S)$ is reflexive and symmetric. If $a \leftrightarrow b, b \leftrightarrow c \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a \leftrightarrow b)(b \leftrightarrow c) \wedge(b \leftrightarrow c)(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq \\
\leq(a \backslash b)(b \backslash c) \wedge(c \backslash b)(b \backslash a) \wedge 1 \leq(a \leftrightarrow c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Compatibility: Assume $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$ and $c \in A$.

$$
a \backslash b \leq c a \backslash c b \text { implies } a \leftrightarrow b \leq c a \leftrightarrow c b \leq 1
$$

## CNS to congruence

$\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}$ is a congruence.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
Equivalence: $\Theta_{s}(S)$ is reflexive and symmetric. If $a \leftrightarrow b, b \leftrightarrow c \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a \leftrightarrow b)(b \leftrightarrow c) \wedge(b \leftrightarrow c)(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq \\
\leq(a \backslash b)(b \backslash c) \wedge(c \backslash b)(b \backslash a) \wedge 1 \leq(a \leftrightarrow c) \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Compatibility: Assume $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$ and $c \in A$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq c a \backslash c b \text { implies } a \leftrightarrow b \leq c a \leftrightarrow c b \leq 1 \\
\lambda_{c}(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq c \backslash(a \backslash b) c \wedge c \backslash(b \backslash a) c \wedge 1 \leq a c \leftrightarrow b c \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$
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## CNS to congruence

$\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}$ is a congruence.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
Equivalence: $\Theta_{s}(S)$ is reflexive and symmetric. If $a \leftrightarrow b, b \leftrightarrow c \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a \leftrightarrow b)(b \leftrightarrow c) \wedge(b \leftrightarrow c)(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq \\
\leq(a \backslash b)(b \backslash c) \wedge(c \backslash b)(b \backslash a) \wedge 1 \leq(a \leftrightarrow c) \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Compatibility: Assume $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$ and $c \in A$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq c a \backslash c b \text { implies } a \leftrightarrow b \leq c a \leftrightarrow c b \leq 1 \\
\lambda_{c}(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq c \backslash(a \backslash b) c \wedge c \backslash(b \backslash a) c \wedge 1 \leq a c \leftrightarrow b c \leq 1 \\
(a \wedge c) \cdot(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \leftrightarrow b) \wedge c(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq b \wedge c \text { implies } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \wedge c) \backslash(b \wedge c) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## CNS to congruence

$\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}$ is a congruence.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
Equivalence: $\Theta_{s}(S)$ is reflexive and symmetric. If $a \leftrightarrow b, b \leftrightarrow c \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a \leftrightarrow b)(b \leftrightarrow c) \wedge(b \leftrightarrow c)(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq \\
\leq(a \backslash b)(b \backslash c) \wedge(c \backslash b)(b \backslash a) \wedge 1 \leq(a \leftrightarrow c) \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Compatibility: Assume $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$ and $c \in A$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq c a \backslash c b \text { implies } a \leftrightarrow b \leq c a \leftrightarrow c b \leq 1 \\
\lambda_{c}(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq c \backslash(a \backslash b) c \wedge c \backslash(b \backslash a) c \wedge 1 \leq a c \leftrightarrow b c \leq 1 \\
(a \wedge c) \cdot(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \leftrightarrow b) \wedge c(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq b \wedge c \text { implies } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \wedge c) \backslash(b \wedge c) \text {. Likewise, } a \leftrightarrow b \leq(b \wedge c) \backslash(a \wedge c) \text {. So, } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \wedge c) \leftrightarrow(b \wedge c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

## CNS to congruence

$\Theta_{s}(S)=\{(a, b) \mid a \leftrightarrow b \in S\}$ is a congruence.
$a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1$
Equivalence: $\Theta_{s}(S)$ is reflexive and symmetric. If $a \leftrightarrow b, b \leftrightarrow c \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a \leftrightarrow b)(b \leftrightarrow c) \wedge(b \leftrightarrow c)(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq \\
\leq(a \backslash b)(b \backslash c) \wedge(c \backslash b)(b \backslash a) \wedge 1 \leq(a \leftrightarrow c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Compatibility: Assume $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$ and $c \in A$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq c a \backslash c b \text { implies } a \leftrightarrow b \leq c a \leftrightarrow c b \leq 1 \\
\lambda_{c}(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq c \backslash(a \backslash b) c \wedge c \backslash(b \backslash a) c \wedge 1 \leq a c \leftrightarrow b c \leq 1 \\
(a \wedge c) \cdot(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \leftrightarrow b) \wedge c(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq b \wedge c \text { implies } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \wedge c) \backslash(b \wedge c) \text {. Likewise, } a \leftrightarrow b \leq(b \wedge c) \backslash(a \wedge c) \text {. So, } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \wedge c) \leftrightarrow(b \wedge c) \leq 1 \\
a \backslash b \leq(c \backslash a) \backslash(c \backslash b) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(c \backslash b) \backslash(c \backslash a) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(c \backslash a) \leftrightarrow(c \backslash b) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq(a \backslash c) /(b \backslash c) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(b \backslash c) /(a \backslash c) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b=a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1$.

## Title

Outline

RL examples

## Congruences

Congruences G, B
Congruences R, M
Congruences and sets
Correspondence
CNM to CNS
CNS to congruence
CNS to congruence
Lattice isomorphism
Compositions
Generation
Generation of CNM

Subvariety lattice (atoms)

Subvariety lattice (joins)

Logic
Representation - Frames

Applications of frames

Undecidability

References

## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq(a \backslash c) /(b \backslash c) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(b \backslash c) /(a \backslash c) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b=a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1$.
So, $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \in S$ and $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow(b \backslash c) \in S$.
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## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq(a \backslash c) /(b \backslash c) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(b \backslash c) /(a \backslash c) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b=a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1$.
So, $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \in S$ and $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow(b \backslash c) \in S$.

Claim: $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b \in S$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$.
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## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq(a \backslash c) /(b \backslash c) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(b \backslash c) /(a \backslash c) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b=a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1$.
So, $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \in S$ and $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow(b \backslash c) \in S$.

Claim: $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b \in S$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$.

$$
\lambda_{b}\left(a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b\right)=b \backslash[a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1] b \wedge 1 \leq b \backslash a \wedge 1
$$
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## CNS to congruence

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \backslash b \leq(a \backslash c) /(b \backslash c) \text { and } b \backslash a \leq(b \backslash c) /(a \backslash c) \text { imply } \\
a \leftrightarrow b \leq(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b=a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1$.
So, $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow^{\prime}(b \backslash c) \in S$ and $(a \backslash c) \leftrightarrow(b \backslash c) \in S$.

Claim: $a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b \in S$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in S$.

$$
\lambda_{b}\left(a \leftrightarrow^{\prime} b\right)=b \backslash[a / b \wedge b / a \wedge 1] b \wedge 1 \leq b \backslash a \wedge 1
$$

$$
\lambda_{b}\left(a \leftrightarrow{ }^{\prime} b\right) \wedge \lambda_{a}\left(a \leftrightarrow{ }^{\prime} b\right) \leq a \leftrightarrow b \leq 1
$$
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## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

Claim: $S_{c}$ and $\Theta_{s}$ are inverse maps. $S=[1]_{\Theta_{s}(S)}: a \in S$ implies $a \leftrightarrow 1=a \backslash 1 \wedge a \wedge 1 \in S$.

## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

Claim: $S_{c}$ and $\Theta_{s}$ are inverse maps. $S=[1]_{\Theta_{s}(S)}: a \in S$ implies $a \leftrightarrow 1=a \backslash 1 \wedge a \wedge 1 \in S$. Conversely, $(a \leftrightarrow 1) \leq a \leq(a \leftrightarrow 1) \backslash 1$.
$\theta=\Theta_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)$ : If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{s}\left([1]_{\theta}\right)$, then $a \leftrightarrow b \in[1]_{\theta}$, so $a \leftrightarrow b \theta 1$.

## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\mathbf{C o n}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

Claim: $S_{c}$ and $\Theta_{s}$ are inverse maps. $S=[1]_{\Theta_{s}(S)}: a \in S$ implies $a \leftrightarrow 1=a \backslash 1 \wedge a \wedge 1 \in S$. Conversely, $(a \leftrightarrow 1) \leq a \leq(a \leftrightarrow 1) \backslash 1$.
$\theta=\Theta_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)$ : If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{s}\left([1]_{\theta}\right)$, then $a \leftrightarrow b \in[1]_{\theta}$, so
$a \leftrightarrow b \theta$ 1. Therefore, $a \theta a(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \backslash b) \leq b$, so $a \vee b \theta b$.

## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\mathbf{C o n}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

Claim: $S_{c}$ and $\Theta_{s}$ are inverse maps. $S=[1]_{\Theta_{s}(S)}: a \in S$ implies $a \leftrightarrow 1=a \backslash 1 \wedge a \wedge 1 \in S$.
Conversely, $(a \leftrightarrow 1) \leq a \leq(a \leftrightarrow 1) \backslash 1$.
$\theta=\Theta_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)$ : If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{s}\left([1]_{\theta}\right)$, then $a \leftrightarrow b \in[1]_{\theta}$, so
$a \leftrightarrow b \theta$ 1. Therefore, $a \theta a(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \backslash b) \leq b$, so $a \vee b \theta b$.
Likewise, $a \vee b \theta a$, so $a \theta b$.

## Lattice isomorphism

1. The CNSs of $\mathbf{A}$, the CNMs of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$and the DF of $\mathbf{A}$ form lattices, denoted by $\mathbf{C N S}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{C N M}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{A})$, respectively.
2. All the above lattices are isomorphic to the congruence lattice $\mathbf{C o n}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ via the maps defined above.
3. The composition of the above maps gives the corresponding map; e.g., $M_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)=M_{c}(\theta)$.

Claim: $S_{c}$ and $\Theta_{s}$ are inverse maps. $S=[1]_{\Theta_{s}(S)}: a \in S$ implies $a \leftrightarrow 1=a \backslash 1 \wedge a \wedge 1 \in S$.
Conversely, $(a \leftrightarrow 1) \leq a \leq(a \leftrightarrow 1) \backslash 1$.
$\theta=\Theta_{s}\left(S_{c}(\theta)\right)$ : If $(a, b) \in \Theta_{s}\left([1]_{\theta}\right)$, then $a \leftrightarrow b \in[1]_{\theta}$, so
$a \leftrightarrow b \theta$ 1. Therefore, $a \theta a(a \leftrightarrow b) \leq a(a \backslash b) \leq b$, so $a \vee b \theta b$.
Likewise, $a \vee b \theta a$, so $a \theta b$.
Conversely, if $a \theta b$, then

## Congruences

## Compositions

Claim: $S_{f}(F)=S_{c}\left(\Theta_{f}(F)\right)$. (Sketch)
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## Compositions

Claim: $S_{f}(F)=S_{c}\left(\Theta_{f}(F)\right)$. (Sketch)
If $a \in S_{c}\left(\Theta_{f}(F)\right)$, then $a \Theta_{f}(F) 1$, so $a \backslash 1,1 \backslash a \in F$.
Hence $a, 1 / a \in F$. Since $1 \in F$, we get $x=a \wedge 1 / a \wedge 1 \in F^{-}$. Obviously, $x \leq a$; also $a \leq(1 / a) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$. Thus, $a \in S_{f}(F)$.
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## Compositions

Claim: $S_{f}(F)=S_{c}\left(\Theta_{f}(F)\right)$. (Sketch)
If $a \in S_{c}\left(\Theta_{f}(F)\right)$, then $a \Theta_{f}(F) 1$, so $a \backslash 1,1 \backslash a \in F$.
Hence $a, 1 / a \in F$. Since $1 \in F$, we get $x=a \wedge 1 / a \wedge 1 \in F^{-}$.
Obviously, $x \leq a$; also $a \leq(1 / a) \backslash 1 \leq x \backslash 1$.
Thus, $a \in S_{f}(F)$.
Conversely, if $a \in S_{f}(F)$, then $x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1$, for some $x \in F^{-}$. So, $a \in F$ and $1 /(x \backslash 1) \leq 1 / a$. Since, $x \leq 1 /(x \backslash 1)$, we have $x \leq 1 / a$ and $1 / a \in F$.
Thus both $a / 1$ and $1 / a$ are in $F$. Hence, $a \in[1]_{\Theta_{f}(F)}$.
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## Generation

If $X$ is a subset of $A^{-}$and $Y$ is a subset of $A$, then

1. the CNM $M(X)$ of $A^{-}$generated by $X$ is equal to $\Xi^{-} \Pi \Gamma(X)$.
2. The CNS $S(Y)$ of A generated by $Y$ is equal to $\Xi \Pi \Gamma \Delta(Y)$.
3. The DF $F(Y)$ of $\mathbf{A}$ generated by $Y \subseteq A$ is equal to $\uparrow \Pi \Gamma(Y)=\uparrow \Pi \Gamma(Y \wedge 1)$.
4. The congruence $\Theta(P)$ on A generated by $P \subseteq A^{2}$ is equal to $\Theta_{m}\left(M\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $P^{\prime}=\{a \leftrightarrow b \mid(a, b) \in P\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \wedge 1=\{x \wedge 1: x \in X\} \\
& \Delta(X)=\{x \leftrightarrow 1: x \in X\} \\
& \Pi(X)=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}: n \geq 1, x_{i} \in X\right\} \cup\{1\} \\
& \Gamma(X)=\{\gamma(x): \gamma \text { is an iterated conjugate }\} \\
& \Xi(X)=\{a \in A: x \leq a \leq x \backslash 1, \text { for some } x \in X\} \\
& \Xi-(X)=\{a \in A: x \leq a \leq 1, \text { for some } x \in X\} \\
& a \leftrightarrow b=a \backslash b \wedge b \backslash a \wedge 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Outline

## Generation of CNM

Clearly, if $M$ is a CNM of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$that contains $X$, then it contains $\Gamma(X)$, by normality, $\Pi \Gamma(X)$, since $M$ is closed under product, and $\Xi^{-} \Pi \Gamma(X)$, since $M$ is convex and contains 1 .

We will now show that $\Xi^{-} \Pi \Gamma(X)$ itself is a CNM of $A^{-}$; it obviously contains $X$. It is clearly convex and a submonoid of $\mathbf{A}^{-}$. To show that it is convex, consider $a \in \Xi^{-} \Pi \Gamma(X)$ and $u \in A$. There are $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$ and iterated conjugates $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ such that $\gamma_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq a \leq 1$. We have

$$
\prod \lambda_{u}\left(\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \leq \lambda_{u}\left(\prod \gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \leq \lambda_{u}(a) \leq 1
$$

Idea for $n=2$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{u}\left(a_{1}\right) \lambda_{u}\left(a_{2}\right)=\left(u \backslash a_{1} u \wedge 1\right)\left(u \backslash a_{2} u \wedge 1\right) \leq\left(u \backslash a_{1} u\right)\left(u \backslash a_{2} u\right) \wedge 1 \\
\leq u \backslash a_{1} u\left(u \backslash a_{2} u\right) \wedge 1 \leq u \backslash a_{1} a_{2} u \wedge 1=\lambda_{u}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also, $\lambda_{u}\left(\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \in \Gamma(X)$ and $\Pi \lambda_{u}\left(\gamma_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \in \Pi \Gamma(X)$, so
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## Size

## We view $R L$ as the subvariety of $R_{p}$ axiomatized by $0=1$.
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## Size

## We view $R L$ as the subvariety of $R L_{p}$ axiomatized by $0=1$.

The subvariety lattices of HA (Heyting algebras) and Br (Brouwerian algebras) are uncountable, hence so are $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(R_{p}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathrm{RL})$.
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## Size

We view $R L$ as the subvariety of $R L_{p}$ axiomatized by $0=1$.
The subvariety lattices of HA (Heyting algebras) and Br (Brouwerian algebras) are uncountable, hence so are $\Lambda\left(R_{p}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathrm{RL})$.

## We will

- determine the size of the set of atoms in $\Lambda\left(R L_{p}\right)$.
- outline a method for finding axiomatizations of certain varieties
- give a description of joins in $\Lambda\left(R L_{p}\right)$.
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BA: an atom
Fin. gen. atoms
Cancellative atoms
Idempotent rep. atoms

## BA and 2

The variety BA of Boolean algebras is generated by the 2-element algebra 2. $\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{HSP}(2)=\mathrm{V}(2)$.

## BA and 2

The variety BA of Boolean algebras is generated by the 2-element algebra 2. $\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{HSP}(\mathbf{2})=\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{2})$.

H: homomorphic images
S: subalgebras
$P$ : direct products
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{HSP}$

## BA and 2

The variety BA of Boolean algebras is generated by the 2-element algebra 2. $\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{HSP}(\mathbf{2})=\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{2})$.

H: homomorphic images
S: subalgebras
$P$ : direct products
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{HSP}$
Proof idea: Use the prime ideal-filter theorem for distributive lattices to show that every Boolean algebra is a subdirect product of copies of 2 .

## BA and 2

The variety BA of Boolean algebras is generated by the 2-element algebra 2. $\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{HSP}(\mathbf{2})=\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{2})$.

H: homomorphic images
S: subalgebras
$P$ : direct products
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{HSP}$
Proof idea: Use the prime ideal-filter theorem for distributive lattices to show that every Boolean algebra is a subdirect product of copies of 2 .

Subdirect product: A subalgebra of a product such that all projections are onto.

## BA and 2

The variety BA of Boolean algebras is generated by the 2-element algebra 2. $\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{HSP}(\mathbf{2})=\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{2})$.

H: homomorphic images
S: subalgebras
$P$ : direct products
$V=H S P$
Proof idea: Use the prime ideal-filter theorem for distributive lattices to show that every Boolean algebra is a subdirect product of copies of 2 .

Subdirect product: A subalgebra of a product such that all

Subdirectly irreducible: non-trivial and
■ it cannot be written as a subdirect product of a family that does not contain it.

- Alt. its congruence lattice is $\Delta \cup \uparrow \mu$.


## BA: an atom

The variety $B A$ is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
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## BA: an atom

The variety BA is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
pRL is a congruence distributive variety (RL's have lattice reducts) so Jònsson's Lemma applies:
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## BA: an atom

The variety $B A$ is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
pRL is a congruence distributive variety (RL's have lattice reducts) so Jònsson's Lemma applies:
Given a class $\mathcal{K} \subseteq R_{p}$, the subdirectly irreducible algebras $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})_{S I}$ in the variety generated by $\mathcal{K}$ are in $\mathrm{HSP}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$.
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## BA: an atom

The variety $B A$ is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
pRL is a congruence distributive variety (RL's have lattice reducts) so Jònsson's Lemma applies:
Given a class $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathrm{RL}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the subdirectly irreducible algebras $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})_{S I}$ in the variety generated by $\mathcal{K}$ are in $\mathrm{HSP}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$.

An ultraproduct $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$ is obtained by taking

- a product $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}$ of $A_{i} \in \mathcal{K}$ and then

■ a quotient $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i} / \cong_{U}$ by an ultrafilter $U$ over $I$ (maximal filter on $\mathcal{P}(U)$ ):
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## BA: an atom
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Cancellative atoms
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## BA: an atom

The variety $B A$ is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
pRL is a congruence distributive variety (RL's have lattice reducts) so Jònsson's Lemma applies:
Given a class $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathrm{RL}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the subdirectly irreducible algebras $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})_{S I}$ in the variety generated by $\mathcal{K}$ are in $\mathrm{HSP}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$.

An ultraproduct $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$ is obtained by taking

- a product $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}$ of $A_{i} \in \mathcal{K}$ and then

■ a quotient $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i} / \cong_{U}$ by an ultrafilter $U$ over $I$ (maximal filter on $\mathcal{P}(U)$ ):

$$
\text { for } \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}, \bar{a} \cong_{U} \bar{b} \text { iff }\left\{i \in I: a_{i}=b_{i}\right\} \in U
$$

## BA: an atom

The variety $B A$ is an atom in the lattice of subvarieties of $p R L$.
pRL is a congruence distributive variety (RL's have lattice reducts) so Jònsson's Lemma applies:
Given a class $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathrm{RL}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the subdirectly irreducible algebras $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})_{S I}$ in the variety generated by $\mathcal{K}$ are in $\mathrm{HSP}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$.

An ultraproduct $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$ is obtained by taking

- a product $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i}$ of $A_{i} \in \mathcal{K}$ and then

■ a quotient $\prod_{i \in I} A_{i} / \cong_{U}$ by an ultrafilter $U$ over $I$ (maximal filter on $\mathcal{P}(U)$ ):

$$
\text { for } \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_{i}, \bar{a} \cong_{U} \bar{b} \text { iff }\left\{i \in I: a_{i}=b_{i}\right\} \in U .
$$

Outline

Now, $\operatorname{HSP}(\mathbf{2})=\{\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}\}$, hence $(\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{2}))_{S I}=\{\mathbf{2}\}$.
Recall that $\mathcal{V}=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathcal{V}_{S I}\right)$.

## Fin. gen. atoms

We define $\top u=u \top=u$.
Note that $\mathbf{T}_{n}$ is strictly simple (has no non-trivial subalgebras or homomorphic images).

So, $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{T}_{n}\right)$ is an atom of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathrm{RL})$.

Moreover, all these atoms are distinct and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathrm{RL})$ has at least denumerably many atoms.


## Cancellative atoms

Left cancellativity ( $a b=a c \Rightarrow b=c$ ) can be written equationally: $x \backslash(x y)=y$. Right cancellativity is $(y x) / x=y$. CanRL denotes the variety of cancellative RL's.
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Left cancellativity ( $a b=a c \Rightarrow b=c$ ) can be written equationally: $x \backslash(x y)=y$. Right cancellativity is $(y x) / x=y$. CanRL denotes the variety of cancellative RL's.
Prop. There are only 2 cancellative atoms: $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{-}\right)$.
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## Cancellative atoms

Left cancellativity $(a b=a c \Rightarrow b=c)$ can be written equationally: $x \backslash(x y)=y$. Right cancellativity is $(y x) / x=y$. CanRL denotes the variety of cancellative RL's.

Prop. There are only 2 cancellative atoms: $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{-}\right)$.

## Title

Outline

RL examples

## Congruences

The negative cone of a $\mathrm{RL} \mathbf{A}=(A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ is the RL $\mathbf{A}^{-}=\left(A^{-}, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash \mathbf{A}^{-}, / \mathbf{A}^{-}, 1\right)$, where $A^{-}=\{a \in A: a \leq 1\}$, $a \backslash^{\mathbf{A}^{-}} b=(a \backslash b) \wedge 1$ and $b / \mathbf{A}^{-} a=(b / a) \wedge 1$.

## Cancellative atoms

Left cancellativity $(a b=a c \Rightarrow b=c)$ can be written equationally: $x \backslash(x y)=y$. Right cancellativity is $(y x) / x=y$. CanRL denotes the variety of cancellative RL's.

Prop. There are only 2 cancellative atoms: $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{-}\right)$.
Let $\mathbf{L} \in$ CanRL. For $a \leq 1$, we have $1 \leq 1 / a$.
Claim: If $\exists a<1$ with $1 / a=1$, then $\operatorname{Sg}(a) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{-}$.
Since $a<1$, we get $a^{n+1}<a^{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by order preservation and cancellativity. Moreover, $a^{k+m} / a^{m}=a^{k}$ and $a^{m} / a^{m+k}=1$, for all $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Claim: If for all $x<1$, we have $1<1 / x$, then $\mathbf{L}$ is an $\ell$-group.
For $a \in L$ set $x=(1 / a) a$. Note that $x \leq 1$, and if $x<1$, then $1 / x=1 /(1 / a) a=(1 / a) /(1 / a)=1$, cancellativity; so $x=1$.
The negative cone of a $\operatorname{RL} \mathbf{A}=(A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ is the RL $\mathbf{A}^{-}=\left(A^{-}, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash \mathbf{A}^{-}, / \mathbf{A}^{-}, 1\right)$, where $A^{-}=\{a \in A: a \leq 1\}$,
$a \backslash^{\mathbf{A}^{-}} b=(a \backslash b) \wedge 1$ and $b / \mathbf{A}^{-} a=(b / a) \wedge 1$.

## Idempotent rep. atoms

For $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we define $a_{i} b_{i}=a_{i}$, if $i \in S$ and $a_{i} b_{i}=b_{i}$, if $i \notin S$.

Although, we may have
■ $S \neq T$, but $\mathbf{N}_{S} \cong \mathbf{N}_{T}$

- $\mathbf{N}_{S} \not \approx \mathbf{N}_{T}$, but $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{N}_{S}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{N}_{T}\right)$
- $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{N}_{S}\right)$ is not an atom
we can prove that there are continuum many atoms $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathbf{N}_{S}\right)$.



## Subvariety lattice (joins)
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## Representable RL's

A residuated lattice is called representable (or semi-linear) if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered RL's. RRL denotes the class of representable RL's.
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## Representable RL's

A residuated lattice is called representable (or semi-linear) if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered RL's. RRL denotes the class of representable RL's.

Recall that a totally ordered RL satisfies the first-order formula $(\forall x, y)(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)[(\forall x, y)(1 \leq x \backslash y$ or $1 \leq y \backslash x)]$

## Representable RL's

A residuated lattice is called representable (or semi-linear) if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered RL's. RRL denotes the class of representable RL's.

Recall that a totally ordered RL satisfies the first-order formula $(\forall x, y)(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)[(\forall x, y)(1 \leq x \backslash y$ or $1 \leq y \backslash x)]$
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## Representable RL's

A residuated lattice is called representable (or semi-linear) if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered RL's. RRL denotes the class of representable RL's.

Recall that a totally ordered RL satisfies the first-order formula $(\forall x, y)(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)[(\forall x, y)(1 \leq x \backslash y$ or $1 \leq y \backslash x)]$

Representable Heyting algebras form a variety axiomatized by $1=(x \rightarrow y) \vee(y \rightarrow x)$.
Representable commutative RL's form a variety axiomatized by $1=(x \rightarrow y)_{\wedge 1} \vee(y \rightarrow x)_{\wedge 1}$.
$\operatorname{RRL}$ is a variety axiomatized by $1=\gamma_{1}(x \backslash y) \vee \gamma_{2}(y \backslash x)$.
Goal: Given a class $\mathcal{K}$ of RL's axiomatized by a set of positive universal first-order formulas (PUF's), provide an axiomatization for $\mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})$.

## Joins

The meet of two varieties in $\Lambda\left(R L_{p}\right)$ is their intersection.
Also, if $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ is axiomatized by $E_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ by $E_{2}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{1} \wedge \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by $E_{1} \cup E_{2}$.
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## Goals

$\square$ Find an axiomatization of $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ in terms of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.
■ Find situations where: if $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are finite, then $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is finitely axiomatized.

- Find $\mathcal{V}$ such that its finitely axiomatized subvarieties form a lattice.
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If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence distributive variety of finite type and $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is strictly elementary, then $\mathcal{V}$ is finitely axiomatized.
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## Finite basis

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a congruence distributive variety of finite type and $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is strictly elementary, then $\mathcal{V}$ is finitely axiomatized.

Strictly elementary: Axiomatized by a single FO-sentence. Finitely $\mathrm{SI}: \Delta$ is not the intersection of two non-trivial congruences.

Cor. For every variety $\mathcal{V}$ of RL's, if $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is strictly elementary, then the finitely axiomatized subvarieties of $\mathcal{V}$ form a lattice.
Pf. For finitely axiomatized subvarieties $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$,
$\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)_{F S I}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)_{F S I}$ is strictly elementary.
Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}$ be subvarieties of RL axiomatized by $E_{1}, E_{2}$, respectively, where $E_{1}, E_{2}$ have no variables in common.

The class $\mathcal{V}_{1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by the universal closure of (AND $E_{1}$ ) or (AND $E_{2}$ ), over infinitary logic, which is equivalent to the set $\left\{\forall \forall\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{2}\right): \varepsilon_{1} \in E_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in E_{2}\right\}$ of positive universal first-order formulas (PUFs).

In a RL, we say that 1 is weakly join irreducible, if for all negative $a, b$, whenever $1=\gamma(a) \vee \gamma^{\prime}(b)$, for all all iterrated conjugates $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$, then $a=1$ or $b=1$.
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In a RL, we say that 1 is weakly join irreducible, if for all negative $a, b$, whenever $1=\gamma(a) \vee \gamma^{\prime}(b)$, for all all iterrated conjugates $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$, then $a=1$ or $b=1$.

Thm. A RL is FSI iff 1 is weakly join-irreducible.
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$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $F, G$ be CNS with $F \cap G=\{1\}$. For all $a \in F^{-}$and
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$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $a, b$ be negative elements and assume that $u \in C N S^{-}(a) \cap C N S^{-}(b)$. Then there exist products of iterated conjugates $p, q$ of $a, b$, resp., such that $p, q \leq u$. If $1=\gamma(a) \vee \gamma^{\prime}(b)$, for all iterated conjugates, then $1=p \vee q$. Thus, $u=1$ and $C N S^{-}(a) \cap C N S^{-}(b)=\{1\}$.
Since A is FSI, $C N S^{-}(a)=\{1\}$ or $C N S^{-}(b)=\{1\}$, hence $a=1$ of $b=1$.
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\text { Let } \widetilde{\alpha}_{0} \text { be }\left(r_{1}\right)_{\wedge 1} \vee \cdots \vee\left(r_{k}\right)_{\wedge 1}=1 .
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$$

Also, for $m>0$ and $\aleph_{0}$ fresh variables $Y$, we define $\widetilde{\alpha}_{m}$ as the set of all equations of the form

$$
\gamma_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}=1
$$

where $\gamma_{i} \in \Gamma_{Y}^{m}\left(r_{i}\right)$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Set $\widetilde{\alpha}=\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n}$.

## PUF and equations

## Thm. For a PUF $\alpha$ and a FSI RL $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \models \alpha$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \widetilde{\alpha}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\forall \bar{x}\left(1 \leq r_{1} \text { or } \cdots \text { or } 1 \leq r_{k}\right) \\
& \widetilde{\alpha}=\left\{\gamma_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}=1 \mid \gamma_{i} \in \Gamma_{Y}\left(r_{i}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Thm. For a PUF $\alpha$ and a FSI RL $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \models \alpha$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \widetilde{\alpha}$.
Pf. $(\Rightarrow)$ If $\bar{a}$ are elements in $A$, then $1 \leq r_{i}(\bar{a})$ for some $i$. So, $\gamma\left(r_{i}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right)=1$, for all $\gamma$; hence, $\gamma_{1}\left(r_{1}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right) \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}\left(r_{k}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right)=1$.
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Thm. For a PUF $\alpha$ and a FSI RL $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \models \alpha$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \widetilde{\alpha}$.
Pf. $(\Rightarrow)$ If $\bar{a}$ are elements in $A$, then $1 \leq r_{i}(\bar{a})$ for some $i$.
So, $\gamma\left(r_{i}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right)=1$, for all $\gamma$; hence,
$\gamma_{1}\left(r_{1}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right) \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}\left(r_{k}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right)=1$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ We have $1=\gamma_{1}\left(r_{1}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right) \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}\left(r_{k}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}\right)$, for all $\gamma_{i}$.
Since $\mathbf{A}$ is $\mathrm{FSI}, 1$ is weakly join irreducible, so $r_{i}(\bar{a})_{\wedge 1}=1$, for some $i$; i.e., $r_{i}(\bar{a}) \leq 1$.
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& \widetilde{\alpha}=\left\{\gamma_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{k}=1 \mid \gamma_{i} \in \Gamma_{Y}\left(r_{i}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Thm. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of RLs axiomatixed by a set $\Psi$ of PUF. Then $V(\mathcal{K})$ is axiomatized, relative to $R L$, by $\widetilde{\Psi}$.
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Thm. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of RLs axiomatixed by a set $\Psi$ of PUF. Then $V(\mathcal{K})$ is axiomatized, relative to $R L$, by $\widetilde{\Psi}$.

Pf. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{RL}_{S_{I}}$. By congruence distributivity and Jónsson's Lemma, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{K})$ iff $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{HSP}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathcal{K})$. Furthermore, as PUFs are preserved under $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{U}}, \mathbf{A} \in \operatorname{HSP}_{\cup}(\mathcal{K})$ iff $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$. Finally, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \Psi$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models \widetilde{\Psi}$.
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## RRL

Thm. The variety RRL generated by all totally ordered residuated lattices is axiomatized by the 4-variable identity $\lambda_{z}((x \vee y) \backslash x) \vee \rho_{w}((x \vee y) \backslash y)=1$.

Pf. A RL is a chain iff it satisfies $\forall x, y(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)$, or

$$
\forall x, y(1 \leq(x \vee y) \backslash x \text { or } 1 \leq(x \vee y) \backslash y)
$$

Thus, RRL is axiomatized by the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\gamma_{1}((x \vee y) \backslash x) \vee \gamma_{2}((x \vee y) \backslash y) ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{Г}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Thm. The variety RRL generated by all totally ordered residuated lattices is axiomatized by the 4-variable identity $\lambda_{z}((x \vee y) \backslash x) \vee \rho_{w}((x \vee y) \backslash y)=1$.

Pf. A RL is a chain iff it satisfies $\forall x, y(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)$, or

$$
\forall x, y(1 \leq(x \vee y) \backslash x \text { or } 1 \leq(x \vee y) \backslash y)
$$

Thus, RRL is axiomatized by the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\gamma_{1}((x \vee y) \backslash x) \vee \gamma_{2}((x \vee y) \backslash y) ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma \tag{Г}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, RRL satisfies the identity

$$
\lambda_{z}((x \vee y) \backslash x) \vee \rho_{w}((x \vee y) \backslash y)=1
$$

Conversely, the variety axiomatized by this identity satisfies

$$
x \vee y=1 \Rightarrow \lambda_{z}(x) \vee y=1 \quad x \vee y=1 \Rightarrow x \vee \rho_{w}(y)=1 \text {. (imp) }
$$

By repeated applications of (imp) on $(\lambda, \rho)$, we get $(\Gamma)$.

## Finite axiomatization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \beta=\forall x_{1} \forall x_{2}\left(1 \leq x_{1} \text { or } 1 \leq x_{2}\right) \text { and set } B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}= \\
& \qquad \forall x_{1} \forall x_{2}\left[\left(\forall \bar{y} \forall z \text { AND } \widetilde{\beta}_{m}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\forall \bar{y} \forall z \text { AND } \widetilde{\beta}_{m+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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1. $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by $\widetilde{\Psi}_{m}+$ a finite set of equations.
2. If $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ are finitely axiomatized then so is $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$
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Let $\beta=\forall x_{1} \forall x_{2}\left(1 \leq x_{1}\right.$ or $\left.1 \leq x_{2}\right)$ and set $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}=$
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\forall x_{1} \forall x_{2}\left[\left(\forall \bar{y} \forall z \text { AND } \widetilde{\beta}_{m}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\forall \bar{y} \forall z \text { AND } \widetilde{\beta}_{m+1}\right)\right]
$$

Thm. Let $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ be two varieties of RLs that satisfy $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}$. Then

1. $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by $\widetilde{\Psi}_{m}+$ a finite set of equations.
2. If $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ are finitely axiomatized then so is $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$

Pf. By congruence distributivity $\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)_{F S I} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2}$, so $\left(\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}\right)_{F S I}$ satisfies $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1} . \mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ also satisfies $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}$, because the latter is a special Horn sentence (Lyndon) and is preserved under subdirect products.
By compactness of FOL, $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}$ is a consequence of a finite set $B$ of equations, valid in $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$.
Note that $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by $\widetilde{\Psi}$ and, using
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$B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}, \widetilde{\Psi}_{m}$ implies $\widetilde{\Psi}_{n}$ for all $n>m$.
Hence, $\mathcal{V}_{1} \vee \mathcal{V}_{2}$ is axiomatized by $\widetilde{\Psi}_{m} \cup B$.

## Elementarity

Thm. For any variety $\mathcal{V}$ of RLs, $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is an elementary class
iff it satisfies $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}$ for some $m$.
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## Elementarity

Thm. For any variety $\mathcal{V}$ of RLs, $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is an elementary class iff it satisfies $B_{m} \Rightarrow B_{m+1}$ for some $m$.

Cor. For every variety $\mathcal{V}$ of $R L s$, if $\mathcal{V}_{F S I}$ is elementary, then the finitely axiomatized subvarieties of $\mathcal{V}$ form a lattice.

## Applications

## RRLs satisfy $B_{0} \Rightarrow B_{1}$.

$x \vee y=1 \Rightarrow \gamma_{1}(x) \vee \gamma_{2}(y)=1$, for all $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{Y}^{1}$.
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RRLs satisfy $B_{0} \Rightarrow B_{1}$.
$x \vee y=1 \Rightarrow \gamma_{1}(x) \vee \gamma_{2}(y)=1$, for all $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{Y}^{1}$.
$\ell$-groups satisfy $B_{1} \Rightarrow B_{2}$.
For $a \leq 1$, we have $\lambda_{z}\left(\lambda_{w}(a)\right)=\lambda_{w z}(a)$ and $\rho_{z}(a)=\lambda_{z^{-1}}(a)$.
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## Applications

RRLs satisfy $B_{0} \Rightarrow B_{1}$.
$x \vee y=1 \Rightarrow \gamma_{1}(x) \vee \gamma_{2}(y)=1$, for all $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{Y}^{1}$.
$\ell$-groups satisfy $B_{1} \Rightarrow B_{2}$.
For $a \leq 1$, we have $\lambda_{z}\left(\lambda_{w}(a)\right)=\lambda_{w z}(a)$ and $\rho_{z}(a)=\lambda_{z^{-1}}(a)$.
Subcommutative RSs satisfy $B_{0} \Rightarrow B_{1}$.
$k$-subcommutative RSs are defined by $(x \wedge 1)^{k} y=y(x \wedge 1)^{k}$.
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## A Hilbert-style axiomatization

(MP) $\quad\{\phi, \phi \rightarrow \psi\} \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathbf{e}}} \psi$
(B) $\vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathrm{e}}}(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow[(\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow(\phi \rightarrow \chi)]$
(C) $\vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathbf{e}}}[\phi \rightarrow(\psi \rightarrow \chi)] \rightarrow[\psi \rightarrow(\phi \rightarrow \chi)]$
(I) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} \phi \rightarrow \phi$
(AD) $\{\phi, \psi\} \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathbf{e}}} \phi \wedge \psi$
(CLa) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}}(\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \phi$
(CLb) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}}(\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$
(CR) $\quad \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathrm{e}}}[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge(\phi \rightarrow \chi)] \rightarrow[\phi \rightarrow(\psi \wedge \chi)]$
(DRa) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} \psi \rightarrow(\phi \vee \psi)$
(DRb) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} \psi \rightarrow(\phi \vee \psi)$
(DL) $\quad \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathbf{e}}}((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \wedge(\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow(\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \chi$
(PR) $\quad \vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} \phi \rightarrow[\psi \rightarrow(\psi \cdot \phi)]$
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Applications to logic

Representation - Frames
$(\mathrm{PL}) \quad \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}_{\mathrm{e}}}[\psi \rightarrow(\phi \rightarrow \chi)] \rightarrow[(\phi \cdot \psi) \rightarrow \chi]$
(U) $\vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} 1$
(UP) $\quad \vdash_{\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{e}}} 1 \rightarrow(\phi \rightarrow \phi)$

## Substructural logics

The system HL has the following inference rules:

$$
\frac{\phi \quad \phi \backslash \psi}{\psi}(\mathrm{mp}) \quad \frac{\phi \quad \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi}(\mathrm{adj}) \quad \frac{\phi}{\psi \backslash \phi \psi}(\mathrm{pn}) \quad \frac{\phi}{\psi \phi / \psi}(\mathrm{pn})
$$
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$$

We write $\Phi \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}} \psi$, if the formula $\psi$ is provable in HL from the set of formulas $\Phi$.

We do not allow substitution instances of formulas in $\Phi$.
For example, $p, p \backslash q \nvdash$ HL $r$.
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The system HL has the following inference rules:

$$
\frac{\phi \quad \phi \backslash \psi}{\psi}(\mathrm{mp}) \quad \frac{\phi \psi}{\phi \wedge \psi}(\mathrm{adj}) \quad \frac{\phi}{\psi \backslash \phi \psi}(\mathrm{pn}) \quad \frac{\phi}{\psi \phi / \psi}(\mathrm{pn})
$$

We write $\Phi \vdash_{\mathbf{H L}} \psi$, if the formula $\psi$ is provable in HL from the set of formulas $\Phi$.

We do not allow substitution instances of formulas in $\Phi$.
For example, $p, p \backslash q \not H_{\mathrm{HL}} r$.
A set of formulas is called a substructural logic if it is closed under $\vdash_{\text {HL }}$ and substitution.

Substructural logics form a lattice SL.
In the following we identify (propositional) formulas over $\{\wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1\}$ with terms over the same signature.

## Algebraic semantics

For a set of equations $E \cup\{s=t\}$, we write

$$
E \models_{\mathrm{RL}} s=t
$$

if for every residuated lattice $\mathbf{L} \in R L$ and for every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$,

$$
f(u)=f(v), \text { for all }(u=v) \in E \text {, implies } f(s)=f(t)
$$
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Theorem. SL and $\Lambda(R L)$ are dually isomorphic.

## Substructural logics (examples)

## Note that HL does not admit

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (C) } & {[x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)] \rightarrow[y \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z)]} & (x y=y x) \\
\text { (K) } & y \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y) & (x \leq 1) \\
\text { (W) } & {[x \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)] \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)} & \left(x \leq x^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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## Substructural logics (examples)

Relevance logic deals with relevance.
$p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow q)$ is not a theorem.
The algebraic models do not satisfy integrality $x \leq 1$.
$p \rightarrow(\neg p \rightarrow q)$ [or $(p \cdot \neg p) \rightarrow q$ ] is not a theorem, where
$\neg p=p \rightarrow 0$. The algebraic models do not satisfy $0 \leq x$.
Commutativity and distributivity are OK, so we get involutive $\mathcal{C D R} \mathcal{L}$ (they satisfy $\neg \neg x=x$ ).
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Relevance logic deals with relevance.
$p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow q)$ is not a theorem.
The algebraic models do not satisfy integrality $x \leq 1$.
$p \rightarrow(\neg p \rightarrow q)$ [or $(p \cdot \neg p) \rightarrow q$ ] is not a theorem, where $\neg p=p \rightarrow 0$. The algebraic models do not satisfy $0 \leq x$.
Commutativity and distributivity are OK, so we get involutive $\mathcal{C D} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L}$ (they satisfy $\neg \neg x=x$ ).

Intuitionistic logic deals with provability or constructibility. The algebraic models are Heyting algebras.

Many-valued logic allows different degrees of truth.
$[(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r] \leftrightarrow[p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r)]$ is not a theorem.
The algebraic models do not satisfy $x \wedge y=x \cdot y$.

Linear logic is resourse sensitive. $p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)$ [or $(p \cdot p) \rightarrow p]$ and $p \rightarrow(p \cdot p)$ are not theorems.
The algebraic models do not satisfy contraction $x \leq x^{2}$.

The deduction theorem for CPL states:
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\Sigma, \psi \vdash_{C P L} \phi \quad \text { iff } \quad \Sigma \vdash_{C P L} \psi \rightarrow \phi
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The deduction theorem for CPL states:

$$
\Sigma, \psi \vdash_{C P L} \phi \quad \text { iff } \quad \Sigma \vdash_{C P L} \psi \rightarrow \phi
$$

Theorem. Let $\Sigma \cup \Psi \cup\{\phi\} \subseteq F m_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ be a logic.
■ If $L$ is commutative, integral and contractive, then

$$
\Sigma, \Psi \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \phi \quad \text { iff } \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{i}\right) \rightarrow \phi,
$$

for some $n \in \omega$, and $\psi_{i} \in \Psi, i<n$.
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$$

for some $n \in \omega$, and $\psi_{i} \in \Psi, i<n$.

- If L is commutative, then

$$
\Sigma, \Psi \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \phi \text { iff } \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{i} \wedge 1\right)\right) \rightarrow \phi,
$$

for some $n \in \omega$, and $\psi_{i} \in \Psi, i<n$.

- If L is any substructural logic, then

$$
\Sigma, \Psi \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \phi \quad \text { iff } \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}\left(\psi_{i}\right)\right) \backslash \phi,
$$

for some $n \in \omega$, iterated conjugates $\gamma_{i}$ and $\psi_{i} \in \Psi, i<n$.
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## Applications to logic

■ Hilbert systems (Algebraization)

- PLDT (Congruence generation for RL's)
- Maximal consistent logics (Atoms in $\Lambda(\mathrm{RL})$ )
- Axiomatizing intersections of logics (Joins in $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\mathrm{RL})$ )

■ Translations (Glivenko, Kolmogorov) between logics, e.g., $\vdash_{C P L} \phi$ iff $\vdash_{\text {Int }} \neg \neg \phi$ (Structure of $\Lambda(\mathrm{RL})$ and nuclei)

| Algebra | $\leftrightarrow$ | Logic |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| congruence generation | $\leftrightarrow$ | PLDT |
| congruence extension | $\leftrightarrow$ | localDT |
| EDPC | $\leftrightarrow$ | deduction theorem |
| subreduct axiomatization | $\leftrightarrow$ | strong seperation (Hilbert) |
| decid. equational th. | $\leftrightarrow$ | decid. provability (Gentzen) |
| finite generation | $\leftrightarrow$ | cut elimination (+ fin. proof) |
| amalgamation | $\leftrightarrow$ | interpolation |
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## Lattice frames

A lattice frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets and $N$ is a binary relation from $W$ to $W^{\prime}$.

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a lattice, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}=(L, L, \leq)$ is a lattice frame.
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## Undecidability

## Lattice frames

A lattice frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets and $N$ is a binary relation from $W$ to $W^{\prime}$.

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a lattice, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}=(L, L, \leq)$ is a lattice frame.
For $X \subseteq W$ and $Y \subseteq W^{\prime}$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\triangleright} & =\left\{b \in W^{\prime}: x N b, \text { for all } x \in X\right\} \\
Y^{\triangleleft} & =\{a \in W: a N y, \text { for all } y \in Y\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Lemma. If $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice and $\gamma$ is a cl.op. on $\mathbf{L}$, then $\left(\gamma[L], \wedge, \vee_{\gamma}\right)$ is a lattice. [ $x \vee_{\gamma} y=\gamma(x \vee y)$.]
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A lattice frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets and $N$ is a binary relation from $W$ to $W^{\prime}$.

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a lattice, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}=(L, L, \leq)$ is a lattice frame.
For $X \subseteq W$ and $Y \subseteq W^{\prime}$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\triangleright} & =\left\{b \in W^{\prime}: x N b, \text { for all } x \in X\right\} \\
Y^{\triangleleft} & =\{a \in W: a N y, \text { for all } y \in Y\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maps ${ }^{\triangleright}: \mathcal{P}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ and ${ }^{\triangleleft}: \mathcal{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$ form a Galois connection. The map $\gamma_{N}: \mathcal{P}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$, where $\gamma_{N}(X)=X^{\triangleright \triangleleft}$, is a closure operator.

Lemma. If $\mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice and $\gamma$ is a cl.op. on $\mathbf{L}$, then $\left(\gamma[L], \wedge, \vee_{\gamma}\right)$ is a lattice. $\left[x \vee_{\gamma} y=\gamma(x \vee y)\right.$.]

Corollary. If $\mathbf{W}$ is a lattice frame then the Galois algebra $\mathbf{W}^{+}=\left(\gamma_{N}[\mathcal{P}(W)], \cap, \cup_{\gamma_{N}}\right)$ is a complete lattice.

If $L$ is a lattice, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}^{+}$is the Dedekind-MacNeille completion of $\mathbf{L}$ and $x \mapsto\{x\}^{\triangleleft}$ is an embedding.
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A residuated frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N, \circ, 1\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets $N \subseteq W \times W^{\prime},(W, \circ, 1)$ is a monoid and for all $x, y \in W$ and $w \in W^{\prime}$ there exist subsets $x\|w, w\| y \subseteq W^{\prime}$ such that
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Theorem. If $\mathbf{W}$ is a frame, then $\gamma_{N}$ is a nucleus on $\mathcal{P}(W, \circ,\{1\})$.

## Residuated frames

A residuated frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N, \circ, 1\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets $N \subseteq W \times W^{\prime},(W, \circ, 1)$ is a monoid and for all $x, y \in W$ and $w \in W^{\prime}$ there exist subsets $x\|w, w\| y \subseteq W^{\prime}$ such that

$$
(x \circ y) N w \Leftrightarrow y N(x \backslash w) \Leftrightarrow x N(w / / y)
$$

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a $\mathrm{RL}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}=(L, L, \leq, \cdot,\{1\})$ is a residuated frame.
A nucleus $\gamma$ on a residuated lattice $\mathbf{L}$ is a closure operator on $L$ such that $\gamma(x) \gamma(y) \leq \gamma(x y)$ (or $\gamma(\gamma(x) \gamma(y))=\gamma(x y)$ ).
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## Residuated frames

A residuated frame is a structure $\mathbf{W}=\left(W, W^{\prime}, N, \circ, 1\right)$ where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are sets $N \subseteq W \times W^{\prime},(W, \circ, 1)$ is a monoid and for all $x, y \in W$ and $w \in W^{\prime}$ there exist subsets $x \ w, w \| y \subseteq W^{\prime}$ such that

$$
(x \circ y) N w \Leftrightarrow y N(x \backslash w) \Leftrightarrow x N(w / / y)
$$

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a $\mathrm{RL}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}=(L, L, \leq, \cdot,\{1\})$ is a residuated frame.
A nucleus $\gamma$ on a residuated lattice $\mathbf{L}$ is a closure operator on $L$ such that $\gamma(x) \gamma(y) \leq \gamma(x y)$ (or $\gamma(\gamma(x) \gamma(y))=\gamma(x y)$ ).

Theorem. Given a $\mathrm{RL} \mathbf{L}=(L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1)$ and a nucleus on $\mathbf{L}$, the algebra $\mathbf{L}_{\gamma}=\left(L_{\gamma}, \wedge, \vee_{\gamma}, \cdot{ }_{\gamma}, \backslash, /, \gamma(1)\right)$, is a residuated lattice, where $x{ }_{\gamma} y=\gamma(x \cdot y), x \vee_{\gamma} y=\gamma(x \vee y)$.
Theorem. If $\mathbf{W}$ is a frame, then $\gamma_{N}$ is a nucleus on $\mathcal{P}(W, \circ,\{1\})$.

Corollary. If $\mathbf{W}$ is a residuated frame then the Galois algebra $\mathbf{W}^{+}=\mathcal{P}(W, \circ, 1)_{\gamma_{N}}$ is a residuated lattice. Moreover, for $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}, x \mapsto\{x\}^{\triangleleft}$ is an embedding.

## Formula hierarchy

- Polarity $\{\vee, \cdot, 1\},\{\wedge, \backslash, /\}$
- The sets $\mathcal{P}_{n}, \mathcal{N}_{n}$ of formulas are defined by: (0) $\mathcal{P}_{0}=\mathcal{N}_{0}=$ the set of variables
(P1) $\mathcal{N}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$
(P2) $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \Rightarrow \alpha \vee \beta, \alpha \cdot \beta, 1 \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$
(N1) $\mathcal{P}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{n+1}$
(N2) $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_{n+1} \Rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta \in \mathcal{N}_{n+1}$
(N3) $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_{n+1} \Rightarrow \alpha \backslash \beta, \beta / \alpha \in \mathcal{N}_{n+1}$
■ $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}=\left\langle\mathcal{N}_{n}\right\rangle_{\bigvee, \Pi} ; \mathcal{N}_{n+1}=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle_{\wedge, \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \backslash, / \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}$
■ $\mathcal{P}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \mathcal{N}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{n+1}, \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n}=\bigcup \mathcal{N}_{n}=F m$
- $\mathcal{P}_{1}$-reduced: $\bigvee \prod p_{i}$

■ $\mathcal{N}_{1}$-reduced: $\Lambda\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{n} \backslash r / q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{m}\right)$

$$
p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{n} q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{m} \leq r
$$
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## Congruences

Subvariety lattice (atoms)

■ Sequent: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \Rightarrow a_{0}$ $\left(x \Rightarrow a, a \in F m, x \in F m^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad y \circ a \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ x \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\mathrm{cut}) \quad \overline{a \Rightarrow a}(\mathrm{ld}) \\
\frac{y \circ a \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ a \wedge b \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} \ell) \quad \frac{y \circ b \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ a \wedge b \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} r) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \wedge b}(\wedge \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{y \circ a \circ z \Rightarrow c \quad y \circ b \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ a \vee b \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\mathrm{VL}) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a}{x \Rightarrow a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} \ell) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} r) \\
\frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad y \circ b \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ x \circ(a \backslash b) \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\backslash \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{a \circ x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \backslash b}(\backslash \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad y \circ b \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ(b / a) \circ x \circ z \Rightarrow c}(/ \mathrm{L}) \quad \frac{x \circ a \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow b / a}(/ \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{y \circ a \circ b \circ z \Rightarrow c}{y \circ a \cdot b \circ z \Rightarrow c}(\cdot \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a}{x \circ y \Rightarrow a \cdot b}(\cdot \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{y \circ z \Rightarrow a}{y \circ 1 \circ z \Rightarrow a}(1 \mathrm{~L}) \\
\frac{x \Rightarrow 1}{x \Rightarrow a}(1 \mathrm{R})
\end{gathered}
$$
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where $a, b, c \in F m, x, y, z \in F m^{*}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad u[a] \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c} \text { (cut) } \quad \overline{a \Rightarrow a} \text { (Id) } \\
& \frac{u[a] \Rightarrow c}{u[a \wedge b] \Rightarrow c}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} \ell) \quad \frac{u[b] \Rightarrow c}{u[a \wedge b] \Rightarrow c}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} r) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \wedge b}(\wedge \mathrm{R}) \\
& \frac{u[a] \Rightarrow c \quad u[b] \Rightarrow c}{u[a \vee b] \Rightarrow c}(\vee \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a}{x \Rightarrow a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} \ell) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} r) \\
& \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad u[b] \Rightarrow c}{u[x \circ(a \backslash b)] \Rightarrow c}(\backslash \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{a \circ x \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow a \backslash b}(\backslash \mathrm{R}) \\
& \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad u[b] \Rightarrow c}{u[(b / a) \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(/ \mathrm{L}) \quad \frac{x \circ a \Rightarrow b}{x \Rightarrow b / a}(/ \mathrm{R}) \\
& \frac{u[a \circ b] \Rightarrow c}{u[a \cdot b] \Rightarrow c}(\cdot \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{x \Rightarrow a \quad y \Rightarrow b}{x \circ y \Rightarrow a \cdot b}(\cdot \mathrm{R}) \\
& \frac{|u| \Rightarrow a}{u[1] \Rightarrow a}(1 \mathrm{~L}) \quad \overline{\varepsilon \Rightarrow 1}(1 \mathrm{R})
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

$$
\frac{u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow c}{u[y \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(e) \quad \text { (exchange) } \quad x y \leq y x
$$
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## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow c}{u[y \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(e) & \text { (exchange) }
\end{array} \quad x y \leq y x z=(c) \quad \text { (contraction) } \quad x \leq x^{2}
$$
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## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow c}{u[y \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(e) & \text { (exchange) } & x y \leq y x \\
\frac{u[x \circ x] \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(c) & \text { (contraction) } & x \leq x^{2} \\
\frac{|u| \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(i) & \text { (integrality) } & x \leq 1
\end{array}
$$
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## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow c}{u[y \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(e) & \text { (exchange) } & x y \leq y x \\
\frac{u[x \circ x] \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(c) & \text { (contraction) } & x \leq x^{2} \\
\frac{|u| \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(i) & \text { (integrality) } & x \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

We write $\mathbf{F L}_{\mathbf{e c}}$ for $\mathbf{F L}+(e)+(c)$.
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## Basic substructural logics

If the sequent $s$ is provable in $\mathbf{F L}$ from the set of sequents $S$, we write $S \vdash_{\text {FL }} s$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow c}{u[y \circ x] \Rightarrow c}(e) & \text { (exchange) } & x y \leq y x \\
\frac{u[x \circ x] \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(c) & \text { (contraction) } & x \leq x^{2} \\
\frac{|u| \Rightarrow c}{u[x] \Rightarrow c}(i) & \text { (integrality) } & x \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

We write $\mathbf{F L}_{\mathbf{e c}}$ for $\mathbf{F L}+(e)+(c)$.
Theorem. The systems HL and FL are equivalent via the maps $s(\psi)=(\Rightarrow \psi)$ and

Outline $\phi\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \Rightarrow a\right)=a_{n} \backslash\left(\ldots\left(a_{2} \backslash\left(a_{1} \backslash a\right)\right) \ldots\right)$;

## Examples of frames (FL)

Consider the Gentzen system FL (full Lambek calculus).
We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$, where
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## Examples of frames (FL)

Consider the Gentzen system FL (full Lambek calculus).
We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$, where

- ( $W, \circ, \varepsilon$ ) to be the free monoid over the set $F m$ of all formulas
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## Examples of frames (FL)

Consider the Gentzen system FL (full Lambek calculus).
We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$, where

- $(W, \circ, \varepsilon)$ to be the free monoid over the set Fm of all formulas
- $W^{\prime}=S_{W} \times F m$, where $S_{W}$ is the set of all unary linear polynomials $u[x]=y \circ x \circ z$ of $W$, and
■ $x N(u, a)$ iff $\vdash_{\text {FL }} u[x] \Rightarrow a$.
For

$$
(u, a) / / x=\left\{\left(u\left[\_\circ x\right], a\right)\right\} \text { and } x \Downarrow(u, a)=\left\{\left(u\left[x \circ \_\right], a\right)\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x \circ y N(u, a) & \text { iff } \vdash_{\text {FL }} u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow a \\
& \text { iff } \vdash_{\text {FL }} u[x \circ y] \Rightarrow a \\
& \text { iff } x N(u[-\circ y], a) \\
& \text { iff } y N\left(u\left[x \circ \_\right], a\right) .
\end{array}
$$
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We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$, where
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Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a residuated lattice and $\mathbf{B}$ a partial subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$.
We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$, where

- $(W, \cdot, 1)$ to be the submonoid of $\mathbf{A}$ generated by $B$,
- $W^{\prime}=S_{B} \times B$, where $S_{W}$ is the set of all unary linear polynomials $u[x]=y \circ x \circ z$ of $(W, \cdot, 1)$, and
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## Examples of frames (FEP)

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a residuated lattice and $\mathbf{B}$ a partial subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$.
We define the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$, where

- $(W, \cdot, 1)$ to be the submonoid of $\mathbf{A}$ generated by $B$,
- $W^{\prime}=S_{B} \times B$, where $S_{W}$ is the set of all unary linear polynomials $u[x]=y \circ x \circ z$ of $(W, \cdot, 1)$, and
- $x N(u, b)$ by $u[x] \leq_{\mathbf{A}} b$.

For

$$
(u, a) / / x=\left\{\left(u\left[\_\cdot x\right], a\right)\right\} \text { and } x \backslash(u, a)=\left\{\left(u\left[x \cdot \_\right], a\right)\right\}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x \cdot y N(u, a) & \text { iff } u[x \cdot y] \leq a \\
& \text { iff } x N(u[-\cdot y], a) \\
& \text { iff } y N(u[x \cdot], a) .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x N a \quad a N z}{x N z}(\mathrm{CUT}) \quad \frac{\overline{a N a}}{}(\mathrm{ld}) \\
\frac{x N a \quad b N z}{x \circ(a \backslash b) N z}(\backslash \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{a \circ x N b}{x N a \backslash b}(\backslash \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{x N a \quad b N z}{(b / a) \circ x N z}(/ \mathrm{L}) \quad \frac{x \circ a N b}{x N b / a}(/ \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{a \circ b N z}{a \cdot b N z}(\cdot \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{x N a \quad y N b}{x \circ y N a \cdot b}(\cdot \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{a N z}{a \wedge b N z}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} \ell) \quad \frac{b N z}{a \wedge b N z}(\wedge \mathrm{~L} r) \quad \frac{x N a \quad x N b}{x N a \wedge b}(\wedge \mathrm{R}) \\
\frac{a N z \quad b N z}{a \vee b N z}(\mathrm{VL}) \quad \frac{x N a}{x N a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} \ell) \quad \frac{x N b}{x N a \vee b}(\vee \mathrm{R} r) \\
\frac{\varepsilon N z}{1 N z}(1 \mathrm{~L}) \quad \frac{1 \mathrm{l})}{\varepsilon N 1}(1 \mathrm{R})
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Gentzen frames

The following properties hold for $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$ :

1. $W$ is a residuated frame
2. $\mathbf{B}$ is a (partial) algebra of the same type, $(\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F m}, \mathbf{B})$
3. $B$ generates $(W, \circ, \varepsilon)$ (as a monoid)
4. $W^{\prime}$ contains a copy of $B(b \leftrightarrow(i d, b))$
5. $N$ satisfies GN, for all $a, b \in B, x, y \in W, z \in W^{\prime}$.
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We call such pairs ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) Gentzen frames.
A cut-free Gentzen frame is not assumed to satisfy the (CUT)-rule.
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The following properties hold for $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$ :

1. $W$ is a residuated frame
2. $\mathbf{B}$ is a (partial) algebra of the same type, $(\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{F m}, \mathbf{B})$
3. $B$ generates ( $W, \circ, \varepsilon$ ) (as a monoid)
4. $W^{\prime}$ contains a copy of $B(b \leftrightarrow(i d, b))$
5. $N$ satisfies GN, for all $a, b \in B, x, y \in W, z \in W^{\prime}$.

We call such pairs ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) Gentzen frames.
A cut-free Gentzen frame is not assumed to satisfy the (CUT)-rule.

Theorem. Given a Gentzen frame ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ), the map $\left\}^{\triangleleft}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}, \quad b \mapsto\{b\}^{\triangleleft}\right.$ is a (partial) homomorphism. (Namely, if $a, b \in B$ and $a \bullet b \in B$ ( $\bullet$ is a connective) then $\left.\left\{a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b\right\}^{\triangleleft}=\{a\}^{\triangleleft} \bullet_{\mathbf{W}^{+}}\{b\}^{\triangleleft}\right)$.

## Proof

Key Lemma. Let ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) be a Gentzen frame. For all $a, b \in B, k, l \in \mathbf{W}^{+}$and for every connective $\bullet$, if $a \bullet b \in B$, $a \in X \subseteq\{a\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $b \in Y \subseteq\{b\}^{\triangleleft}$, then

1. $a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b \in X \bullet_{\mathbf{W}^{+}} Y \subseteq\left\{a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b\right\}^{\triangleleft}\left(1_{\mathbf{B}} \in 1_{\mathbf{W}^{+}} \subseteq\left\{1_{\mathbf{B}}\right\}^{\triangleleft}\right)$
2. In particular, $a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b \in\{a\}^{\triangleleft} \bullet_{\mathbf{W}^{+}}\{b\}^{\triangleleft} \subseteq\left\{a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b\right\}^{\triangleleft}$.
3. Furthermore, because of (CUT), we have equality.
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3. Furthermore, because of (CUT), we have equality.

Proof Let $\bullet=V$. If $x \in X$, then $x \in\{a\}^{\triangleleft}$; so $x N a$ and $x N a \vee b$, by $(\vee \mathrm{R} \ell)$; hence $x \in\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $X \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$. Likewise $Y \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$, so $X \cup Y \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $X \vee Y=\gamma(X \cup Y) \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$.
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Key Lemma. Let ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) be a Gentzen frame. For all $a, b \in B, k, l \in \mathbf{W}^{+}$and for every connective $\bullet$, if $a \bullet b \in B$, $a \in X \subseteq\{a\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $b \in Y \subseteq\{b\}^{\triangleleft}$, then

1. $a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b \in X \bullet_{\mathbf{W}^{+}} Y \subseteq\left\{a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b\right\}^{\triangleleft}\left(1_{\mathbf{B}} \in 1_{\mathbf{W}^{+}} \subseteq\left\{1_{\mathbf{B}}\right\}^{\triangleleft}\right)$
2. In particular, $a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b \in\{a\}^{\triangleleft} \bullet_{\mathbf{W}^{+}}\{b\}^{\triangleleft} \subseteq\left\{a \bullet_{\mathbf{B}} b\right\}^{\triangleleft}$.
3. Furthermore, because of (CUT), we have equality.

Proof Let $\bullet=V$. If $x \in X$, then $x \in\{a\}^{\triangleleft}$; so $x N a$ and $x N a \vee b$, by $(\vee R \ell)$; hence $x \in\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $X \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$. Likewise $Y \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$, so $X \cup Y \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$ and $X \vee Y=\gamma(X \cup Y) \subseteq\{a \vee b\}^{\triangleleft}$.

On the other hand, let $X \vee Y \subseteq\{z\}^{\triangleleft}$, for some $z \in W$. Then, $a \in X \subseteq X \vee Y \subseteq\{z\}^{\triangleleft}$, so $a N z$. Similarly, $b N z$, so $a \vee b N z$ by ( $\vee \mathrm{L}$ ), hence $a \vee b \in\{z\}^{\triangleleft}$. Thus, $a \vee b \in X \vee Y$.
We used that every closed set is an intersection of basic closed sets $\{z\}^{\triangleleft}$, for $z \in W$.

## Applications of frames

## DM-completion

For a residuated lattice L, we associated the Gentzen frame $\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{L}\right)$.

## DM-completion

For a residuated lattice L, we associated the Gentzen frame $\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{L}\right)$.

The underlying poset of $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}^{+}$is the Dedekind-MacNeille completion of the underlying poset reduct of $\mathbf{L}$.

Theorem. The map $x \mapsto x^{\triangleleft}$ is an embedding of $\mathbf{L}$ into $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}}^{+}$.

## Completeness - Cut elimination

For every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, let $\bar{f}: \mathbf{F m}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$ be the homomorphism that extends $\bar{f}(p)=\{f(p)\}^{\triangleleft}(p$ : variable.)
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For every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, let $\bar{f}: \mathbf{F m}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$ be the homomorphism that extends $\bar{f}(p)=\{f(p)\}^{\triangleleft}(p$ : variable.)
Corollary. If $(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B})$ is a cf Gentzen frame, for every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, we have $f(a) \in \bar{f}(a) \subseteq \downarrow f(a)$. If we have (CUT), then $\bar{f}(a)=\downarrow f(a)$.
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We define $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}} \models x \Rightarrow c$ by $f(x) N f(c)$, for all $f$.
Theorem. If $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}^{+} \models x \leq c$, then $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}} \models x \Rightarrow c$. Idea: For $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}, f(x) \in \bar{f}(x) \subseteq \bar{f}(c) \subseteq\{f(c)\}^{\triangleleft}$, so $f(x) N f(c)$.
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Corollary. If ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) is a cf Gentzen frame, for every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, we have $f(a) \in \bar{f}(a) \subseteq \downarrow f(a)$. If we have (CUT), then $\bar{f}(a)=\downarrow f(a)$.
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Corollary. The algebra $\mathbf{W}_{\text {FL }}^{+}$generates RL.
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For every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, let $\bar{f}: \mathbf{F m}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$ be the homomorphism that extends $\bar{f}(p)=\{f(p)\}^{\triangleleft}(p$ : variable.)
Corollary. If ( $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B}$ ) is a cf Gentzen frame, for every homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, we have $f(a) \in \bar{f}(a) \subseteq \downarrow f(a)$. If we have (CUT), then $\bar{f}(a)=\downarrow f(a)$.
We define $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}} \vDash x \Rightarrow c$ by $f(x) N f(c)$, for all $f$.
Theorem. If $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}^{+} \models x \leq c$, then $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}} \models x \Rightarrow c$. Idea: For $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}, f(x) \in \bar{f}(x) \subseteq \bar{f}(c) \subseteq\{f(c)\}^{\triangleleft}$, so $f(x) N f(c)$.
Corollary. FL is complete with respect to $\mathbf{W}_{\text {FL }}^{+}$.
Corollary. The algebra $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{FL}}^{+}$generates RL.
The frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}^{f}}$ corresponds to cut-free $\mathbf{F L}$.

Corollary (CE). FL and FL ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ prove the same sequents. Corollary. FL and the equational theory of RL are decidable.

## Finite model property

For $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{F L}}$, given $(x, z) \in W \times W^{\prime}$ (if $z=(u, c)$, then $u(x) \Rightarrow c$ is a sequent), we define $(x, z)^{\uparrow}$ as the smallest subset of $W \times W^{\prime}$ that contains $(x, z)$ and is closed upwards with respect to the rules of $\mathbf{F L}{ }^{\mathbf{f}}$. Note that $(x, z)^{\uparrow}$ is finite.
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The new frame $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ associated with $N^{\prime}=N \cup\left((y, v)^{\uparrow}\right)^{c}$ is residuated and Gentzen.
Clearly, $\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}$ is finite, so it has a finite domain $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right)$ and codomain $\operatorname{Cod}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right)$.
For every $z \notin \operatorname{Cod}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right),\{z\}^{\triangleleft}=W$. So, $\left\{\{z\}^{\triangleleft}: z \in W\right\}$ is finite and a basis for $\gamma_{N^{\prime}}$. So, $\mathbf{W}^{\prime+}$ is finite.
Moreover, if $u(x) \Rightarrow c$ is not provable in FL, then it is not valid in $\mathbf{W}^{\prime+}$.
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Corollary. The system FL has the finite model property.
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The new frame $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ associated with $N^{\prime}=N \cup\left((y, v)^{\uparrow}\right)^{c}$ is residuated and Gentzen.
Clearly, $\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}$ is finite, so it has a finite domain $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right)$ and codomain $\operatorname{Cod}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right)$.
For every $z \notin \operatorname{Cod}\left(\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right),\{z\}^{\triangleleft}=W$. So, $\left\{\{z\}^{\triangleleft}: z \in W\right\}$ is finite and a basis for $\gamma_{N^{\prime}}$. So, $\mathbf{W}^{\prime+}$ is finite.
Moreover, if $u(x) \Rightarrow c$ is not provable in FL, then it is not valid in $\mathbf{W}^{\prime+}$.

Corollary. The system FL has the finite model property.

Corollary. The variety of residuated lattices is generated by its finite members.

## FEP

A class of algebras $\mathcal{K}$ has the finite embeddability property (FEP) if for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$, every finite partial subalgebra $\mathbf{B}$ of A can be (partially) embedded in a finite $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$.

## FEP

A class of algebras $\mathcal{K}$ has the finite embeddability property (FEP) if for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$, every finite partial subalgebra $\mathbf{B}$ of A can be (partially) embedded in a finite $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$.
Theorem. Every variety of integral RL's axiomatized by equartions over $\{\mathrm{V}, \cdot, 1\}$ has the FEP.

- B embeds in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$via $\left\}^{\triangleleft}\right\}^{\triangleleft}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$
- $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{+}$is finite
- $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{+} \in \mathcal{V}$
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Corollary. These varieties are generated as quasivarieties by their finite members.

## FEP

A class of algebras $\mathcal{K}$ has the finite embeddability property (FEP) if for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$, every finite partial subalgebra $\mathbf{B}$ of A can be (partially) embedded in a finite $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{K}$.
Theorem. Every variety of integral RL's axiomatized by equartions over $\{\mathrm{V}, \cdot, 1\}$ has the FEP.

- B embeds in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$via $\left\}^{\triangleleft}\right\}^{\triangleleft}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$
- $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{+}$is finite
- $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{+} \in \mathcal{V}$

Corollary. These varieties are generated as quasivarieties by their finite members.
Corollary. The corresponding logics have the strong finite model property:
if $\Phi \nvdash \psi$, for finite $\Phi$, then there is a finite counter-model, namely there is $\mathbf{D} \in \mathcal{V}$ and a homomorphism $f: \mathbf{F m} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, such that $f(\phi)=1$, for all $\phi \in \Phi$, but $f(\psi) \neq 1$.

## Finiteness

Idea: As every element in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$is an intersection of basic elements. So it suffices to prove that there are only finitely many such elements.

## Finiteness

Idea: As every element in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$is an intersection of basic elements. So it suffices to prove that there are only finitely many such elements.

Idea: Replace the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}$ by one $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{M}}$, where it is easier to work.

## Finiteness

Idea: As every element in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$is an intersection of basic elements. So it suffices to prove that there are only finitely many such elements.
Idea: Replace the frame $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}$ by one $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{M}}$, where it is easier to work.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the free monoid with unit over the set $B$ and $f: M \rightarrow W$ the extension of the identity map.

$$
M \xrightarrow{f} W \stackrel{N}{-} W^{\prime}
$$
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## Equations 1

## Idea: Express equations over $\{\mathrm{V}, \cdot, 1\}$ at the frame level.

For an equation $\varepsilon$ over $\{\mathrm{V}, \cdot, 1\}$ we distribute products over joins to get $s_{1} \vee \cdots \vee s_{m}=t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}$. $s_{i}, t_{j}$ : monoid terms.

$$
s_{1} \vee \cdots \vee s_{m} \leq t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n} \text { and } t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n} \leq s_{1} \vee \cdots \vee s_{m}
$$

The first is equivalent to: $\&\left(s_{j} \leq t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}\right)$.
We proceed by example: $x^{2} y \leq x y \vee y x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)^{2} y \leq\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right) y \vee y\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right) \\
& x_{1}^{2} y \vee x_{1} x_{2} y \vee x_{2} x_{1} y \vee x_{2}^{2} y \leq x_{1} y \vee x_{2} y \vee y x_{1} \vee y x_{2} \\
& x_{1} x_{2} y \leq x_{1} y \vee x_{2} y \vee y x_{1} \vee y x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{x_{1} y \leq v \quad x_{2} y \leq v \quad y x_{1} \leq v \quad y x_{2} \leq v}{x_{1} x_{2} y \leq v}
$$
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For an equation $\varepsilon$ over $\{\mathrm{V}, \cdot, 1\}$ we distribute products over joins to get $s_{1} \vee \cdots \vee s_{m}=t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}$. $s_{i}, t_{j}$ : monoid terms.
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The first is equivalent to: $\&\left(s_{j} \leq t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}\right)$.
We proceed by example: $x^{2} y \leq x y \vee y x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)^{2} y \leq\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right) y \vee y\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right) \\
& x_{1}^{2} y \vee x_{1} x_{2} y \vee x_{2} x_{1} y \vee x_{2}^{2} y \leq x_{1} y \vee x_{2} y \vee y x_{1} \vee y x_{2} \\
& x_{1} x_{2} y \leq x_{1} y \vee x_{2} y \vee y x_{1} \vee y x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{x_{1} y \leq v \quad x_{2} y \leq v \quad y x_{1} \leq v \quad y x_{2} \leq v}{x_{1} x_{2} y \leq v}
$$

$$
\frac{x_{1} \circ y N z \quad x_{2} \circ y N z \quad y \circ x_{1} N z \quad y \circ x_{2} N z}{x_{1} \circ x_{2} \circ y N z} R(\varepsilon)
$$
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Theorem. If $(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B})$ is a Gentzen frame and $\varepsilon$ an equation over $\{V, \cdot, 1\}$, then $(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{B})$ satisfies $R(\varepsilon)$ iff $\mathbf{W}^{+}$satisfies $\varepsilon$.
(The linearity of the denominator of $R(\varepsilon)$ plays an important role in the proof.)
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Corollary If an equation over $\{\vee, \cdot, 1\}$ is valid in $\mathbf{A}$, then it is also valid in $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+}$, for every partial subalgebra $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathbf{A}$.

Consequently, $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}^{+} \in \mathcal{V}$.

## Structural rules

Given an equation $\varepsilon$ of the form $t_{0} \leq t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}$, where $t_{i}$ are $\{\cdot, 1\}$-terms we construct the rule $R(\varepsilon)$
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where the $t_{i}$ 's are evaluated in $(W, 0, \varepsilon)$. Such a rule is called linear if all variables in $t_{0}$ are distinct.
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Given an equation $\varepsilon$ of the form $t_{0} \leq t_{1} \vee \cdots \vee t_{n}$, where $t_{i}$ are $\{\cdot, 1\}$-terms we construct the rule $R(\varepsilon)$

$$
\frac{u\left[t_{1}\right] \Rightarrow a \quad \cdots \quad u\left[t_{n}\right] \Rightarrow a}{u\left[t_{0}\right] \Rightarrow a}(R(\varepsilon))
$$

where the $t_{i}$ 's are evaluated in $(W, 0, \varepsilon)$. Such a rule is called linear if all variables in $t_{0}$ are distinct.

Theorem. Every system obtained from FL by adding linear rules has the cut elimination property.

A set of rules of the form $R(\varepsilon)$ is called reducing if there is a complexity measure that decreases with upward applications of the rules (and the rules of FL).

Theorem. Every system obtained from FL by adding linear reducing rules is decidable. The subvariety of residuated lattices axiomatized by the corresponding equations has decidable equational theory.

## Amalgamation-Interpolation

Given algebras $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, maps $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and Gentzen frames $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{C}}$, we define the frame $\mathbf{W}$ on $B \cup C$, where $N$ is specified by $\Gamma_{\mathbf{B}}, \Gamma_{\mathbf{C}} N \beta$ iff there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\mathbf{C}} g(\alpha)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{B}}, f(\alpha) N_{\mathbf{B}} \beta$.

Theorem. W is a Gentzen frame. Hence ${ }^{\triangleleft}: \mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{+}$ is a quasihomomorhism.

Let $\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{W}^{+}$and $h, k$ the restrictions of $\triangleleft$ to $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$.
Corollary. The maps $h: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ and $k: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ are homomorphisms. Moreover, injections and surjections transfer: If $f$ is injective (surjective), so is $h$.

Corollary. Commutative RL has the amalgamation property ( $f, g$ injective) and the congruence extension property ( $f$ injective, $g$ surjective).

Corollary. $\mathrm{FL}_{\mathrm{e}}$ has the Craig interpolation propety and enjoys the Local Deduction Theorem.

## Applications

■ Cut-elimination (CE) and finite model property (FMP) for FL, (cyclic) InFL. Generation by finite members for RL, InFL

■ The finite embeddability property (FEP) for integral RL with $\{\vee, \cdot, 1\}$-axioms.
■ The strong separation property for HL
■ The above extend to the non-associative case, as well as with the addition of suitable structural rules

■ Amalgamation for commutative RL and interpolation for commutative FL

- (Craig) Interpolation, Robinson Property, disjunction property and Maximova variable separation property for $\mathrm{FL}_{\mathrm{e}}$

■ Super-amalgamation, Transferable injections, Congruence extension property for commutative RL

## Undecidability

## (Un)decidability

Theorem. The quasiequational theory of RL is undecidable. (Because we can embed semigroups/monoids.) The same holds for commutative RL.
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Theorem. The quasiequational theory of RL is undecidable. (Because we can embed semigroups/monoids.) The same holds for commutative RL.

Theorem. The equational theory of modular RL is undecidable. (By transfering the corresponding result for modular lattices).

Theorem. The equational theory of commutative, distributive RL is decidable.
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A class of algebras has solvable WP if all finitely presented algebras in it do.

For example, the varieties of semigroups, groups, $\ell$-groups, modular lattices have unsolvable WP.

Main result: The variety CDRL of commutative, distributive residuated lattices has unsolvable WP.
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Main idea: Embed semigroups, whose WP is unsolvable.
Residuated lattices have a semigroup operation •, but commutative semigroups have a decidable WP.

Alternative approach: Come up with another term definable operation $\odot$ in residuated lattices that is associative.

Intuition: Coordinization in projective geometry and modular lattices.


## Word problem (3)

We define an $n$-frame in a residuated lattice consisting of elements $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ and $c_{i j}$, for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and satisfying certain conditions (the $a_{i}$ 's are linearly independent, $c_{i j}$ is on the line generated by $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ etc.).
We use the operations $\vee$ and .
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Given a finitely presented semigroup $\mathbf{S}$ and a variety $\mathcal{V}$ of residuated lattices, we construct a finitely presented residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{V})$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

Given a vector space $\mathbf{W}$, its powerset forms a distributive residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}_{W}$.

## Theorem If

1. $\mathcal{V}$ is a variery of distributive residuated lattices containing $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{W}}$ for some infinite-dimentional vector space W and
2. $S$ is a finitely presented semigroup with unsolvable WP, then the residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{V})$ in $\mathcal{V}$ has unsolvable WP.

In the proof we show that for every pair of semigroup words $r, s$,
S satisfies $r^{\prime}(\bar{x})=s^{\cdot}(\bar{x})$ iff $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{V})$ satisfies $r^{\odot}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=s^{\odot}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)$.
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Given a vector space $\mathbf{W}$, its powerset forms a distributive residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}_{W}$.
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1. $\mathcal{V}$ is a variery of distributive residuated lattices containing $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{W}}$ for some infinite-dimentional vector space W and
2. $S$ is a finitely presented semigroup with unsolvable WP, then the residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{V})$ in $\mathcal{V}$ has unsolvable WP.

In the proof we show that for every pair of semigroup words $r, s$,
S satisfies $r^{\prime}(\bar{x})=s^{\cdot}(\bar{x})$ iff $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{V})$ satisfies $r^{\odot}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=s^{\odot}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)$.
Corollary The WP of CDRL is unsolvable.
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A quasi-equation is a formula of the form
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\left(s_{1}=t_{1} \& s_{2}=t_{2} \& \cdots \& s_{n}=t_{n}\right) \Rightarrow s=t
$$

The solvability/decidability of the WP states that given any set of equations $s_{1}=t_{1}, s_{2}=t_{2}, \ldots s_{n}=t_{n}$ there is an algorithm that decides all quasi-equations of the above form.
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\left(s_{1}=t_{1} \& s_{2}=t_{2} \& \cdots \& s_{n}=t_{n}\right) \Rightarrow s=t
$$

The solvability/decidability of the WP states that given any set of equations $s_{1}=t_{1}, s_{2}=t_{2}, \ldots s_{n}=t_{n}$ there is an algorithm that decides all quasi-equations of the above form.
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Corollary The equational theory of CDRL is decidable.
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