



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
WIEN

On a stronger reconstruction notion for clones

Mike Behrisch[×] Edith Vargas-García[†]

[×]Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, Algebra Group,
TU Wien

[†]Departamento Académico de Matemáticas
ITAM, México, D.F.

6th September 2018 • Špindlerův Mlýn

Warning 1

- Some model theory may be involved.
- I am not a model theorist.

Disclaimer

Warning 1

- Some model theory may be involved.
- I am not a model theorist.

Warning 2: even though this is a Summer School

- Some of my definitions may **not** be standard. . .
- Go and read a book on model theory for those. . .
- Don't use my queer perspective on some aspects of model theory as a reference.

What is reconstruction?

Model theoretic concept:

Given $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$, what can be said about \mathbb{A} ?

$\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ can be considered as

- abstract group (alg.)
- topological group (alg. + top.) (Tichonov (=pointw. conv.) top.)
- permutation group ((alg. + top. +) act.)

$$[(A, \text{Aut } \mathbb{A})]_{\cong} \subseteq [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong, \text{top}} \subseteq [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$$

Reconstruction up to fo-interdefinability

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction up to fo-interdefinability wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [(A, \text{Aut } \mathbb{A})]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-interdef}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition (Cor. of Ryll-Nardzewski's Thm, 1959)

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}$ \aleph_0 -categorical:

$(A, \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}) \cong (B, \text{Aut } \mathbb{B}) \iff \mathbb{A} \overset{\text{fo-interdefinable}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{B}$

In other words

\aleph_0 -categorical str: reconstruction up to fo-interdefinability
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of \aleph_0 -categorical structures

Reconstruction up to fo-biinterpretability

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction up to fo-biinterpretability wrt \mathcal{K}

$$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong, \text{top}} \text{ determines } [\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}} \text{ wrt. } \mathcal{K}.$$

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Theorem (Coquand, 1980s)

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}$ \aleph_0 -categorical:

$$\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \overset{\text{fo-biinterpretable}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{B}$$

In other words

\aleph_0 -categorical str: reconstruction up to fo-biinterpretability
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of \aleph_0 -categorical structures

“Reconstruction”

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition (folklore)

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ \mathbb{A} has **small index property** (SIP)

$\implies \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterpretable}} \mathbb{B}$

In other words

\aleph_0 -categorical str with SIP: reconstruction
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of \aleph_0 -categorical structures

SIP and automatic homeomorphicity

Closed $G \leq \text{Sym } A$, $|A| = \aleph_0$

G has SIP $\iff \forall U \leq G: |G/U| \leq \aleph_0 \implies U$ is open
($G_X \subseteq U$ for some finite $X \subseteq A$)

\mathbb{A} has SIP $\iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ has SIP

Closed $G \leq \text{Sym } A$ has **autom. homeomorphicity/continuity**[†] \iff

$\forall H \leq \text{Sym } B$ closed, $|B| = |A|$:[‡] $\forall \xi: G \cong H: \xi$ homeo/cont

Proposition

For $G \leq \text{Sym } A$ closed, $|A| = \aleph_0$:

G SIP $\iff G$ has autom. cont.[†] $\xLeftrightarrow{\text{Lascar}}$ G has autom. homeo.

[†] should be called “weak automatic continuity” since “automatic continuity” requires this even for any homomorphism $\xi: G \rightarrow H$, not just $G \cong H$

[‡] some people restrict this only to $|A| = \aleph_0$

“Reconstruction revisited”

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has SIP} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}} \mathbb{B}$

In other words

$\aleph_0\text{-categorical str with automatic homeo:}$ reconstruction
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of $\aleph_0\text{-categorical structures}$

“Reconstruction revisited”

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition (replace SIP

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}} \mathbb{B}$

In other words

$\aleph_0\text{-categorical str with automatic homeo:}$ reconstruction
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of $\aleph_0\text{-categorical structures}$

“Reconstruction revisited”

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition (replace SIP + Coquand’s Thm)

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterpbl}} \mathbb{B} \iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B}$

In other words

$\aleph_0\text{-categorical str with automatic homeo:}$ reconstruction
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of $\aleph_0\text{-categorical structures}$

“Reconstruction revisited”

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ has reconstruction wrt \mathcal{K}

$\iff [\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-biint}}$ wrt. \mathcal{K} .

(allow only structures from \mathcal{K} on carriers of the same size)

Proposition (replace SIP + Coquand’s Thm)

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \overset{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{B}$

In other words

$\aleph_0\text{-categorical str with automatic homeo:}$ reconstruction
wrt the class \mathcal{K} of $\aleph_0\text{-categorical structures}$

The advantage of removing SIP

- SIP needs index \implies only makes sense for groups
- automatic homeomorphicity: available for (endomorphism) **monoids**, (polymorphism) **clones**
- from structures \rightsquigarrow closed groups, monoids, clones, i.e., from model theory \rightsquigarrow algebra

Instead of

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}} \mathbb{B}$

Proposition (keeping structures out of the game)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies [G]_{\cong} = [G]_{\cong_{\text{top}}}$
wrt. the class of automorphism groups of \aleph_0 -categorical str

The advantage of removing SIP

- SIP needs index \implies only makes sense for groups
- automatic homeomorphicity: available for (endomorphism) **monoids**, (polymorphism) **clones**
- from structures \rightsquigarrow closed groups, monoids, clones, i.e., from model theory \rightsquigarrow algebra

Instead of

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:}$ $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}} \mathbb{B}$

Proposition (trivial, hard part in the assumption)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies [G]_{\cong} = [G]_{\cong_{\text{top}}}$
~~wrt. the class of automorphism groups of \aleph_0 -categorical str~~

The advantage of removing SIP

- SIP needs index \implies only makes sense for groups
- automatic homeomorphicity: available for (endomorphism) **monoids**, (polymorphism) **clones**
- from structures \rightsquigarrow closed groups, monoids, clones, i.e., from model theory \rightsquigarrow algebra

Instead of

$\forall \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \text{ } \aleph_0\text{-categorical:} \quad \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \text{ has } \text{autom. homeo.} \implies$
 $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \cong_{\text{top}} \text{Aut } \mathbb{B} \iff \mathbb{A} \xleftrightarrow{\text{fo-biinterprtbl}} \mathbb{B}$

Proposition (still trivial, but well...)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ has **autom. homeo.** wrt $\mathcal{K} \implies [G]_{\cong} = [G]_{\cong_{\text{top}}} \text{ wrt } \mathcal{K}$
 $\mathcal{K} \dots \dots$ the class of automorphism groups of \aleph_0 -categorical str

Towards something stronger

In analogy to automatic homeomorphicity:

Closed $G \leq \text{Sym } A$ has **automatic action compatibility** \iff

$\forall H \leq \text{Sym } B$ closed, $|B| = |A|$: $\forall \xi: G \cong H$:

ξ induced by perm. grp. isom.

i.e.: $\exists \theta: A \rightarrow B$ bij. $\forall g \in G: \xi(g) = \theta \circ g \circ \theta^{-1}$

Proposition (trivial)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ has **autom. action comp.** wrt \mathcal{K}

$\implies [G]_{\cong} = [(A, G)]_{\cong}$ wrt \mathcal{K}

Towards something stronger

In analogy to automatic homeomorphicity:

Closed $G \leq \text{Sym } A$ has **automatic action compatibility** \iff

$\forall H \leq \text{Sym } B$ closed, $|B| = |A|$: $\forall \xi: G \cong H$:

ξ induced by perm. grp. isom.

i.e.: $\exists \theta: A \rightarrow B$ bij. $\forall g \in G: \xi(g) = \theta \circ g \circ \theta^{-1}$

Proposition (back to structures by Ryll-Nardzewski)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ has **autom. action comp.** wrt \mathcal{K}

$\implies [G]_{\cong} = [(A, G)]_{\cong}$ wrt \mathcal{K}

$\implies [G]_{\cong}$ determines $[\mathbb{A}]_{\text{fo-interdef}}$ wrt \mathcal{K}

for \mathcal{K} the class of (automorphism groups of) \aleph_0 -categorical str

Are there any examples with this strong property?

Theorem (Rubin, 1994)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ for \mathbb{A} with weak $\forall\exists$ -interpretations
 $\implies G$ has automatic action compatibility wrt \mathcal{K}
for \mathcal{K} the class of automorphism groups of
 \aleph_0 -categorical str without algebraicity

Theorem (Paolini & Shelah, 2017)

$G = \text{Aut } \mathbb{A} \in \mathcal{K}$
 $\implies G$ has automatic action compatibility wrt \mathcal{K}
for \mathcal{K} the class of automorphism groups of
 \aleph_0 -categorical str without algebraicity and strong SIP

Automatic action compatibility for monoids / clones

- Given closed clone $F \leq \mathcal{O}_A$,
- $M = F^{(1)}$ closed monoid,
- $G \subseteq M$ group of invertible monoid elements,
- How to extend **automatic action compatibility**:

$$G \rightsquigarrow M \rightsquigarrow F?$$

Groups to monoids

Lifting lemma

Suppose

- $M \leq A^A$, $M' \leq B^B$ closed transformation monoids
- $G \subseteq M$, $G' \subseteq M'$ groups of invertibles
- G dense: $M \subseteq \overline{G}$
- $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ monoid isomorphism
- $\varphi|_{G'}: G \rightarrow G'$ is **induced** by bijection $\theta: A \rightarrow B$
- $\forall \psi: M \cong \overline{G'}: \psi|_G = \varphi|_G \implies \psi = \varphi$

Then **φ is induced by θ** : $\forall f \in M: \varphi(f) = \theta \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$.

Groups to monoids

Lifting lemma

Suppose

- $M \leq A^A$, $M' \leq B^B$ closed transformation monoids
- $G \subseteq M$, $G' \subseteq M'$ groups of invertibles
- G dense: $M \subseteq \overline{G}$
- $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ monoid isomorphism
- $\varphi|_{G'}: G \rightarrow G'$ is induced by bijection $\theta: A \rightarrow B$
- $\forall \psi: M \rightarrow \overline{G'}: \psi|_G = \varphi|_G \implies \psi = \varphi$

Then φ is induced by θ : $\forall f \in M: \varphi(f) = \theta \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$.

Corollary for $G \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{G} \subseteq A^A$ as above

If $\forall G' \subseteq M' \leq B^B, |B| = |A| \forall \varphi: M \cong M' \forall \psi: M \cong \overline{G'}:$

$\psi|_G = \varphi|_G \implies \psi = \varphi$, then

G has autom action comp. $\implies M$ has autom action comp.

Groups to monoids

Lifting lemma

Suppose

- $M \leq A^A$, $M' \leq B^B$ closed transformation monoids
- $G \subseteq M$, $G' \subseteq M'$ groups of invertibles
- G dense: $M \subseteq \overline{G}$
- $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ monoid isomorphism
- $\varphi|_{G'}^G: G \rightarrow G'$ is induced by bijection $\theta: A \rightarrow B$
- $\forall \psi: M \rightarrow \overline{G'}: \psi|_G = \varphi|_G \implies \psi = \varphi$

Then φ is induced by θ : $\forall f \in M: \varphi(f) = \theta \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$.

Corollary for $G \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{G} \subseteq A^A$ as above

If M has automatic homeomorphicity, then

G has autom action comp. $\implies M$ has autom action comp.

Groups to monoids

Lifting lemma

Suppose

- $M \leq A^A$, $M' \leq B^B$ closed transformation monoids
- $G \subseteq M$, $G' \subseteq M'$ groups of invertibles
- G dense: $M \subseteq \overline{G}$
- $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ monoid isomorphism
- $\varphi|_{G'}^G: G \rightarrow G'$ is induced by bijection $\theta: A \rightarrow B$
- $\forall \psi: M \rightarrow \overline{G'}: \psi|_G = \varphi|_G \implies \psi = \varphi$

Then φ is induced by θ : $\forall f \in M: \varphi(f) = \theta \circ f \circ \theta^{-1}$.

Corollary for $G \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{G} \subseteq A^A$ as above

If $\forall \varphi: M \rightarrow M$ inj: $\varphi|_G = \text{id}_M|_G \implies \varphi = \text{id}_M$, then

G has autom action comp. $\implies M$ has autom action comp.

Monoids to clones

Theorem

Suppose for **any** sets A, B

- $F \leq \mathcal{O}_A, F' \leq \mathcal{O}_B$ clones (closedness not needed)
- $\xi: F \twoheadrightarrow F'$ **surjective** clone **homomorphism**
- $F^{(1)}$ weakly directed
 $(\forall a_1, a_2 \in A \exists a_0 \in A \exists f_1, f_2 \in F^{(1)}: f_1(a_0) = a_1 \wedge f_2(a_0) = a_2)$
- $\xi|_{F^{(1)}}: F^{(1)} \twoheadrightarrow F'^{(1)}$ induced by bijection $\theta: A \rightarrow B$

Then **ξ is induced by θ** : $(\implies \xi \text{ unif. homeo})$

$$\forall f \in F: \quad \xi(f) = \theta \circ f \circ (\theta^{-1} \times \dots \times \theta^{-1}).$$

Corollary for closed $F \leq \mathcal{O}_A$ with $F^{(1)}$ weakly directed

$F^{(1)}$ has **autom action comp.** $\implies F$ has **autom action comp.**

Making things concrete

Corollary

- $F \leq \mathcal{O}_A$ closed clone, $M := F^{(1)}$ weakly directed
- $G \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{G}$ dense group of invertibles
- \mathbb{A} \aleph_0 -categorical, no algebraicity, weak $\forall\exists$ -interpretations
- $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A} = G$
- $\forall \varphi: M \rightarrow M \text{ inj: } \varphi|_G = \text{id}_M|_G \implies \varphi = \text{id}_M$, or
 M has automatic homeomorphicity (wrt technical)

$\implies F$ has **automatic action compatibility** wrt \mathcal{C} ,

$\implies M$ has **automatic action compatibility** wrt \mathcal{K} ,

$\mathcal{C} \dots$ all polymorphism clones of \aleph_0 -categorical str w/o algebraicity

\mathcal{K} . all endomorphism monoids of \aleph_0 -categorical str w/o algebraicity

Making things very concrete

Let \mathbb{A} be one of

- $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$
- the random (Rado) graph
- the random directed graph
- the countable universal homogeneous tournament
- the countable universal k -uniform hypergraph for $k \geq 2$
- the countable universal homogeneous \mathbb{K}_n -free graph, for $n \geq 3$
- any (countable universal homogeneous) Henson digraph

$\implies M = \text{Emb } \mathbb{A}$ has **automatic action compatibility**

wrt endomorphism monoids of \aleph_0 -categ. str. without algebraicity

\implies every $F = \overline{F} \leq \mathcal{O}_A$ with $F^{(1)} = \text{Emb } \mathbb{A}$, e.g. $F = \text{Pol } \mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$,
has **automatic action compatibility**

wrt polymorphism clones of \aleph_0 -categ. str. without algebraicity

$\implies \text{Pol}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ has **automatic action compatibility**

Open problems

Problem 1

Which of $(\mathbb{Q}, \text{betw})$, $(\mathbb{Q}, \text{circ})$ and (\mathbb{Q}, sep) have a weak $\forall\exists$ -interpretation?

Problem 2

For which \mathbb{A} among

- random strict poset
- countable universal homogeneous bipartite graph
- countable dense local order \mathbb{S}_2
- countable myopic local order \mathbb{S}_3

does $\text{Emb } \mathbb{A}$ have automatic homeomorphicity / satisfy the condition the only injective monoid endo fixing $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}$ pointwise is $\text{id}_{\text{Emb } \mathbb{A}}$?

The end

Thank you for your Attention.