## **1** Basic notions

**1.1.** Describe sets  $V_f$  and  $V_f(\mathbb{R})$  if

(a) 
$$f = x^2 - y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y],$$

(b) 
$$f = (x^2 - y^2)(x + y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y],$$

(c) 
$$f = x^3 - y^3 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$$

(a) Since linear polynomials x+y and x-y are irreducible and  $x^2-y^2 = (x+y)(x-y)$ , we have irreducible decomposition of the curve:

$$V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{x+y} \cup V_{x-y}, \quad V_{x^2-y^2}(\mathbb{R}) = V_{x+y}(\mathbb{R}) \cup V_{x-y}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $V_{x+y} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}((1,-1))$  and  $V_{x-y} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}((1,1))$  are complex lines and  $V_{x+y}(\mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((1,-1))$  and  $V_{x-y}(\mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((1,1))$  are real lines.

(b) Since

$$\sqrt{((x^2 - y^2)(x + y))} = \sqrt{((x - y)(x + y)^2)} = ((x - y)(x + y)) = (x^2 - y^2),$$

we have the same irreducible decomposition of  $V_f$  and  $V_f(\mathbb{R})$  into two lines as in (a)

$$V_{(x^2-y^2)(x+y)} = V_{x+y} \cup V_{x-y}, \quad V_{(x^2-y^2)(x+y)}(\mathbb{R}) = V_{x+y}(\mathbb{R}) \cup V_{x-y}(\mathbb{R}),$$

(c) We can easily calculate the decomposition of  $x^3 - y^3$  into linear factors in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ :

$$x^{3} - y^{3} = (x - y)(x^{2} + xy + y^{2}) = (x - y)(x + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i)y)(x + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i)y),$$

hence  $V_{x^3-y^3} = V_{x-y} \cup V_{x+(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i)y} \cup V_{x+(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i)y}$  is an irreducible decomposition into three complex lines. If we consider  $V_{x^3-y^3}(\mathbb{R}) = V_{x-y}(\mathbb{R}) \cup V_{x^2+xy+y^2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Now revoking linear algebra we can show that the real quadratic form  $g_2 = x^2 + xy + y^2$  is positively definite, since its matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim_s \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positively definite, hence  $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid g_2(x,y) = 0\} = \{(0,0)\}$ . It means that  $V_{x^3-y^3}(\mathbb{R}) = V_{x-y}(\mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((1,1))$  is a real line.  $\Box$ 

26.02.

## **1.2.** Describe the function field $K(V_f)$ for a general field K and

- (a) f = x + y,
- (b) f = ax + by + c where  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ .

First note that any non-constant linear polynomial is irreducible and that the function field  $K(V_f)$  is a filed of fractions of the coordinate ring  $K[V_f]$ . So it is enough to describe coordinate rings.

(a) To find the coordinate ring  $K[V_{x+y}] \cong K[x,y]/(x+y)$ , we intend to use the First Isomorphism Theorem. Consider evaluating homomorphism  $\varphi : K[x,y] \to K[x]$  given by  $\varphi(p) = p(x, -x)$ , then, obviously  $x+y \in \ker(\varphi)$ , hence  $(x+y) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ . If  $q(y) \in \ker(\varphi)$ , where we consider q as o polynomial in variable y with coefficients in the domain K[x], we can observe that -x is a root of q, thus  $(y+x) \mid q$  and so  $q \in (x+y)$ . Since  $\varphi(p)$  is surjective and we have shown that  $\ker(\varphi) = (x+y)$  and the First Isomorphism Theorem gives us

$$K[V_{x+y}] \cong K[x,y]/(x+y) = K[x,y]/\ker(\varphi) \cong K[x].$$

It means that the function field  $K(V_{x+y})$  is isomorphic to the field of rational functions in one variable K(x).

(b) W.l.o.g we may suppose that  $b \neq 0$ , otherwise we switch the variables x and y. We repeat the arguments of (a) for the evaluating homomorphism  $\psi : K[x, y] \to K[x]$ given by the rule  $\psi(p) = p(x, -\frac{a}{b}x - \frac{c}{b})$ , which is onto K[x]. Then  $\ker(\psi) = (ax + by + c)$ and by the First Isomorphism Theorem we get the isomorphism.

$$K[V_{ax+by+c}] \cong K[x,y]/(ax+by+c) = K[x,y]/\ker(\psi) \cong K[x].$$

Thus  $K(V_{ax+by+c}) \cong K(x)$  again.

**1.3.** Let p be a prime number,  $q = p^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ .

- (a) If f is irreducible, describe a rupture field of f.
- (b) If f is irreducible, describe a splitting field of f.
- (c) For which k does the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  contain a root of f?
- (d) Construct an algebraic closure of the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

(a), (b) We know that the factor ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f)$  is a field containing a root of f, i.e. a rupture field of f. Note that  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg f}}$  is even a splitting filed of polynomials f and  $x^{q^{\deg f}} - x$  and that  $f \mid x^{q^{\deg f}} - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

(c) Since  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  is a splitting filed of a polynomial  $x^{q^k} - x = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}} x - a$  and it contains all roots of irreducible polynomials of degree dividing k,  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  contain a root of f if and only if deg gcd $(f, x^{q^k} - x) > 0$ , which is true if and only if there exists an irreducible factor of f of degree dividing k.

(d) Recall that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k!}}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{(k+1)!}}$  since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^a} \leq \mathbb{F}_{p^b}$  iff  $a \mid b$ . Put  $K = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{p^{k!}}$ . Observer that for each  $\alpha \in K$  there exists m for which  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^{p^m} - x$ , hence  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . On the other hand let  $f \in K[x]$ . Then there exist k such that  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k!}}[x]$  and by (c) there is  $l \leq \deg f$  such that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k!l}} \leq \mathbb{F}_{p^{(kl)!}} \leq K$  contains a root of f. This proves that K is an algebraic closure of the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

05.03.

**1.4.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  and  $F \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y, Z]$  be its homogenization. Describe sets  $V_Z$ ,  $V_f(\mathbb{R})$ , and points in infinity of  $V_F$  and  $V_F(\mathbb{R})$  if

(a)  $f = x^2 + y^2 - 1$ ,

(b)  $f = x^2 + y$ .

First observe that

$$V_Z = \{ (a:b:c) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid c = 0 \} = \{ (a:b:0) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid (a,b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (0,0) \} = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}^2.$$

(a) Clearly,  $V_f(\mathbb{R})$  is a unit circle. Now, we can easily determine the homogenization  $F = X^2 + Y^2 - Z^2$  of f. The points in infinity  $V_F \cap V_Z$  of  $V_F$  are those satisfying  $X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2 = 0$ . Since  $X^2 + Y^2 = (X + iY)(X - iY)$ , we get that  $V_F \cap V_Z = \{(1, \pm i, 0)\}$  and  $V_F(\mathbb{R}) \cap V_Z = \emptyset$ 

(b) This time  $V_f(\mathbb{R})$  forms a parabola satisfying the equation  $y = -x^2$ . Since the homogenization of f is the polynomial  $F = X^2 + YZ$  and the points in infinity  $V_F \cap V_Z$  of  $V_F$  satisfy the equality  $X^2 + YZ = X^2 = 0$ , we can easily compute that  $V_F \cap V_Z = V_F(\mathbb{R}) \cap V_Z = \{(0,1,0)\}$ .

**1.5.** Let  $\beta = \frac{x^3+1}{(x^2-1)^2} \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ . Calculate in the function field  $\mathbb{R}(x)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  the values of valuations:

- (a)  $v_{x+1}(\beta)$ ,
- (b)  $v_{x-1}(\beta)$ ,
- (c)  $v_x(\beta)$ ,
- (d)  $v_{x^2-x+1}(\beta)$ .

Recall that 
$$v_p(a) = \max(k \mid p^k \mid a)$$
 and  $v_p(\frac{a}{b}) = v_p(a) - v_p(b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}[x] \setminus \{(0)\}$ .  
(a)  $v_{x+1}(\beta) = v_{x+1}(x^2 - 1) - v_{x+1}(x^2 - 1)^2 = 1 - 2 = -1$ .  
(b)  $v_{x-1}(\beta) = v_{x-1}(x^2 - 1) - v_{x-1}(x^2 - 1)^2 = 0 - 2 = -2$ .  
(c)  $v_x(\beta) = v_x(x^2 - 1) - v_x(x^2 - 1)^2 = 0 - 0 = 0$ .  
(d)  $v_{x^2-x+1}(\beta) = v_{x^2-x+1}(x^2 - 1) - v_{x^2-x+1}(x^2 - 1)^2 = 1 - 0 = 1$ .

**1.6.** Let  $v_{\infty}: K(x) \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  be defined by the rules

$$v_{\infty}(0) = \infty, \quad v_{\infty}(\frac{a}{b}) = \deg(b) - \deg(a)$$

for all  $a, b \in K[x] \setminus \{(0)\}$ . Prove that  $v_{\infty}$  is a normalized discrete valuation on the function field K(x) over a field K.

First observe that the definition of  $v_{\infty}$  is correct. If  $a, b, c, d \in K[x] \setminus \{(0)\}$  satisfies  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$  then

$$v_{\infty}(\frac{a}{b}) = \deg(b) - \deg(a) = \deg(d) - \deg(c) = v_{\infty}(\frac{c}{d}).$$

since ad = bc and so  $\deg(a) + \deg(d) = \deg(b) + \deg(c)$ .

Let  $a, b, c, d \in K[x] \setminus \{(0)\}$ . Then

$$v_{\infty}(\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d}) = v_{\infty}(\frac{ac}{bd}) = \deg(bd) - \deg(ac) = \deg(b) + \deg(d) - \deg(a) - \deg(c) = v_{\infty}(\frac{a}{b}) + v_{\infty}(\frac{c}{d})$$

and

$$v_{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right) = v_{\infty}\left(\frac{ad + bc}{bd}\right) = \deg(b) + \deg(d) - \deg(ad + bc)$$

As  $\deg(ad + bc) \leq \max(\deg(ad), \deg(bc)) = \max(\deg(a) + \deg(d), \deg(b) + \deg(c))$  we get that

$$v_{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right) = \deg(b) + \deg(d) - \deg(ad + bc) \ge$$
$$\deg(b) + \deg(d) - \min(\deg(a) + \deg(d), \deg(b) + \deg(c)) =$$
$$= \min(\deg(b) - \deg(a), \deg(d) - \deg(c)) = \min(v_{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right), v_{\infty}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right))$$

Finally note that  $v_{\infty}(\frac{1}{r}) = 1$  and that  $v_{\infty}(a) = \infty$  if and only if a = 0, which finishes the proof that all axioms (DV1)–(DV4) are satisfied. 

12.03.

## $\mathbf{2}$ Weierstrass equations

**2.1.** Find a short WEP which is  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivalent to the WEP

$$w = y^{2} + y(2x + 2) - (x^{3} - 4x^{2} + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y].$$

We apply standard linear algebra machinery of Lemma 2.1. First, we remove the term 2xy. Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_2(\mathbb{R})$ , which represents replacement of y by y - x and compute

$$\vartheta_A^*(w) = (y-x)^2 + (y-x)(2x+2) - (x^3 - 4x^2 + 1) = y^2 + 2y - (x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x + 1).$$

Now we use b = (1, -1) to exclude monomials y and  $x^2$ :

$$\tau_b^* \vartheta_A^*(w) = (y-1)^2 + 2(y-1) - ((x+1)^3 - 3(x+1)^2 + 2(x+1) + 1) = y^2 - (x^3 - x + 2).$$

**2.2.** Show that the real polynomial  $\tilde{w} = y^2 - (x^3 - x + 2)$  is

- (a)  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivalent to  $y^2 (x^3 \frac{1}{16}x + \frac{1}{32})$ ,
- (b)  $\mathbb{C}$ -equivalent to  $y^2 (x^3 x 2)$ .

(a) It is enough to take the matrix  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$  and compute  $\vartheta_{A_1}^*(\tilde{w}) = 64y^2 - 64y^2$  $64(x^3 - \frac{1}{16}x + \frac{1}{32})$ , hence  $y^2 - (x^3 - x + 2)$  and  $y^2 - (x^3 - \frac{1}{16}x + \frac{1}{32})$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -equivalent by the Fact from the lecture where we take c = 2 and d = 0. (b) Now, we chose the complex matrix  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$  and calculate

$$\vartheta_{A_2}^*(\tilde{w}) = -y^2 - (-x^3 + x + 2).$$

Then the same argument as in (a) proves that  $\mathbb{C}$ -equivalence of  $\tilde{w}$  and  $y^2 - (x^3 - x - 2)$ .  $\Box$ 

19.03.

**2.3.** Decide which of the following WEPs are smooth and find all singularities of singular ones:

(a) 
$$y^2 - (x^3 + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y],$$
  
(b)  $(y + 1)^2 - (x^3 + 1) \in \mathbb{F}_3[x, y],$   
(c)  $y^2 - (x^3 - x^2 - x + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y],$   
(d)  $y^2 + y(2x + 2) - (x^3 - 4x^2 + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  (from 2.1).

(a)  $y^2 - (x^3 + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  is a smooth short WEP by Proposition 2.2 since the polynomial  $x^3 + 1$  is separable. The same result follows from the Corollary 2.3 as

$$4 \cdot 0^3 + 27 \cdot 1^2 = 1 \neq 0.$$

(b)  $w = (y+1)^2 - (x^3+1) \in \mathbb{F}_3[x,y]$  is a singular WEP, since w is  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -equivalent to  $y^2 - (x^3+1)$  and the polynomial  $x^3 + 1 = (x+1)^3$  has the root 2 of multiplicity 3. It is easy to see that the only singularity is (2,2),

(c)  $y^2 - (x^3 - x^2 - x + 1) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  is also a singular WEP, since the root 1 of  $x^3 - x^2 - x + 1$  has the multiplicity 2. Then the singularity is (1, 0).

(d) Using the equivalent short form  $y^2 - (x^3 - x + 2)$  computed in 2.1 we can easily see that the polynomial  $f = x^3 - x + 2$  is separable. Indeed, the roots of f' = 3x - 1 are  $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  and  $f(\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) \neq 0$ , so there is no multiple root of f. This means that  $y^2 - (x^3 - x + 2)$ is smooth by Proposition 2.2, hence  $y^2 + y(2x + 2) - (x^3 - 4x^2 + 1)$  is smooth by Fact from the lecture.

**2.4.** Let  $f = y - x^3 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Find all singularities of  $V_f$  and of the projective extension  $V_F$ .

Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$ , the tangent  $t_{\alpha}(f) \neq 0$  for each  $\alpha \in V_f$ , hence  $V_f$  is a smooth affine curve.

Clearly,  $F = YZ^2 - X^3$ . Then  $V_F \cap V_F = \{(0:1:0)\}$  since

$$F(\alpha:\beta:0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha^3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\alpha:\beta:0) = (0:1:0).$$

We calculate

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} = -3X^2, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} = Z^2, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z} = 2YZ,$$

and so  $t_{(0:1:0)}(F) = 0$ . Thus F is singular at (0:1:0) and  $V_F$  is a singular projective curve.

26.03.

**2.5.** For the elliptic curve C given by the WEP  $w = y^2 - (x^3 + x + 2) \in \mathbb{F}_5[x, y]$  compute the tables of the group operations  $\ominus$ ,  $\oplus$  on  $C(\mathbb{F}_5)$ .

Note that  $f = x^3 + x + 2 = (x + 1)(x^2 - x + 2)$  where  $x^2 - x + 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x, y]$ , which means that f is a separable polynomial. Hence w is a smooth WEP and so  $V_w$  is an elliptic curve. Now, we compute f(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_5$ :

Since  $y^2 \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ , we can easily find all zeros

$$V_w(\mathbb{F}_5) = \{(1,2), (1,-2), (-1,0)\},\$$

which means that the group  $C(\mathbb{F}_5) = \{o, (1, 2), (1, -2), (-1, 0)\}$  is of the order 4. Since w is of a short form, we know that  $\ominus(x, y) = (x, -y)$  hence we have the table of the unary operation

From the table we can see that the group has exactly one element (-1, 0) of the order 2, so  $C(\mathbb{F}_5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$  is a cyclic group. We can directly draw the table of the operation  $\oplus$ 

$\oplus$	0	(1,2)	(1, -2)	(-1,0)
0	0	(1,2)	(1, -2)	(-1,0)
(1,2)	(1,2)	(-1,0)	0	(1, -2)
(1, -2)	(1, -2)	0	(-1,0)	(1,2)
(-1,0)	(-1,0)	(1, -2)	(1,2)	0

**2.6.** Describe the group  $C(\mathbb{F}_7)$  of the elliptic curve C given by the WEP w, and if it is cyclic, find its generator.

(a) 
$$w = y^2 - (x^3 + 3) \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y],$$

(b) 
$$w = y^2 - (x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 2) \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y]$$
.

(a) First we compute a table of values

	0	1	2	3	-3	-2	-1
$y^2$	0	1	-3	2	2	-3	1
$x^3$	0	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
f(x)	3	-3	-3	2	-3	2	2

Since f has no root in  $\mathbb{F}_7$  by the table, it is irreducible and so separable. It implies that w is a smooth WEP and we can easily find all  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -rational points of the curve

$$V_w(\mathbb{F}_7) = \{ (1, \pm 2), (2, \pm 2), (3, \pm 3), (-3, \pm 2), (-2, \pm 3), (-1, \pm 3) \}.$$

Since  $C(\mathbb{F}_7) = V_w(\mathbb{F}_7) \cup \{o\}$  has 13 elements, it is a cyclic group and  $\langle a \rangle = C(\mathbb{F}_7)$  for each  $a \in V_w(\mathbb{F}_7)$ .

(b) Since  $x^3+2x^2-x-2 = (x-1)(x+1)(x+2)$ , the WEP is smooth and we have three zeros (1,0), (-1,0), (-2,0) compute the table of the binary group operation  $\oplus$  on  $C(\mathbb{F}_7)$ . It remains to observe that  $y^2 \in \{0,1,-3,2\}$  and compute f(x) for  $x \in \{0,2,3,-3\}$ :

which shows that  $C(\mathbb{F}_7) = \{o, (1,0), (-1,0), (-2,0)\}$  is a group of the order 4. As  $\ominus a = a$  for every  $a \in C(\mathbb{F}_7)$ , we can see that  $C(\mathbb{F}_7) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

**2.7.** For the curve  $V_w$  from 2.6(a) describe all points of

- (a) secant passing points (1, 2) and (3, 3), and compute  $(1, 2) \oplus (3, 3)$ ,
- (b) tangent at the point (1, 2), and compute  $(1, 2) \oplus (1, 2) = [2](1, 2)$ .

(a) It is easy to calculate the slope  $\lambda = \frac{2-3}{1-3} = -3$ . Then the secant  $V_{y+3x+2}$  contains all points (x, y) satisfying y = -3x - 2, hence

$$V_{y+3x+2} = \{(0, -2), (1, 2), (2, -1), (3, 3), (-3, 0), (-2, -3), (-1, 1)\}.$$

To find  $(1,2) \oplus (3,3)$  we can either find  $V_{y+3x+2} \cap V_w = \{(1,2), (3,3), (-2,-3)\}$ , hence  $(1,2) \oplus (2,3) = \ominus (-2,-3) = (-2,3)$  or we can apply formula

 $\gamma_1 = \lambda^2 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1 = 2 - 1 - 3 = -2, \quad \gamma_2 = \lambda(\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) - \alpha_2 = -3(1+2) - 2 = -4 = 3,$ and  $(1,2) \oplus (2,3) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2).$ 

02.04.

(b) This time we calculate the slope  $\lambda = \frac{3 \cdot 1^2}{2 \cdot 2} = -1$ , hence the tangent is

$$V_{y+x-3} = \{(0,3), (1,2), (2,1), (3,0), (-3,-1), (-2,-2), (-1,-3)\}$$

and  $[2](1,2) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (-1,3)$  as

$$\gamma_1 = \lambda^2 - 2\alpha_1 = 1 - 2 = -1, \quad \gamma_2 = \lambda(\alpha_1 - \gamma_1) - \alpha_2 = -1(1+1) - 2 = 3.$$

## 3 Montgomery curves

**3.1.** Find the Montgomery's ladder for (a) n = 98, (b) n = 137

(a) Note that  $l_2(98) = \lfloor \log_2(98) \rfloor + 1 = 7$  and recall that the Montgomery's ladder  $\{(n_i, n'_i)\}_{i=1}^7$  is done by the recurrent condition  $n_{i-1} = \lfloor \frac{n_i}{2} \rfloor$  and by  $n'_i = n_i + 1$ . Thus we can easily compute

i	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
$n_i$	98	49	24	12	6	3	1
$n'_i$	99	50	25	13	7	4	2

(b) This time  $l_2(137) = \lfloor \log_2(137) \rfloor + 1 = 8$ , hence the table of the Montgomery's ladder is

i	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
$n_i$	137	68	34	17	8	4	2	1
$n_i'$	138	69	35	18	9	5	3	2

**3.2.** Describe the calculation of [98]P for an element P of Montgomery curve of order greater then 98 using the Montgomery's ladder.

From the calculation of the Montgomery's ladder in 3.1 we obtain the binary notation  $(98)_2 = a_6 a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 a_0 = 1100010$  for  $98 = \sum_{i=0}^6 a_i 2^i$ . Recall that the run of calculation  $([n_j]P, [n'_j]P])$ , depends on the value of the bit  $a_{7-j}$ , namely

• if  $a_{7-j} = 0$  then  $[n_j]P = [2][n_{j-1}]P$  and  $[n'_j]P = [n_{j-1}]P \oplus [n'_{j-1}]P$ ,

• if  $a_{7-j} = 1$  then  $[n_j]P = [n_{j-1}]P \oplus [n'_{j-1}]P$  and  $[n'_j]P = [2][n'_{j-1}]P$ .

Thus we can describe the calculation in the following table, where we denote  $P_j = [n_j]P$  and  $P'_j = [n'_j]P$ :

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$a_{7-j}$	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
$n_j$	1	1 + 2	$2 \cdot 3$	$2 \cdot 6$	$2 \cdot 12$	24 + 25	$2 \cdot 49$
$n'_j$	2	$2 \cdot 2$	3 + 4	6 + 7	12 + 13	$2 \cdot 25$	49 + 50
$\overline{P_j}$	P	$P_1 \oplus P'_1$	$[2]P_2$	$[2]P_3$	$[2]P_4$	$P_5 \oplus P'_5$	$[2]P_6$
$P'_i$	[2]P	$[2]P'_1$	$P_2 \oplus P'_2$	$P_3 \oplus P'_3$	$P_4 \oplus P'_4$	$[2]P'_5$	$P_6 \oplus P'_6$
2		'					

16.04.

**3.3.** Decide whether the WEP  $w = y^2 - f \in \mathbb{F}_5[x, y]$  is  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to some Montgomery polynomial if

- (a)  $f = x^3 + 1$ ,
- (b)  $f = x^3 + 2$ ,

(c) 
$$f = x^3 + x$$
,

(d) 
$$f = x^3 + x + 1$$
,

(e) 
$$f = x^3 + x + 2$$
.

We apply Proposition 4.5 from the lecture (M.5 in the lecture notes) which says that smooth WEP  $w = y^2 - f$  is  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to some Montgomery polynomial if and only if there exists a root  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_5$  of f such that  $f'(\zeta)$  is a non-zero square in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . Note that if we find all  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational roots  $\zeta$  of f and check whether  $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ , we will know that w is smooth.

Observe that  $1 = 1^2 = (-1)^2$ ,  $-1 = (2)^2 = (-2)^2$  are all non-zero squares in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . We will search all  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational roots  $\zeta$  of f and check whether  $f'(\zeta) = \pm 1$ :

(a) The only  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational root of  $f = x^3 + 1$  is -1,  $f' = 3x^2$  and f'(-1) = -2, which means that w is not  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to any Montgomery polynomial.

(b) The only  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational root of  $f = x^3 + 2$  is 2, and f'(2) = 2, hence w is not  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to any Montgomery polynomial.

(c)The polynomial  $y^2 - (x^3 + x)$  is already a Montgomery polynomial (for A = 0, B = 1), so the answer is yes.

(d) The polynomial  $x^3 + x + 1$  has no  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational root, thus the answer is no.

(e) Since -1 is  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational root of  $f = x^3 + x + 2$ , the derivative  $f' = 3x^2 + 1$  has no  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational root, and f'(-1) = -1, the WEP w is  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to some Montgomery polynomial.

**3.4.** Find a Montgomery polynomial  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent to a WEP  $w \in \mathbb{F}_5[x, y]$  if

- (a)  $w = y^2 (x^3 + x + 2),$
- (b)  $w = y^2 (x^3 + 2x^2 x 2).$

(a) We have found the root -1 of  $f = x^3 + x + 2$  in the previous task and we use the idea of the proof of Proposition 4.5/M.5 and Lemma 4.1. First substitute x - 1 into f and we get  $\hat{f} = f(x-1) = x^3 + 2x^2 - x$ . Now, if we put  $x^3 + 2x^2 - 1 = x^3 + ABx^2 + B^2x$ , we can easily calculate  $B = \pm 2$  and  $A = 2 \cdot (\pm 2) = \pm 1$ , hence by Lemma 4.1 we get

$$y^{2} - (x^{3} + x + 2) \sim_{\mathbb{F}_{5}} 2y^{2} - (x^{3} + x^{2} + x)(\sim_{\mathbb{F}_{5}} -2y^{2} - (x^{3} - x^{2} + x)).$$

(b) As in 3.3 we find roots  $\pm 1$ , -2 of

$$f = x^{3} + 2x^{2} - x - 2 = (x+1)(x-1)(x+2).$$

Since  $f' = 3x^2 - x - 1$ , we calculate f'(1) = 1 and f'(-1) = f'(-2) = -2. As f'(1) = 1 is a square, we substitute  $x \to x + 1$  and we obtain  $\tilde{f} = f(x+1) = x^3 + x$ . We can see that the  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -equivalent WEP  $y^2 - \tilde{f} = y^2 - (x^3 + x)$  is already Montgomery (cf. 3.3(c)), so we are done, i.e.  $y^2 - (x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 2) \sim_{\mathbb{F}_5} y^2 - (x^3 + x)$ .

**3.5.** Decide whether there exists  $c \in \mathbb{F}_7$  such that the WEP  $y^2 - (x^3 - c) \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y]$  is  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -equivalent to some Montgomery polynomial.

Assume that there exists a root  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_7$  of  $f = x^3 - c$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_7$  such that

$$f'(\zeta) = 3\zeta^2 = b^2 \in \mathbb{F}_7^*.$$

Then  $3 = \frac{b^2}{\zeta^2} = (\frac{b}{\zeta})^2$ , which contradicts to the fact that 1, 2, 4 are the only non-zero squares in the field  $\mathbb{F}_7$ .

**3.6.** Explain for an arbitrary field K why Montgomery polynomials m and  $\tilde{m}$  are K-equivalent if

$$m = By^2 - (x^3 + Ax^2 + x)$$
 and  $\tilde{m} = -By^2 - (x^3 - Ax^2 + x) \in K[x, y]$ 

It is enough to consider the affine transformation  $(x, y) \rightarrow (-x, -y)$ , on m:

$$m(-x,-y) = By^{2} - (-x^{3} + Ax^{2} - x) = -(-By^{2} - (x^{3} - Ax^{2} + x)) = (-1) \cdot \tilde{m}$$

and note that  $B \in K^*$ ,  $A \neq \pm 2$  if and only if  $-B \in K^*$ ,  $-A \neq \pm 2$ .

**4.1.** Show that the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 \in \mathbf{R}[x, y]$  is irreducible but it is not absolutely irreducible.

Clearly,  $x^2 + y^2 = (x + iy)(x - iy) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , which shows that  $x^2 + y^2$  is not absolutely irreducible.

If  $x^2 + y^2 = g_1g_2$  is a nontrivial decomposition in  $\mathbf{R}[x, y]$ , then it is a nontrivial decomposition in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  which would be associated to the prime decomposition  $x^2 + y^2 = (x + iy)(x - iy)$ . Hence  $g_i || (x + iy)$  which contradicts to the fact that  $g_i \in \mathbf{R}[x, y]$ , and so  $x^2 + y^2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbf{R}[x, y]$ .

23.04.

**4.2.** Let  $f = y^2 + ax^2 - (1 + dx^2y^2) \in \mathbf{R}[x, y]$  and  $F = (Y^2 + aX^2)Z^2 - (Z^4 + dX^2Y^2)$  be the homogenization of f. Find all points of  $V_F \cap V_Z$  and decide which are smooth.

Since  $(a:b:c) \in V_F \cap V_Z$  if and only if  $c = da^2b^2 = 0$  if and only if c = a = 0 or c = b = 0, we get  $V_F \cap V_Z = \{(1:0:0), (0:1:0)\}$ .

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial X} &= 2X(aZ^2 - dY^2), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} = 2Y(Z^2 - dY^2), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z} = 2Z(Y^2 + aX^2) - 4Z^3, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(1,0,0) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(1,0,0) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}(1,0,0) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(0,1,0) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(0,1,0) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}(0,1,0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence both the points (1:0:0) and (0:1:0) are singularities.

**4.3.** For the Montgomery curve given by  $3y^2 - (x^3 + 3x^2 + x) \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y]$  find a birationally equivalent (a) generalized Edwards curve (b) Edwards curve.

(a) We simply apply Theorem 5.8 (E.7) for A = B = 3:

$$(a,d) = \left(\frac{A+2}{B}, \frac{A-2}{B}\right) = \left(\frac{3+2}{3}, \frac{3-2}{3}\right) = (4,5),$$

thus the birationally equivalent generalized Edwards curve satisfies the equation

$$4x^2 + y^2 = 1 + 5x^2y^2.$$

(b) This time we use the linear transformation  $x \to 2x$  to receive a birationally equivalent Edwards curve given by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1 - x^2y^2$ , where the coefficient d is transformed by the rule  $d \to \frac{b}{2^2}$  (see Lemma 5.5 (E.4)).

**4.4.** For the Edwards curve given by the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 - x^2y^2 \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y]$  compute a birationally equivalent Montgomery curve.

We apply the formulas from Theorem 5.8 (E.7) again

$$(A,B) = \left(\frac{2(a+d)}{a-d}, \frac{4}{a-d}\right) = \left(\frac{2(1-1)}{1+1}, \frac{4}{1+1}\right) = (0,2),$$

hence we have found a birationally equivalent Montgomery curve given by the polynomial  $2y^2 - (x^3 + x)$ .

**4.5.** If C is a curve given by the WEP  $w \in \mathbb{F}_7[x, y]$ , decide whether there exists a birationally equivalent generalized Edwards curve. If yes, find its polynomial.

10

(a)  $w = y^2 - (x^3 + 2)$ , (b)  $w = y^2 - (x + 2)^3$ , (c)  $w = y^2 - (x^3 - x + 1)$ . (a) Since  $x^3 \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ , the polynomial  $f = x^3 + 2$  has no  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -rational root, hence w is not  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -equivalent to any Montgomery polynomial, which means that w is not  $V_w$  is not birationally equivalent to any generalized Edwards curve.

(b) This time w is not smooth since the polynomial  $f = (x + 2)^3$  is not separable. Now, the same argument as in (a) shows that  $V_w$  is not birationally equivalent to any generalized Edwards curve.

(c) Let  $f = x^3 - x + 1$ . Note that  $(2,0) \in V_w$ , hence f(2) = 0. Since  $f' = 3x^2 - 1$ , we have  $f'(2) = 4 = 2^2$ . Using the transformation  $x \to x + 2$  we get the  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -equivalent WEP  $y^2 - (x^3 - x^2 + 4x) = y^2 - (x^3 + ABx^2 + B^2x)$ , which is  $\mathbb{F}_7$ -equivalent to the Montgomery polynomial  $By^2 - (x^3 + Ax^2 + x) = 2y^2 - (x^3 + 3x^2 + x)$ . Now it remains to apply Theorem 5.8 (E.7) as in 4.3 to show that a birationally equivalent generalized Edwards curve exists and it is given by the equality  $6x^2 + y^2 = 1 + 4x^2y^2$  since  $(6, 4) = (\frac{3+2}{2}\frac{3-2}{2})$ .