

# The sum of observables on a $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra

Jiří Janda, Yongming Li

56th Summer School on General Algebra and Ordered Sets

Špindlerův Mlýn

2-7 September, 2018

## Definition (Foulis, Bennett, 1994)

A partial algebra  $(E; \oplus, 0, 1)$  is called an *effect algebra* if  $0, 1$  are two distinct elements and  $\oplus$  is a partially defined binary operation on  $E$  which satisfy the following conditions for any  $x, y, z \in E$ :

- (Ei)  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$  if  $x \oplus y$  is defined,
- (Eii)  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$  if one side is defined,
- (Eiii) for every  $x \in E$  there exists a unique  $y \in E$  such that  $x \oplus y = 1$  (we put  $x' = y$ ),
- (Eiv) if  $1 \oplus x$  is defined then  $x = 0$ .

## Definition (Foulis, Bennett, 1994)

A partial algebra  $(E; \oplus, 0, 1)$  is called an *effect algebra* if  $0, 1$  are two distinct elements and  $\oplus$  is a partially defined binary operation on  $E$  which satisfy the following conditions for any  $x, y, z \in E$ :

- (Ei)  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$  if  $x \oplus y$  is defined,
- (Eii)  $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$  if one side is defined,
- (Eiii) for every  $x \in E$  there exists a unique  $y \in E$  such that  $x \oplus y = 1$  (we put  $x' = y$ ),
- (Eiv) if  $1 \oplus x$  is defined then  $x = 0$ .

A partial order  $\leq$  on  $E$  can be introduced by:

$$x \leq y \text{ iff } x \oplus z \text{ is defined and } x \oplus z = y.$$

# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

An effect algebra  $E$  is

- a *lattice* ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*) effect algebra if  $(E, \leq)$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*),

# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

An effect algebra  $E$  is

- a *lattice* ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*) effect algebra if  $(E, \leq)$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*),
- a *monotone  $\sigma$ -complete* if for every chain  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$  there exists  $a \in E$  such that  $a = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i$ .

# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

An effect algebra  $E$  is

- a *lattice* ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*) effect algebra if  $(E, \leq)$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*),
- a *monotone  $\sigma$ -complete* if for every chain  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$  there exists  $a \in E$  such that  $a = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i$ .
- $\sigma$ -*frame* effect algebra – if  $(E, \leq)$  is a  $\sigma$ -*frame*, i.e.,  $\sigma$ -complete lattice which for countable  $I$  satisfies

$$a \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a \wedge a_i).$$

# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

An effect algebra  $E$  is

- a *lattice* ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*) effect algebra if  $(E, \leq)$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*),
- a *monotone*  $\sigma$ -*complete* if for every chain  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$  there exists  $a \in E$  such that  $a = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i$ .
- $\sigma$ -*frame* effect algebra – if  $(E, \leq)$  is a  $\sigma$ -*frame*, i.e.,  $\sigma$ -complete lattice which for countable  $I$  satisfies

$$a \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a \wedge a_i).$$

## Remark

An effect algebra  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -*frame* if and only  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -*coframe*.



# Effect algebraic partial order

With respect to  $\leq$ , 1 is the top and 0 is the bottom element of  $E$ .

An effect algebra  $E$  is

- a *lattice* ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*) effect algebra if  $(E, \leq)$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -*lattice*),
- a *monotone*  $\sigma$ -*complete* if for every chain  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$  there exists  $a \in E$  such that  $a = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i$ .
- $\sigma$ -*frame* effect algebra – if  $(E, \leq)$  is a  $\sigma$ -*frame*, i.e.,  $\sigma$ -complete lattice which for countable  $I$  satisfies

$$a \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a \wedge a_i).$$

## Remark

An effect algebra  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -*frame* if and only if  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -*coframe*.

# Examples of effect algebras

- Boolean algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b^*$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$ ,

# Examples of effect algebras

- Boolean algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b^*$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$ ,
- MV-algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b'$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \boxplus b$ ,

# Examples of effect algebras

- Boolean algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b^*$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$ ,
- MV-algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b'$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \boxplus b$ ,
- Interval effect algebras – let  $(G; +, \leq)$  be a partially ordered commutative group,  $a \in G, 0 < a$ . Then  $[0, a] \subseteq G$  with  $x \oplus y = x + y$  iff  $x + y \leq a$  is an effect algebra.

# Examples of effect algebras

- Boolean algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b^*$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \vee b$ ,
- MV-algebras –  $a \oplus b$  is defined iff  $a \leq b'$  in which case  $a \oplus b = a \boxplus b$ ,
- Interval effect algebras – let  $(G; +, \leq)$  be a partially ordered commutative group,  $a \in G, 0 < a$ . Then  $[0, a] \subseteq G$  with  $x \oplus y = x + y$  iff  $x + y \leq a$  is an effect algebra.
- $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) := [0, I] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  – an interval on bounded self-adjoint linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , with the usual addition,  $A \leq B$  if  $(Ax, x) \leq (Bx, x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Definition

Let  $E$  be a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra. An *observable* is a map  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , then  $x(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigvee_i x(A_i)$ .

The least closed subset  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(\sigma(x)) = 1$  is called a *spectrum* of  $x$ .

## Definition

Let  $E$  be a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra. An *observable* is a map  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , then  $x(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigvee_i x(A_i)$ .

The least closed subset  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(\sigma(x)) = 1$  is called a *spectrum* of  $x$ .

An observable  $x$  is

- *bounded* if  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

## Definition

Let  $E$  be a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra. An *observable* is a map  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , then  $x(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigvee_i x(A_i)$ .

The least closed subset  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(\sigma(x)) = 1$  is called a *spectrum* of  $x$ .

An observable  $x$  is

- *bounded* if  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- *simple* if  $\sigma(x)$  is finite.



## Definition

Let  $E$  be a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra. An *observable* is a map  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , then  $x(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigvee_i x(A_i)$ .

The least closed subset  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(\sigma(x)) = 1$  is called a *spectrum* of  $x$ .

An observable  $x$  is

- *bounded* if  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- *simple* if  $\sigma(x)$  is finite.

By  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$ , we denote the set of all bounded observables on  $E$ .

## Definition

Let  $E$  be a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra. An *observable* is a map  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , then  $x(\bigcup_i A_i) = \bigvee_i x(A_i)$ .

The least closed subset  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(\sigma(x)) = 1$  is called a *spectrum* of  $x$ .

An observable  $x$  is

- *bounded* if  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- *simple* if  $\sigma(x)$  is finite.

By  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$ , we denote the set of all bounded observables on  $E$ .

## Example

Measurable functions (random variables)  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, p)$  induce  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $x(B) = f^{-1}(B)$ .

# Examples

## Example

Measurable functions (random variables)  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, p)$  induce  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $x(B) = f^{-1}(B)$ .

## Example

Observables on the prototype effect algebra  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$  bounded positive self-adjoint linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  between  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $I$  are (normalized) positive-operator valued measures (POVM).

Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

*Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set*

(1)  $B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t)).$

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

*Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set*

(1)  $B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t))$ .

*Then*

(2) *if  $t < s$ , then  $B_x(t) \leq B_x(s)$ ,*

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

*Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set*

(1)  $B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t))$ .

*Then*

(2) *if  $t < s$ , then  $B_x(t) \leq B_x(s)$ ,*

(3)  $\bigvee_{t < s} B_x(t) = B_x(s)$ ,

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set

(1)  $B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t))$ .

Then

(2) if  $t < s$ , then  $B_x(t) \leq B_x(s)$ ,

(3)  $\bigvee_{t < s} B_x(t) = B_x(s)$ ,

(4)  $\bigwedge_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 0, \bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 1$ .



## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set

$$(1) \quad B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t)).$$

Then

$$(2) \quad \text{if } t < s, \text{ then } B_x(t) \leq B_x(s),$$

$$(3) \quad \bigvee_{t < s} B_x(t) = B_x(s),$$

$$(4) \quad \bigwedge_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 0, \bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 1.$$

Moreover, for any system  $\{B(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq E$  which satisfies (2) – (4) there exists unique observable  $x$  on  $E$  for which (1) holds.

# Spectral resolutions

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, Kuková, 2014)

Let  $x$  be an observable on a  $\sigma$ -lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Let us set

(1)  $B_x(t) := x((-\infty, t))$ .

Then

(2) if  $t < s$ , then  $B_x(t) \leq B_x(s)$ ,

(3)  $\bigvee_{t < s} B_x(t) = B_x(s)$ ,

(4)  $\bigwedge_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 0, \bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{R}} B_x(t) = 1$ .

Moreover, for any system  $\{B(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq E$  which satisfies (2) – (4) there exists unique observable  $x$  on  $E$  for which (1) holds.

We call  $\{B_x(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a *spectral resolution* of  $x$ .

## Definition (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$  be bounded observables on a monotone  $\sigma$ -complete effect algebra  $E$ . A relation  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$  given by

$$x \leq y \text{ iff } B_y(t) \leq_E B_x(t)$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a partial order, so called *Olson order*.

# The sum of observables

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Let:

$$B_{x+y}(t) := \bigvee_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r))$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique bounded observable  $z$  on  $E$  such that  $B_z(t) = B_{x+y}(t)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We call  $z$  the sum of  $x, y$ .

# The sum of observables

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Let:*

$$B_{x+y}(t) := \bigvee_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r))$$

*for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique bounded observable  $z$  on  $E$  such that  $B_z(t) = B_{x+y}(t)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We call  $z$  the sum of  $x, y$ .*

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra and  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$  the set of all bounded observables on  $E$ . Then  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$  is a distributive lattice and a lattice ordered commutative semigroup w.r.t. Olson order and the sum of observables.*

# The sum of observables

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Let:*

$$B_{x+y}(t) := \bigvee_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r))$$

*for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique bounded observable  $z$  on  $E$  such that  $B_z(t) = B_{x+y}(t)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We call  $z$  the sum of  $x, y$ .*

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij, 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra and  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$  the set of all bounded observables on  $E$ . Then  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$  is a distributive lattice and a lattice ordered commutative semigroup w.r.t. Olson order and the sum of observables.*

In the rest of talk,  $E$  will denote a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra.

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Proposition

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ ,  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a_x, b_x)$ ,  $\sigma(y) \subseteq [a_y, b_y)$  for some  $a_x, b_x, a_y, b_y \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $K := \mathbb{Q} \cap (a_x \vee t - c_y, t - a_y \wedge c_x)$ . Then

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{r \in K} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r)).$$

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Proposition

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ ,  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a_x, b_x)$ ,  $\sigma(y) \subseteq [a_y, b_y)$  for some  $a_x, b_x, a_y, b_y \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $K := \mathbb{Q} \cap (a_x \vee t - c_y, t - a_y \wedge c_x)$ . Then

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{r \in K} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r)).$$

We have

$$B_x(t) = x((\infty, t)).$$



# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Proposition

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ ,  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [a_x, b_x)$ ,  $\sigma(y) \subseteq [a_y, b_y)$  for some  $a_x, b_x, a_y, b_y \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $K := \mathbb{Q} \cap (a_x \vee t - c_y, t - a_y \wedge c_x)$ . Then

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{r \in K} (B_x(r) \wedge B_y(t - r)).$$

We have

$$B_x(t) = x((\infty, t)).$$

Let us set

$$B_x(t] = x((\infty, t]).$$

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $p \in \sigma(x)$  there exists  $M_p \subseteq M$  such that  $p = \bigwedge M_p$ . Then*

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{m \in M} (B_x(m] \wedge B_y(t - m)).$$

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $p \in \sigma(x)$  there exists  $M_p \subseteq M$  such that  $p = \bigwedge M_p$ . Then*

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{m \in M} (B_x(m] \wedge B_y(t - m)).$$

We can take  $M = \sigma(x)$ , i.e., the expression becomes finite when  $x$  is a simple observable.

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Corollary

*Let  $x, y$  be bounded observables on a  $\sigma$ -distributive lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Then there exists at most countable set  $M \subseteq \sigma(x)$  such that*

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{m \in M} (B_x(m) \wedge B_y(t - m)).$$

# Expressions of the sum of observables

## Corollary

*Let  $x, y$  be bounded observables on a  $\sigma$ -distributive lattice effect algebra  $E$ . Then there exists at most countable set  $M \subseteq \sigma(x)$  such that*

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigvee_{m \in M} (B_x(m) \wedge B_y(t - m)).$$

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then*

$$B_{x+y}(t) = \bigwedge_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (B_x(r) \vee B_y(t - r)) = \bigwedge_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (B_x(r) \vee B_y(t - r)).$$

# Continuity of the spectral resolution

- For an observable  $x$ , we have  $\bigvee_{s < t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Continuity of the spectral resolution

- For an observable  $x$ , we have  $\bigvee_{s < t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- We say that  $x$  has a *continuous spectral resolution* if for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bigwedge_{s > t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$ .

# Continuity of the spectral resolution

- For an observable  $x$ , we have  $\bigvee_{s < t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- We say that  $x$  has a *continuous spectral resolution* if for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bigwedge_{s > t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$ .
- $x$  has a continuous spectral resolution iff for every  $t \in \sigma(x)$ ,  $x(\{t\}) = 0$ .



# Continuity of the spectral resolution

- For an observable  $x$ , we have  $\bigvee_{s < t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- We say that  $x$  has a *continuous spectral resolution* if for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bigwedge_{s > t} B_x(s) = B_x(t)$ .
- $x$  has a continuous spectral resolution iff for every  $t \in \sigma(x)$ ,  $x(\{t\}) = 0$ .

## Theorem

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$  such as  $x$  has a continuous spectral resolution and  $y$  is simple. Then  $x + y$  has a continuous spectral resolution.

# Continuity of the spectral resolution

Let  $I$  be a set and  $\{J_i \mid i \in I\}$  a family of non-empty sets. A complete lattice  $L$  is *completely distributive* if for any subset  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  of  $L$  we have  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{j \in J} x_{ij}) = \bigvee_{f: I \rightarrow J} (\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_{if(i)})$ .

# Continuity of the spectral resolution

Let  $I$  be a set and  $\{J_i \mid i \in I\}$  a family of non-empty sets. A complete lattice  $L$  is *completely distributive* if for any subset  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  of  $L$  we have  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{j \in J} x_{ij}) = \bigvee_{f: I \rightarrow J} (\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_{if(i)})$ .

## Theorem

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$  be bounded observables on a completely distributive lattice effect algebra  $E$ . If  $x$  has a continuous spectral resolution, then  $x + y$  has a continuous spectral resolution.

# Spectra of the sum

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then  $\sigma(x + y) \subseteq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ .*

# Spectra of the sum

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then  $\sigma(x + y) \subseteq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ .*

## Corollary

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$  such that  $|\sigma(x)| = m$  and  $|\sigma(y)| = n$ . Then  $\sigma(x + y) \subseteq \{r + s \mid r \in \sigma(x), s \in \sigma(y)\}$ , that is,  $|\sigma(x + y)| \leq m \cdot n$ .*

There exists an example of  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$  such that  $|\sigma(x + y)| = m \cdot n$ .

# Extremal points of the spectrum of the sum

## Theorem

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . TFAE:

- 1.) if  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $B_x(p) > 0, B_y(q) > 0$ , then  $B_x(p) \wedge B_y(q) > 0$ ,
- 2.)  $\bigwedge \sigma(x) + \bigwedge \sigma(y) \in \sigma(x + y)$ ,
- 3.)  $\bigwedge \sigma(x) + \bigwedge \sigma(y) = \bigwedge \sigma(x + y)$ .

Moreover, TFAE:

- 1.) if  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $p < \bigvee \sigma(x), q < \bigvee \sigma(y)$ , then  $B_x(p) \vee B_y(q) < 1$ ,
- 2.)  $\bigvee \sigma(x) + \bigvee \sigma(y) \in \sigma(x + y)$ ,
- 3.)  $\bigvee \sigma(x) + \bigvee \sigma(y) = \bigvee \sigma(x + y)$ .

# Inverse elements

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping defined by  $f(t) := -t$ . Define  $-x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  by  $-x(A) := x(f(A))$ ,

# Inverse elements

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping defined by  $f(t) := -t$ . Define  $-x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  by  $-x(A) := x(f(A))$ ,
- If  $x(A) \wedge x(A)' = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , then we call  $x$  a *sharp* observable.



# Inverse elements

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping defined by  $f(t) := -t$ . Define  $-x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  by  $-x(A) := x(f(A))$ ,
- If  $x(A) \wedge x(A)' = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , then we call  $x$  a *sharp* observable.

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra. The set of sharp bounded observables  $SBO(E) \subseteq BO(E)$  is with respect to Olson order and the sum of observables a lattice-ordered group in which  $-x$  is the inverse element of  $x$  and the neutral element  $q_0$  is given by  $\sigma(q_0) = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $SBO(E)$  is a subsemigroup and a sublattice of the lattice-ordered semigroup  $BO(E)$ .*

# Inverse elements

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping defined by  $f(t) := -t$ . Define  $-x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$  by  $-x(A) := x(f(A))$ ,
- If  $x(A) \wedge x(A)' = 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , then we call  $x$  a *sharp* observable.

## Theorem (Dvurečenskij 2016)

*Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame effect algebra. The set of sharp bounded observables  $SB\mathcal{O}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{BO}(E)$  is with respect to Olson order and the sum of observables a lattice-ordered group in which  $-x$  is the inverse element of  $x$  and the neutral element  $q_0$  is given by  $\sigma(q_0) = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $SB\mathcal{O}(E)$  is a subsemigroup and a sublattice of the lattice-ordered semigroup  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then  $(-x) + (-y) = -(x + y)$ .*

# Decomposition to a sharp and a meager part

By  $\hat{x}$  ( $\tilde{x}$  respectively) we denote the least (greatest) sharp observable greater (less) than  $x$ . We say that  $x$  is a *meager* observable if  $\sigma(\tilde{x}) = \{a\}$  and a *dense* observable if  $\sigma(\hat{x}) = \{b\}$ .

# Decomposition to a sharp and a meager part

By  $\hat{x}$  ( $\tilde{x}$  respectively) we denote the least (greatest) sharp observable greater (less) than  $x$ . We say that  $x$  is a *meager* observable if  $\sigma(\tilde{x}) = \{a\}$  and a *dense* observable if  $\sigma(\hat{x}) = \{b\}$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $x \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then  $x = \tilde{x} + x_m = \hat{x} + x_d$  where  $x_m$  is a meager observable and  $x_d$  a dense observable. Moreover, we have  $x_m = -(-x)_d$  and  $\bigwedge \sigma(x_m) = \bigvee \sigma(x_d) = 0$ .*

## Decomposition to a sharp and a meager part

By  $\hat{x}$  ( $\tilde{x}$  respectively) we denote the least (greatest) sharp observable greater (less) than  $x$ . We say that  $x$  is a *meager* observable if  $\sigma(\tilde{x}) = \{a\}$  and a *dense* observable if  $\sigma(\hat{x}) = \{b\}$ .

### Theorem






*Let  $x \in \mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Then  $x = \tilde{x} + x_m = \hat{x} + x_d$  where  $x_m$  is a meager observable and  $x_d$  a dense observable. Moreover, we have  $x_m = -(-x)_d$  and  $\bigwedge \sigma(x_m) = \bigvee \sigma(x_d) = 0$ .*

### Theorem

*Subsets  $\mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{BO}(E), \mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{BO}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{BO}(E)$  of meager, resp. dense, observables such that  $\bigwedge \sigma(x_m) = 0$ , resp.  $\bigvee \sigma(x_d) = 0$ , forms subsemigroups and sublattices of the lattice-ordered semigroup  $\mathcal{BO}(E)$ . Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{BO}(E) \cong \mathcal{SBO}(E) \oplus \mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{BO}(E) \cong \mathcal{SBO}(E) \oplus \mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{BO}(E).$$

# References

-  Dvurečenskij, A., Pulmannová, S.: “New Trends in Quantum Structures”, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Kluwer Science, Bratislava, 2000, 541 + xvi pp.
-  Dvurečenskij, A., Kuková, M: *Observables on Quantum Structures*, Inf. Sci. **262**, 215–222 (2014).
-  Dvurečenskij, A.: *Olson Order of Quantum Observables*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **55**, 4896–4912 (2016).
-  Dvurečenskij, A.: *Sum of observables on MV-effect algebras*, Soft Computing DOI: 10.1007/s00500-017-2741-1.
-  Janda, J., Xie, Y.: *The spectrum of the sum of observables on  $\sigma$ -complete MV-effect algebras*, Soft Comput. (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3078-0>.

Thank you for your attention!