

Variants of absolute direct summand property

J. Žemlička

12th International Algebraic Conference in Ukraine,
July 2–6, 2019, Vinnytsia

ADS-modules

Type-ADS modules

Essentially ADS modules

Rings

- ▶ In the sequel R denotes an associative ring with unit and M a right R -module.

- ▶ In the sequel R denotes an associative ring with unit and M a right R -module.
- ▶ A right module M over R is called ADS (*absolute direct summand*) if $M = S \oplus T'$ for every submodules S, T, T' such that $M = S \oplus T$ and T' is a complement of S .

- ▶ In the sequel R denotes an associative ring with unit and M a right R -module.
- ▶ A right module M over R is called ADS (*absolute direct summand*) if $M = S \oplus T'$ for every submodules S, T, T' such that $M = S \oplus T$ and T' is a complement of S .

Example

- (1) If every idempotent of R is central (in particular if R is commutative or reduced), then R_R is ADS.
- (2) Every cyclic module over commutative ring is ADS.

A module A is B -injective if every homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$ for every submodule $C \leq B$ can be extended to a homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

The following is equivalent:

A module A is B -injective if every homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$ for every submodule $C \leq B$ can be extended to a homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is ADS

A module A is B -injective if every homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$ for every submodule $C \leq B$ can be extended to a homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is ADS
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every $M = A \oplus B$,

A module A is B -injective if every homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$ for every submodule $C \leq B$ can be extended to a homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is ADS
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every $M = A \oplus B$,
- (3) A is a bR -injective module for every $M = A \oplus B$ and $b \in B$.

A module A is B -injective if every homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$ for every submodule $C \leq B$ can be extended to a homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is ADS
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every $M = A \oplus B$,
- (3) A is a bR -injective module for every $M = A \oplus B$ and $b \in B$.

Theorem (Alahmadi, Jain Leroy, 2012)

Let R be a simple ring. If R_R is ADS, then either R_R is indecomposable or R is a right self-injective regular ring.

Let A and B be submodules of M .

Let A and B be submodules of M .

- ▶ $A \perp B$ if there are no nonzero submodules $C \leq A$ and $D \leq B$ such that $C \cong D$.

Let A and B be submodules of M .

- ▶ $A \perp B$ if there are no nonzero submodules $C \leq A$ and $D \leq B$ such that $C \cong D$.
- ▶ A is called a *type* submodule, if A is a complement submodule in M and there exists a submodule

Let A and B be submodules of M .

- ▶ $A \perp B$ if there are no nonzero submodules $C \leq A$ and $D \leq B$ such that $C \cong D$.
- ▶ A is called a *type submodule*, if A is a complement submodule in M and there exists a submodule

Lemma

Let $M = A \oplus B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. *A is a type submodule of M .*
2. *B is a type submodule of M .*
3. *$A \perp B$.*

Let A and B be submodules of M .

- ▶ $A \perp B$ if there are no nonzero submodules $C \leq A$ and $D \leq B$ such that $C \cong D$.
- ▶ A is called a *type submodule*, if A is a complement submodule in M and there exists a submodule

Lemma

Let $M = A \oplus B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. A is a type submodule of M .
 2. B is a type submodule of M .
 3. $A \perp B$.
- ▶ $M = A \oplus B$ is a *type decomposition*, if A and B are type submodules of M .

Let A and B be submodules of M .

- ▶ $A \perp B$ if there are no nonzero submodules $C \leq A$ and $D \leq B$ such that $C \cong D$.
- ▶ A is called a *type submodule*, if A is a complement submodule in M and there exists a submodule

Lemma

Let $M = A \oplus B$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. A is a type submodule of M .
 2. B is a type submodule of M .
 3. $A \perp B$.
- ▶ $M = A \oplus B$ is a *type decomposition*, if A and B are type submodules of M .
 - ▶ An R -module M is *type-ADS* if for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ and every arbitrary type complement C of A , we have $M = A \oplus C$.

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Theorem (Abdioglu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Theorem (Abdioglu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

(1) M is type-ADS,

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS,
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$,

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS,
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$,
- (3) A is a bR -injective module for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ and $b \in B$.

Example

(1) Every ADS module is type-ADS.

(2) Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then R_R is type-ADS, however it is not ADS.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS,
- (2) A and B are mutually injective modules for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$,
- (3) A is a bR -injective module for every type decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ and $b \in B$.

Corollary

A type direct summand of a type-ADS module is type-ADS.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS.
- (2) $\alpha(M) \leq M$ for all idempotents $\alpha \in \text{End}(E(M))$ such that $(1 - \alpha)(E(M)) \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M .

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS.
- (2) $\alpha(M) \leq M$ for all idempotents $\alpha \in \text{End}(E(M))$ such that $(1 - \alpha)(E(M)) \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M .
- (3) For every decomposition $E(M) = E_1 \oplus E_2$ where $E_1 \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M , $M = (E_1 \cap M) \oplus (E_2 \cap M)$.

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS.
- (2) $\alpha(M) \leq M$ for all idempotents $\alpha \in \text{End}(E(M))$ such that $(1 - \alpha)(E(M)) \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M .
- (3) For every decomposition $E(M) = E_1 \oplus E_2$ where $E_1 \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M , $M = (E_1 \cap M) \oplus (E_2 \cap M)$.

A submodule X of M is called *fully invariant* if for every $f \in \text{End}(M)$, $f(X) \leq X$.

Lemma

Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \leq n} M_i$. If each M_i is type-ADS fully invariant submodule of M and M_i is $\bigoplus_{j \neq i} M_j$ -injective for all i , then M is type-ADS.

Theorem (Abdioglu, Ž. 2018)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is type-ADS.
- (2) $\alpha(M) \leq M$ for all idempotents $\alpha \in \text{End}(E(M))$ such that $(1 - \alpha)(E(M)) \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M .
- (3) For every decomposition $E(M) = E_1 \oplus E_2$ where $E_1 \cap M$ is a type direct summand of M , $M = (E_1 \cap M) \oplus (E_2 \cap M)$.

A submodule X of M is called *fully invariant* if for every $f \in \text{End}(M)$, $f(X) \leq X$.

Lemma

Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \leq n} M_i$. If each M_i is type-ADS fully invariant submodule of M and M_i is $\bigoplus_{j \neq i} M_j$ -injective for all i , then M is type-ADS.

Example

Let $M_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ be \mathbb{Z} -modules. Then M_1 and M_2 are indecomposable, hence type-ADS, but $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is not type-ADS.

M is called an essentially ADS-module if $M = S \oplus T'$ for each decomposition $M = S \oplus T$ and each complement T' of S with $T' \cap T = 0$ and $S \cap (T' \oplus T) \leq^e S$

M is called an essentially ADS-module if $M = S \oplus T'$ for each decomposition $M = S \oplus T$ and each complement T' of S with $T' \cap T = 0$ and $S \cap (T' \oplus T) \leq^e S$

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let M be an R -module.

1. If $E(A) \not\cong E(B)$ for each decomposition $M = A \oplus B$, then M is e -ADS.
2. If M is an e -ADS module with a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$, then $A \cong B$ and the modules A and B are automorphism invariant.

M is called an essentially ADS-module if $M = S \oplus T'$ for each decomposition $M = S \oplus T$ and each complement T' of S with $T' \cap T = 0$ and $S \cap (T' \oplus T) \leq^e S$

Theorem (Kořan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let M be an R -module.

1. If $E(A) \not\cong E(B)$ for each decomposition $M = A \oplus B$, then M is e -ADS.
2. If M is an e -ADS module with a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$, then $A \cong B$ and the modules A and B are automorphism invariant.

Example

(1) Every ADS module is e -ADS.

(2) Let T be a non-divisible torsion abelian group and $M := \mathbb{Z} \oplus T$. Since $E(A) \not\cong E(B)$ for every $M = A \oplus B$, M is an e -ADS abelian group and it M is not ADS, since T is not \mathbb{Z} -injective.

(3) Let $M := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ for some prime p . Then M is e -ADS and $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ is not e -ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

(1) M is e-ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is e-ADS.
- (2) For every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$, if T' is a complement of S in M and T is a complement of T' in M , then $M = S \oplus T'$.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is e-ADS.
- (2) For every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$, if T' is a complement of S in M and T is a complement of T' in M , then $M = S \oplus T'$.
- (3) A and B are relatively automorphism invariant for each decomposition $M = A \oplus B$.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is e-ADS.
- (2) For every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$, if T' is a complement of S in M and T is a complement of T' in M , then $M = S \oplus T'$.
- (3) A and B are relatively automorphism invariant for each decomposition $M = A \oplus B$.

Lemma

Let M be an e-ADS module. If M has a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$, then A is e-ADS.

A module M is *trivial* e-ADS if it has no a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

The following is equivalent:

- (1) M is e-ADS.
- (2) For every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$, if T' is a complement of S in M and T is a complement of T' in M , then $M = S \oplus T'$.
- (3) A and B are relatively automorphism invariant for each decomposition $M = A \oplus B$.

Lemma

Let M be an e-ADS module. If M has a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$, then A is e-ADS.

A module M is *trivial e-ADS* if it has no a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that $E(A) \cong E(B)$.

Lemma

M is *trivial e-ADS* if and only if for every decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ no complement of A is a complement of B .

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

Every right non-singular ring is right type-ADS.

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

Every right non-singular ring is right type-ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let R be a right non-singular ring and Q be its the maximal right ring of quotients. Then the following is equivalent:

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

Every right non-singular ring is right type-ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let R be a right non-singular ring and Q be its the maximal right ring of quotients. Then the following is equivalent:

(1) R is right e-ADS,

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

Every right non-singular ring is right type-ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let R be a right non-singular ring and Q be its the maximal right ring of quotients. Then the following is equivalent:

- (1) R is right e-ADS,
- (2) Either $eQ \neq (1 - e)Q$ for any idempotent $e \in R$ or $R \cong M_2(S)$ for a suitable right automorphism invariant ring S ,

We say that a ring R is right type-ADS (e-ADS) if the module R_R is type-ADS (e-ADS).

Theorem (Abdioğlu, Ž. 2018)

Every right non-singular ring is right type-ADS.

Theorem (Koşan, Quynh, Ž. 2019)

Let R be a right non-singular ring and Q be its the maximal right ring of quotients. Then the following is equivalent:

- (1) R is right e-ADS,
- (2) Either $eQ \neq (1 - e)Q$ for any idempotent $e \in R$ or $R \cong M_2(S)$ for a suitable right automorphism invariant ring S ,
- (3) Either $eQ \neq (1 - e)Q$ for any idempotent $e \in R$ or $R \cong T \times M_2(S)$ for a suitable self-injective ring T and a normal right automorphism invariant ring S .