Counterexample to prove that the Value-at-Risk is not subadditive

Daniel Hlubinka, Sebastiano Vitali

November 22, 2017

Consider two assets, X and Y, that are usually normally distributed but are subject to occasional shocks. In particular, assume that X and Y are independent and identically distributed with

$$X = \varepsilon + \eta \text{ where } \varepsilon \sim N(0, 1) \text{ and } \eta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 0.991 \\ -10 & \text{w.p. } 0.009 \end{cases}$$
(1)

Consider a portfolio consisting of *X* and *Y*. Prove that

$$V@R_{0.99}(X+Y) = 9.8 > V@R_{0.99}(X) + V@R_{0.99}(Y) = 3.09 + 3.09 = 6.18$$
(2)

Proof

Let's prove that $V@R_{0.99}(X) = 3.09$

$$\begin{aligned} X \sim N(\mu, 1) &= \begin{cases} N(0, 1) & \text{w.p. } 0.991 \\ N(-10, 1) & \text{w.p. } 0.009 \end{cases} \\ P(X \leq q) &= 0.01 = \\ &= P(X \leq q | \mu = 0) \cdot P(\mu = 0) + P(X \leq q | \mu = -10) \cdot P(\mu = -10) = \\ &= \Phi(q) \cdot 0.991 + \Phi(q + 10) \cdot 0.009 = 0.01 \\ \text{assume that } q = -5, \text{ then } \Phi(q) \simeq 0, \Phi(q + 10) \simeq 1, \text{ then } 0.009 \text{ too small, so it must be } \geq -5 \\ \text{assume that } q = 0, \text{ then } \Phi(q) = 0.5, \Phi(q + 10) \simeq 1 \text{ then } 0.5045 \text{ too large, so it must be } \leq 0 \\ \text{so } q \text{ must be in a point between } -5 \text{ and } 0 \text{ where } \Phi(q + 10) \simeq 1 \text{ and } \Phi(q) \text{ is a specific value we need to find} \\ \Phi(q) \cdot 0.991 + 1 \cdot 0.009 = 0.01 \\ \Phi(q) = \frac{0.001}{0.991} \\ q = -3.087546 \end{aligned}$$

Let's prove that $V@R_{0.99}(X+Y) = 9.8$

$$X + Y \sim N(\mu, 2) \begin{cases} N(0, 2) & \text{w.p. } 0.991^2 = 0.982\\ N(-10, 2) & \text{w.p. } 2 \cdot 0.991 \cdot 0.009 = 0.017838\\ N(-20, 2) & \text{w.p. } 0.009^2 = 0.000081 \end{cases}$$

(here on Φ is inverse N(0,2))

$$\begin{split} P(X+Y \leq q) &= 0.01 = \\ &= P(X+Y \leq q | \mu = 0) \cdot P(\mu = 0) + P(X+Y \leq q | \mu = -10) \cdot P(\mu = -10) + P(X+Y \leq q | \mu = -20) \cdot P(\mu = -20) = 0.01 \\ &= \Phi(q) \cdot 0.982 + \Phi(q+10) \cdot 0.017838 + \Phi(q+20) \cdot 0.000081 = 0.01 \\ &\text{assume that } q = -15, \text{ then } \Phi(q) \simeq 0, \Phi(q+10) \simeq 0, \Phi(q+20) \simeq 1 \text{ then } 0.00081 \text{ too small, so it must be } \geq -15 \\ &\text{assume that } q = -5, \text{ then } \Phi(q) \simeq 0, \Phi(q+10) \simeq 1, \Phi(q+20) \simeq 1 \text{ then } 0.017838 \text{ too large, so it must be } \leq -5 \\ &\text{so } q \text{ must be in a point between } -15 \text{ and } -5 \text{ where } \Phi(q+20) \simeq 1, \Phi(q) \simeq 0 \text{ and } \Phi(q+10) \text{ is a specific value we need to find } \\ 0 \cdot 0.982 + \Phi(q+10) \cdot 0.017838 + 1 \cdot 0.000081 = 0.01 \\ \Phi(q+10) = \frac{0.00919}{0.017838} \\ q+10 = 0.199 \\ q = -9.801 \end{split}$$